



Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council Perspective

Council Member Ongoing Development Meeting

Brandon Muffley

November 15, 2022

MAFMC Visioning Project and Strategic Plan

Ecosystem related issues ranked high on the list of concerns raised by stakeholders <u>across all user groups</u>

- EAFM development was identified as a priority in the Council's 2014-2018 Strategic Plan
 - "A non-regulatory umbrella document intended to guide Council policy with respect to ecosystem considerations across existing Fishery Management Plans"



EAFM Guidance Document









Council's EAFM Decision Framework

- Developed a strategic, deliberative and structured process
 - Goal of incorporating species, fleet, habitat and climate interactions into management
 - Planning tool to help Council transition and incorporate EAFM approaches
 - Not an end to itself





Implementing the Decision Framework

Step I – Prioritize with risk assessment (2017)



Summer flounder Identified as most high-risk fishery





Step 3 – Analyze with <u>MSE</u> (2022)



Identify and evaluate management Procedures to reduce recreational discards and convert to landings



Unmanaged Forage & Fisheries

- Unmanaged forage amendment goal of proactively protect and conserve currently unmanaged forage species
 - In 2016, designated 16 species and species groups as ecosystem component species (over 50 species in total)
 - Prohibits new, expansion of existing or directed commercial fisheries in Mid-Atlantic
 - Established an incidental possession limit of 1,700 lb for all species combined
- Chub mackerel amendment added to the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid and Butterfish FMP in 2020
 - Allows for commercial fishery with a catch limit and a variety of management and monitoring requirements
 - Recently completed chub mackerel research on importance of species in diet of HMS species (tunas and billfishes)
- Unmanaged landings report annual report and review of trends and landings of unmanaged fisheries



Fisheries Habitat

- Northeast Regional Marine Fish Habitat
 Assessment (NRHA) a collaborative effort to
 describe and characterize estuarine, coastal, and
 offshore fish habitat distribution, abundance, and
 quality in the Northeast
 - NRHA Data Explorer an R-shiny app to explore data on trends in fish species distribution at state and regional scales – survey and model developed. Includes habitatspecies vulnerability matrix.
- Essential Fish Habitat Amendment using new habitat science information to improve EFH designations and support the Council's fish habitat conservation efforts





Image Source: NOAA Fisheries and americanoceans.org



Climate Change and Variability

- East Coast Climate Change Scenario Planning coastwide process to explore jurisdictional and governance issues related to climate change such as changes in stock distribution and availability
- Project: Short-term forecasts of species distribution for fisheries management
 - Collaboration with Rutgers Univ. to develop and test new methods and model to predict short-term (1-10 year) climate induced distribution shifts
 - Focal species: summer flounder, spiny dogfish, Illex squid, and grey triggerfish
- NEFSC Mid-Atlantic State of the Ecosystem reports – provided annually to Council to give an overview of ecosystem-level indicators that evaluate the status and trends of ecological, environmental, economic, and social components of the ecosystem



FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

NEFSC State of the Ecosystem Reports



- Opportunity to utilize available resources (e.g. ESR) to evaluate risk elements
- SOE supported much of the data and analysis for risk assessment
- Provided to Council annually
 - Familiarity with ecosystem concepts and consideration
- Updates/improvements linked with risk assessment



Conclusions

- EAFM emphasizes an integrated approach to habitat, sustainability, multi-species interactions, connectivity, and dynamic change
- We are in transitional state data and science are incomplete and management change takes time
- Need to move forward both strategically and systematically, not radically
- Deliberate, stepwise, and collaborative approach will have better outcomes
- Significant investment with Council, scientists and stakeholders
 - Crucial for support, trust, and buy-in
 - Need for ecosystem "champions" within all groups





Questions??

Brandon Muffley bmuffley@mafmc.org

