1	COUNCIL COORDINATION COMMITTEE ME	ETIN	G	
2			T.7 I	
3 4	Marriott Beachside Hotel	кеу	west,	Florida
4 5	May 23-25, 2023			
6	May 23-25, 2025			
7	ATTENDEES			
8	Michelle Bachman			NEFMC
9	Carolyn Belcher			
10	Gib Brogan			
11	Merrick Burden			
12	Rick Bellavance			NEFMC
13	Susan Boggs	• • • •		GMFMC
14	John Carmichael			SAFMC
15	Janet Coit			
16	Marianne Cufone			
17	Kiley Dancy			
18	Kelly Denit			
19	Russ Dunn			
20	Diana Evans			
21	Carlos Farchette			
22	Rachel Feeney			
23	Tom Frazer			
24	John Froeschke			
25 26	Bob Gill Mark Gorelnik			
20 27	John Gourley			
28	Marcos Hanke			
29	Lisa Hollensead			
30	Stephanie Hunt			
31	Adam Issenberg			
32	Simon Kinneen			
33	Jim Landon			
34	Mike Luisi			
35	Sarah Malloy			NOAA
36	Heather Mann			.MTC, OR
37	Chris Moore	• • • •		MAFMC
38	Jamal Moss			NOAA
39	Emily Muehlstein	• • • •		GMFMC
40	Trish Murphey			
41	Tom Nies			
42	Brian Pawlak			
43	Mike Pentony			
44	Brad Pettinger			
45	Clay Porch			
46	Jennifer Quan			
47	Eric Reid			
48	Ryan Rindone	• • • •		GMF'MC

1

1	Miguel RolonCFMC
2	Carrie SimmonsGMFMC
3	Kitty SimondsWPFMC
4	Lee StarlingKey West, FL
5	Andy StrelcheckNOAA
6	Diana StramNPFMC
7	Greg StunzGMFMC
8	C.J. SweetmanGMFMC
9	Will SwordWPFMC
10	Wes TownsendMAFMC
11	Bill TweitNPFMC
12	Cisco WernerNOAA
13	Dave WhaleyWashington, D.C.
14	Dave WitherellNPFMC
15	Bob ZalesPanama City, FL
16	
17	

1 2	TABLE OF CONTENTS
3	Table of Motions4
4 5 6 7	Welcome and Introductions
8 9 10 11 12	NOAA Fisheries Update and FY 23/24 Priorities
13 14	NOAA Fisheries Science Updates41
15 16 17	Revised Draft: National Recreational Saltwater Policy58
17 18 19	Budget and 2024 Outlook66
20 21	Update on the Inflation Reduction Act
22 23	Gulf Council Highlights
24 25 26 27 28	Climate Change and Fisheries
28 29 30	Update on Anti-Harassment Policies and Training Opportunities123
31 32	Public Comment
33 34 35	Communications Subcommittee Report
36 37 38 39	International Fisheries Issues
40 41 42 43	7th Scientific Coordination Subcommittee Report Scientific Scientific <thscientific< th=""> Scientific</thscientific<>
44 45 46	America the Beautiful Initiative
47 48	National Standard 1 - Technical Guidance Status
	3

1	
2	Discussion of Establishing Fishing Regulations in Sanctuaries221
3 4	Legislative Outlook
5 6 7	Announcements and Recognitions
7 8 9	Public Comment
9 10 11	Integration of the Endangered Species Act
11 12 13	Marine Resource Education Program
14^{13}	CCC Workgroups/Subcommittees
15	Habitat Workgroup
16	Council Member Ongoing Development Member Training280
17 18 19	2024 CCC Meetings
20 21	Other Business and Wrap-Up
21 22 23	Adjournment
23 24 25	

1 2 3 PAGE 40: Motion that the CCC recommends that NMFS extend the comment deadline on the ANPR for National Standard 4, 8, and 9 4 5 Guidelines to October 15. The motion carried on page 41. 6 7 PAGE 158: Motion that the CCC supports the modifications to the 8 U.S. regional councils' website and continued updates and 9 maintenance. The motion carried on page 159. 10 11 PAGE 185: Motion to form a new CCC climate workgroup to develop 12 a common understanding and voice among the councils on current 13 capacity, future needs, and fishery management designs that can respond to climate change, while assisting the regional councils 14 15 in coordinating with NOAA on a response to the Ocean Climate 16 Action Plan. The motion carried on page 187. 17 PAGE 190: Motion that the CCC approves the proposed theme for 18 19 SCS-8: "Applying ABC Control Rules in a Changing Environment." 20 The SCS is also asked to recommend how workshop conclusions can 21 be shared with the CCC and the councils in a manner that 22 encourages the use of workshop results. This recommendation should be delivered to the CCC at the fall CCC meeting. 23 The 24 motion carried on page 191. 25 26 Motion that the CCC TOR for the PAGE 191: Scientific 27 Coordination Subcommittee is modified to read: The SCS will 28 consist of the chairs from each of the Regional Council 29 Scientific and Statistical Committees (SSCs), or their 30 respective proxies. The SCS can invite participation by up to 31 three additional NMFS scientists when planning SCS workshops. The motion carried on page 192. 32 33 34 PAGE 205: Motion that the CCC accepts the report of the ABM 35 Working Group and approves development of an interactive webmap 36 application. The motion carried on page 206. 37 38 PAGE 229: Motion that the CCC submit a letter to the Office of 39 National Marine Sanctuaries and the National Marine Fisheries 40 Service that acknowledges a shared responsibility to conserve 41 and sustainably manage the nation's living marine resources. Accordingly, there should be a reasonable process that allows 42 43 both the council and sanctuary to carry out their missions and achieve their objectives with minimum conflict. 44 This letter 45 should encompass the following major points: The missions of sanctuaries and the councils are not identical, but both have 46 47 the common goal of supporting healthy, diverse and abundant 48 living marine resources. Fishing and sanctuaries are not

mutually exclusive and can be compatible when the goals and 1 2 objectives do not disqualify fishing at the outset. The councils and sanctuaries are partners in marine conservation. 3 Councils have a robust, public, stakeholder driven regulatory 4 process that can complement the Sanctuary process. To the 5 6 extent fishery activities need to be addressed and to avoid 7 conflict or discord, sanctuaries should work constructively with 8 the councils to support and utilize the existing management 9 process. If Sanctuaries believe that a council is not adequately conserving resources in an established/proposed 10 sanctuary, sanctuaries should bring information and rationale to 11 12 the councils so that the councils can act accordingly. The process for determining fishing regulations in sanctuary waters 13 should be clarified for each region. In some regions, councils 14 15 are consulted by sanctuaries and there is integration of 16 sanctuary staff into council processes. In other regions, this is not the case, and a misalignment of sanctuary and council 17 18 efforts often occurs. The motion carried on page 230. 19

20 <u>PAGE 243</u>: Motion that the CCC appoints Dr. Carrie Simmons as chair of the Legislative Work Group. <u>The motion carried on page</u> 22 <u>243</u>.

PAGE 287: Motion that the CCC agrees to hold the second Council Member Ongoing Development (CMOD) session in 2025, hosted by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. The NPFMC will provide a report at the October CCC meeting on a theme, estimated costs (including a proposal for sharing of costs between NMFS and the council), and other logistics. <u>The motion</u> carried on page 287.

32 <u>PAGE 288</u>: Motion that the CCC directs the communications group 33 to plan an in-person meeting for 2024 and seek approval from the 34 CCC in October of the proposed discussion items. <u>The motion</u> 35 <u>carried on page 288</u>.

36 37

38

- - -

1 The Council Coordination Committee convened at the Marriott 2 Beachside Hotel in Key West, Florida on Tuesday morning, May 23, 3 2023, and was called to order by Gulf of Mexico Fishery 4 Management Council Chairman Greg Stunz. 5

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

8 CHAIRMAN GREG STUNZ: Good morning, everyone. We'll get 9 started. Welcome to Key West. Before we get too far into the 10 agenda, there's just a few business and admin things that we 11 need to take care of, and then Janet will kick us off with our 12 first real agenda items, but, before we get going too far, I do 13 need to read a statement, and we need to approve the agenda and 14 discuss the minutes. With that, I will start.

15

6

7

16 I am Dr. Greg Stunz, Chair of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery 17 Management Council and the 2023 Chair of the Council 18 Coordinating Committee, and I am pleased to call to order this meeting of the CCC. This meeting is open to the public, and 19 20 copies of the meeting agenda and other documents used are 21 available under the Regional Fishery Management Council website, 22 www.fisheriescouncils.org, and the NOAA Fisheries website. After the presentations, the floor will be open to CCC members 23 for questions and clarification. When all presentations are 24 25 completed, followed by CCC discussion, action is appropriate. 26

Public input is a vital part of the Council Coordination 27 28 Committee process, and we will welcome public comment from in-29 person and virtual attendees. Persons wishing to give public 30 comment in the meeting room must sign-up at the kiosk prior to 31 the last registered speaker completing public comment. Persons 32 wishing to give comment virtually must sign-up on the Regional 33 website at www.fisheriescouncils.org. Management Council 34 Members of the public will be provided an opportunity to provide 35 the CCC with their comments at the end of the agenda each day on Tuesday, May 23, and Wednesday, May 24. Public comment may end 36 37 before the published agenda time if all registered in-person and 38 virtual participants have completed their comment. 39

In-person participants, please remember to speak directly into your microphone, so that all can hear you in the room and online. Lastly, I will ask that all CCC members turn off their sound and ringers on their cellphones and mute your connection on other devices while the CCC meeting is in session.

46 In a moment, I would like to have the CCC members introduce 47 themselves, starting on my right, since I guess that's where 48 most of our Gulf Council members are, and I will start, and I

will also recognize, as your host, some of the Gulf Council 1 members in the back of the room at the end, and so I'll start. 2 3 I'm Greg Stunz, Chair of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management 4 Council. 5 6 DR. CARRIE SIMMONS: Carrie Simmons, Executive Director, Gulf 7 Council. 8 9 DR. TOM FRAZER: Tom Frazer, Vice Chair, Gulf Council. 10 11 MR. ANDY STRELCHECK: Andy Strelcheck, NOAA Fisheries Southeast 12 Regional Office, Regional Administrator. 13 DR. CLAY PORCH: Clay Porch, Southeast Fisheries Science Center 14 15 Director. 16 17 DR. CAROLYN BELCHER: Carolyn Belcher, Chair, South Atlantic 18 Council. 19 20 MR. JOHN CARMICHAEL: John Carmichael, Executive Director, South 21 Atlantic Council. 22 23 MS. TRISH MURPHEY: Trish Murphey, Vice Chair, South Atlantic 24 Council. 25 MIKE PENTONY: Mike Pentony, NOAA Fisheries, Regional 26 MR. 27 Administrator, Greater Atlantic Regional Office. 28 29 MR. ERIC REID: Eric Reid, Chair from New England. Thank you. 30 31 MR. TOM NIES: Tom Nies, Executive Director for New England, for 32 about sixty-eight days. 33 34 MR. RICK BELLAVANCE: Rick Bellavance, Vice Chairman of the New 35 England Fishery Management Council. 36 37 MR. MIKE LUISI: Good morning. Mike Luisi, Chair of the Mid-Atlantic Council. 38 39 MR. CHRIS MOORE: Chris Moore, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic 40 41 Council. 42 MR. WES TOWNSEND: Wes Townsend, Vice Chair, Mid-Atlantic 43 44 Fishery Management Council. 45 46 MR. JOHN GOURLEY: John Gourley, Chair, Western Pacific. 47 48 MS. KITTY SIMONDS: Kitty Simonds, Executive Director of the

Western Pacific Council. MR. WILL SWORD: Will Sword, Vice Chair, American Samoa, Western Pacific Council. MS. SARAH MALLOY: Sarah Malloy, Acting Regional Administrator, Pacific Islands Regional Office. MS. JENNIFER QUAN: Good morning. Jennifer, and you can call me Jen, Quan, West Coast Regional Administrator. MR. MARC GORELNIK: Good morning. Mark Gorelnik, Chair of the Pacific Council. MR. MERRICK BURDEN: Good morning. Merrick Burden, Executive Director of the Pacific Council. MR. BRAD PETTINGER: Good morning. Brad Pettinger, Vice Chair of the Pacific Council. MR. JAMAL MOSS: Good morning. Jamal Moss, NOAA Fisheries, Alaska, Deputy Regional Administrator. MR. BILL TWEIT: Bill Tweit, Vice Chair, North Pacific Council. MR. DAVE WITHERELL: Dave Witherell, Executive Director, North Pacific. MR. SIMON KINNEEN: Simon Kinneen, Chair of the North Pacific Council. MR. CARLOS FARCHETTE: Carlos Farchette, Vice Chair, Caribbean Council. MR. MIGUEL ROLON: Miguel Rolon, Executive Director, Caribbean Council. MR. MARCOS HANKE: Marcos Hanke, Chair, Caribbean Council. Good morning, everyone. MR. ADAM ISSENBERG: Hello. Adam Issenberg with NOAA's Office of General Counsel. MS. STEPHANIE HUNT: Stephanie Hunt, NOAA Fisheries, Office of Sustainable Fisheries. MR. BRIAN PAWLAK: Good morning. Brian Pawlak, Chief Financial Officer for NOAA Fisheries.

2 MS. KELLY DENIT: Good morning. Kelly Denit, Director for the 3 Office of Sustainable Fisheries.

1

4

8

11

14

30

31

5 MR. JIM LANDON: Good morning. Jim Landon, NOAA Fisheries, 6 Acting Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations and 7 Director of the Office of Law Enforcement.

9 DR. CISCO WERNER: Good morning. Cisco Werner, Chief Science 10 Advisor, NOAA Fisheries.

MS. JANET COIT: Good morning. It's great to be here. Janet Coit, Assistant Administrator, NOAA Fisheries.

15 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** All right. Thank you, everyone. I just want 16 to also introduce three members of the Gulf Council who are also 17 here as part of your host, and I would like to recognize them in 18 the back, and if you would just raise your hand. Bob Gill. 19 Thank you, Bob. Susan Boggs. C.J. Sweetman. 20

All right. Well, with those introductions, that brings us to 21 22 the agenda. The first item of business -- Everyone has been 23 through the minutes and approved those, and so my understanding 24 is that we don't need a motion for the last minutes, that those 25 minutes are approved, and the first item of business will be the Adoption of the Agenda. There has been a few minor changes, to 26 27 accommodate a few things, and Dr. Simmons is going to talk us 28 through that. 29

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

32 DR. SIMMONS: Good morning. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so we have a couple of changes to Wednesday's agenda. We are going to 33 34 move the Communications Subcommittee Report up as the first item 35 on Wednesday morning, and get the updates to the regional 36 councils' website, and that will be followed by the 37 International Fisheries Issues, and then we'll follow the agenda 38 down with the Scientific Coordination Subcommittee Report. 39

40 Then, after lunch, we're going to tackle the America the 41 Beautiful Initiative, and that will accommodate, I think, Mr. 42 Rausch's schedule, and he'll be here by then, followed by the National Standard 1 Technical Guidance Status. We are going to 43 44 have a short discussion of establishing fishing regulations in 45 the sanctuaries, and Mr. John Armor unfortunately was not able to attend in-person and so that presentation has been moved to 46 47 the October CCC meeting. We have some announcements and recognitions, some outgoing council members and recognizing an 48

1 outgoing executive director, followed by public comment. Mr.
2 Chair.
3

4 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Carrie, and so I would draw -5 - Well, I guess, first, we need -- Are there any other comments 6 or edits or suggestions regarding the agenda? Seeing none, 7 would anyone like to make a motion to approve the agenda?

9 MR. GORELNIK: I move that the CCC approve the agenda.

11 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Do we have a second for that? Second by Chris 12 Moore. Any opposition to that motion? Seeing none, we'll 13 consider the agenda approved. Okay. Well, that takes care of 14 our business, and I would direct your attention to Tab 2, where 15 our first item of business -- Janet will take us through a NOAA 16 Fisheries Update and Fiscal Year 2023 and 2024 priorities, and 17 so, Janet, whenever you're ready.

18 19 20

8

10

NOAA FISHERIES UPDATE AND FY 23/24 PRIORITIES

Thank you, Greg, and hello again, 21 MS. COIT: Thank you. 22 This is a terrific agenda, and a really valuable evervone. opportunity to be together here at the CCC meeting. I want to 23 24 start with just a few thank you, and thank you, Dr. Greg Stunz, 25 for chairing this meeting and for chairing the council, just in 26 advance of his term expiring, and thanks to Dr. Tom Frazer for 27 stepping in as Vice Chair, and thank you to Carrie, and the entire staff of the Gulf Council, who did so much work to put on 28 29 this meeting, with fifty to sixty people in the room, and more 30 online, and a rich agenda and a gracious welcome, and so thank 31 you very much.

32

33 I wanted to also, and I know we'll do more later, but just 34 acknowledge that, as well as Greg, that a number of folks are 35 coming to the end of their time on their councils, and I was 36 able to just -- I am trying to attend each of the council meetings, and it's taking me longer than I had hoped, because of 37 38 COVID and other issues, but I was fortunate to go to the most recent Caribbean Council meeting, and I spent time with Marcos 39 Hanke and the rest of them, and Marcos is at the end of his 40 41 time, having served two nine-year stints on the Caribbean 42 Council.

43

44 Just down the row is Simon Kinneen, who is also ending his three 45 consecutive terms, and John Gourley also, the Vice Chair of the 46 Western Pacific Council, also ending his three consecutive 47 terms, and I just want to thank you all for incredible public 48 service and the contributions, and I'm really interested in your

observations, on the way out the door, for what you've learned 1 2 and what we might benefit from in your long service on the 3 councils. You all deal with very difficult issues, and the 4 contributions you've made to this country, and to our fisheries, 5 are legion, and I just want to acknowledge how grateful we are. 6 7 Then it's Tom Nies' last CCC meeting, and I understand that he has been serving the council, the New England Council, for over 8 9 twenty-five years, the last decade, or more, in the role of Executive Director, and, Tom, I can't say enough, in terms of 10 11 the -- Maybe you will write a book, but thank you for your 12 incredible service, and we wish you well. 13 14 Just a few comments on the agenda, and I just wanted to note 15 that -- Also, I wanted to say that Sam Rauch is testifying today 16 in front of the House Natural Resources Committee, and they are 17 having a budget hearing on NOAA, and certainly that committee 18 has an outsized interest in the fisheries part of NOAA, compared to the rest of the NOAA line offices, and so Sam has stayed in 19 20 Washington, D.C., where he is testifying, and he'll be flying 21 down tomorrow, and it was a command performance, and he was 22 sorry to miss this meeting, and he probably -- I'm sure he would rather be sitting here, but it will be interesting to hear about 23 24 the questions that were raised, and we really appreciate him 25 taking that assignment and testifying today. 26 27 Then the other folks from NOAA have introduced themselves, and I'm so pleased to be here with them, which brings me to 28 29 Stephanie Hunt. She is giving an update today on the harassment prevention policies and training, and I just wanted to really 30 31 applaud the councils for adopting these policies and making this a priority. It was really great to see that you took that on, 32 33 and the efforts are really important, I think, for creating a 34 safe and welcoming environment, and so thank you, and thanks, 35 Stephanie for highlighting that today. 36

Then I also wanted to thank all of you, and particularly note the East Coast Scenario Planning Project, for the work that you've done with climate change. Your input into the Regional Climate Action Plans, and helping us define and lead the way towards what climate-ready fisheries means is critical.

Climate change is a framework in which this administration is looking, you know, across infrastructure investments and social equity. Obviously, it's affecting our fisheries, affecting our communities, and you see the changes in the ecosystems, and we're building our scientific capacity to better understand those changes, but understanding is just half of it, and we then 1 have to make decisions and manage to address the changes.

3 I was able, on the plane, to read most of the report-out and the 4 action plan for the East Coast Scenario Planning, and I know 5 we're going to spend some time on that at this meeting, and I 6 think it's a really fantastic body of work, and I'm really 7 looking forward to that. It will be a focus, a continued focus, 8 for this administration and for me, going forward.

10 I wanted to welcome Jen Quan, and so please, if you haven't 11 already, introduce yourself to Jen. We're so thrilled that she's here leading the West Coast Region. She comes to us, most 12 13 recently, from the Senate Commerce Committee, and she has deep 14 expertise in fisheries. She has worked for NOAA before, and she was a very successful and inspiring manager at NOAA. 15 She's 16 worked for the State of Washington, and she understands tribal 17 issues, Pacific salmon issues, and we're very fortunate to have 18 here leading the West Coast Region, and so welcome, Jen. 19

20 I also wanted to note, and I think she's coming to some of your council meetings, that I have hired Katie Westfall, a new member 21 22 of the team, to focus on offshore wind, and it was a very consuming issue for me, and it will continue to be a consuming 23 24 issue, but we felt that we needed more firepower to really 25 address some of the policy challenges around offshore wind, and Katie -- Again, she's not here today, but, if you are -- If 26 27 offshore wind is on your mind, which I certainly know it is on the Atlantic coast, and increasingly the Gulf and the Pacific, 28 29 she's a great resource, working out of Headquarters office. 30

That brings me to offshore wind, and it's something that you had asked that I address, and, as part of the present climate agenda, expansion and acceleration of our nation's offshore wind industry in the U.S. is a pillar of that agenda, and it impacts greatly the work that we do, and so I wanted to give a bit of a summary and talk about some of our focal areas there.

38 The President's Executive Order talks about deploying offshore 39 wind, while protecting biodiversity and promoting ocean co-use. 40 Sometimes that portion of the statement seems to get left off, 41 and I think the job that I have, and that you have, is looking 42 at sustaining ocean co-use and promoting biodiversity, while we 43 look to responsibly site and regulate the offshore wind 44 industry.

45

2

9

46 We've been dealing with it for longer than anyone else off the 47 coast of southern New England, and there's two approved 48 projects, and NOAA learned a lot, and Mike Pentony and GARFO

were at the center of that, in terms of reviewing those projects 1 under our statutes and meeting the requirements under the law. 2 3 All of those projects, to-date, are some version of monopile, and floating offshore wind is coming to deeper waters, and it 4 5 poses new questions, and new scientific uncertainty, beyond what 6 we're already confronting with the projects on the Atlantic. 7 8 Building our capacity to review, on the front end, the siting 9 and the marine spatial planning, which I want to commend Clay Porch for the work that the Southeast Science Center has done in 10 the Gulf on marine spatial planning. That, I think, is the best 11 12 example we have, to-date in America, in terms of looking at 13 avoiding conflicts upfront and collecting data so that you can 14 site the projects in the best way possible. 15 We're looking to build capacity on the frontend, in terms of 16 17 marine spatial planning and siting, but, for those many projects 18 that are already in the shoot, so to speak, we're working very hard to review them for compliance with the Endangered Species 19 20 Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act, the Magnuson-Stevens Act, 21 that they follow the appropriate NEPA process, and that has been 22 extremely consuming. 23 24 We're currently engaged in ten additional offshore wind 25 projects, and we're a cooperating agency with BOEM, who, as you 26 know, is the action agency, and we also, of course, have responsibility under the statute, and so things like the NEPA 27 28 EIS and the record of decision incorporate all of the mitigation measures and the conditions that we put on those permits. 29 30 31 Our budget requests have been going up each year, because we need more staff capacity, and we also need more funding for 32 33 survey mitigation, and that's a very active effort out of the 34 Northeast Fisheries Science Center. The impacts to our long-35 standing surveys are of great concern to NOAA, and we have a 36 mitigation strategy, but, even on the Atlantic coast, it's hundreds of millions of dollars, and they need to do the 37 38 research and calibrate those surveys, and so that's a key area 39 of focus. 40 41 We have a North Atlantic right whale strategy on the Atlantic 42 coast that we've done together with BOEM, again led out of our Northeast Fisheries Science Center, with a lot of input from our 43 44 Office of Protected Resources, and that's another effort to 45 identify, avoid, minimize, and mitigate strategies around 46 impacts to endangered North Atlantic right whales, which is the 47 species of greatest concern when it comes to siting of offshore 48 wind on the Atlantic coast.

We are -- BOEM has in mind -- They've held eleven offshore wind lease sales and issued twenty-seven active commercial wind leases, and they plan to complete the environmental review process for sixteen more offshore wind projects by 2025, and so just the workload of keeping up, let alone the scientific issues and the challenges associated with these large infrastructure projects, are very consuming.

10 People have asked me, and is that taking away from other work, and, yes, we've had to divert resources in order to handle the 11 12 permitting and the regulatory aspects of these projects. We're 13 looking for resources from an entity called the Federal Permitting Interagency Council, and I think I got that slightly 14 15 wrong, and we have hopes of getting additional resources, and 16 we've also had support from the President, and from Congress, to 17 keep increasing our resources, but, as we look to do this responsibly, and navigate this course, one of the issues that 18 19 we've been discussing recently with the White House is, again, 20 what are the roles of the councils.

22 We've had presentations from BOEM, and you have weighed-in, but 23 there isn't a specific role carved out under the law, and so, as with a lot of things, we have a lot of transparency and 24 25 experience around fisheries management, but are still looking to 26 have a clearer role, with more transparency, around offshore 27 wind. The fisheries compensation issues are very difficult 28 issues that have largely been led by the states, through their 29 authorities, and BOEM has some quidance out now, in a draft 30 form, but those are issues too that, as a policy challenge, need 31 considerable attention, and it's something that we're focused 32 on.

As offshore wind is spread to other coasts, and we talked 34 35 recently about it coming to the Caribbean, I think there's been 36 a bigger head of steam, or set of interested entities, and so, while we're working to do our best on the projects that are 37 going forward, I think there's a lot of opportunity still to 38 39 improve the policies around offshore wind, and it's something that I would love to discuss further with this group and that 40 41 we're working really hard on.

42

33

1

9

21

43 Having said that, the climate change impacts to our ecosystems 44 and the ambition to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to 45 scale-up renewables is an imperative, and offshore wind, and its 46 challenges and our opportunities around siting, and around 47 regulations, are something we need to keep confronting head-on. 48 Again, I want to commend Mike Pentony, because he probably has 1 more experience than anyone in the room, in terms of the reviews 2 and the regulatory responsibilities for those projects, and so 3 that's a huge focus for me and for the leadership team. 4 5 When we hear from Dave Whaley, perhaps he will have some

6 comments about interest on the hill, because not only is there 7 interest around so-called permitting reform, and that's 8 something we're hearing a lot about during the conversations 9 about the debt ceiling, but there also is interest around these 10 other issues that I mentioned, in terms of supporting fisheries 11 and reducing impacts on protected species.

13 Speaking of protected species, and I'm going to switch gears here and just mention that this year marks the fiftieth anniversary of the Endangered Species Act, and that, as you 14 15 16 know, passed overwhelmingly, with bipartisan support, in 1973. 17 It isn't probably quite as popular today, but it is one of the 18 foundational laws that has enabled us to work to prevent 19 extinction successfully, largely, and is imperative that you are 20 very familiar with, as you deal with the intersection of ESA and 21 MSA, which we're going to discuss later, and as you deal with 22 the challenges around endangered species and fisheries, 23

May 19 was Endangered Species Day, and we've been doing a lot to raise awareness about monk seals and right whales and corals and all of the species under our responsibility, and I want to commend the work that the councils have done around reducing impacts on endangered species from fisheries and mention that --I won't list the species in every region, but you're all dealing with these issues.

31

41

32 Pacific salmon challenges are particularly at the forefront of our minds, given the number of listed species and the impact on 33 fisheries, and it's something that Congress is also very interested in, and so it's a big focus for us. I think the 34 35 36 fiftieth anniversary gives us an opportunity to educate folks, 37 to celebrate our successes, and to continue to collaborate with 38 the councils towards having the world in which we have 39 sustainable fisheries and we're also doing our absolute best to 40 protect and recover listed species.

42 There's more to come on that, and the actual fiftieth 43 anniversary isn't until December 28, but, together with the U.S. 44 Fish and Wildlife Service, we have a number of things planned 45 along the year. 46

47 The bipartisan infrastructure law and the impending 48 announcements on the Inflation Reduction Act are some of the areas where we've been able to invest greatly in conservation and restoration and restoring fish passage, and, among the challenges that we have in our respective roles, it's been really exciting to be at the helm during a time when we have additional resources, hundreds of millions in some programs, you know totaling several billion dollars at NOAA, to put towards habitat conservation.

8

25

9 That is something that Carrie Robinson leads, but your states, the tribes, the partners that you work with, have been very 10 11 successful, and we've had over a hundred grants issued to-date, 12 really significant projects in watersheds. Because of the size 13 of the funding amounts, we've been able to help partners do projects that they have contemplated for decades, and we have 14 15 more to come, and so we finished our first round of announcements just last month, and we announced what's called The Transformational Habitat and the Capacity-Building Grants. 16 17 18

We had thirty-five new projects that addressed underserved communities, and lots of new applicants, and so we have not only the great watersheds that we're working to restore and protect, but also some really heartening projects in urban areas, where they're looking at the impacts of sea level rise and flooding as well as habitat.

26 We expect -- We have bumped up those funding amounts, with some 27 of the funding from the Inflation Reduction Act, and Brian is 28 giving a budget presentation later, and there really isn't too much we can say yet about our big intentions for using the 29 30 Inflation Reduction Act funding, except to say that we hope to 31 line it up with our critical mission priorities and that we have 32 a plan that is under review right now, but it's exciting to 33 contemplate additional resources to focus on science, 34 management, habitat restoration, and there's more to come soon, in terms of IRA. We recognize that you all are also buffeted 35 36 with additional needs, particularly in addressing ecosystem changes related to climate change, and so we want to be helpful. 37 38

The equity and environmental justice strategy, which some of our 39 IRA funding -- It impacts some of all of our investments across 40 41 this administration, and, you know, we're looking to do a better 42 job in the areas that have been underinvested in in the past, or have borne more than their share of the burden of pollution, and 43 44 we have an EEJ strategy that was released yesterday, that Sam 45 led, and I believe Kelly is going to speak to right after I 46 finish my remarks, and we're very excited about the strategy. 47

48 I would say it's the beginning of a new phase, in terms of

working with all of you and working across our programs, to see how we can improve access and improve our performance in communities that maybe have not benefitted as much from either the fisheries or funding, and I just want to commend the work that went into that and say, again, that it's not like the work is done. The work is just beginning.

8 Our National Seafood Strategy is going to be released before too 9 long, hopefully this summer, and that's, for me, a really 10 important effort that allows us to talk about all the myriad 11 benefits of seafood and to educate the public and support the commercial sector, support infrastructure, look to issues that 12 13 are uniquely in our scope, in terms of sustainability, and look a little bit beyond our scope, into some of the trade issues, 14 15 and it's not just a repackaging of what we're doing.

17 However, it does give us a platform from which to talk about the 18 work that you're doing and how science-based and rigorous the 19 management decisions are that give us confidence that we have 20 well-managed fisheries and that the American public can have 21 confidence in our seafood being delicious and healthy and well-22 managed to protect the ecosystems, and so there's a lot we want to do to just promote seafood, and it's benefits, and then look 23 24 to the various ways that we, with our management and with our 25 funding, can support the seafood sector in America. 26

27 When we did the roundtables and got a lot of feedback, it was 28 striking how the concerns across industry are very similar region-to-region, even while your fisheries are very, very 29 different, about aging of the workforce, old infrastructure, 30 31 concerns about climate change and shifting stocks, and what is 32 that going to mean, and so we're hoping that the seafood 33 strategy, which we've talked a lot about at some of the seafood 34 expos, like SENA in Boston, gives us an opportunity to have a 35 really positive story that we can work with you on.

37 As I wrap-up, I wanted to again note that I have been working to get to each of the council meetings, and there's nothing that I 38 39 enjoy more than meeting people where they live and learning from all of you about the different challenges that you face. I am 40 41 headed to American Samoa for the Western Pacific meeting in 42 June, and I think I've worked out a time to go to the South 43 Atlantic, later this year, and my first meeting that I attended 44 was New England, but I attended virtually, and so I've got to 45 also get back there.

46

36

7

16

47 I will close by saying that it's important to me, and to all of 48 us, to not be sitting in Silver Spring, but to get out and see

what you're grappling with, and I have tremendous respect for 1 the work that you do and for the way that the Magnuson-Stevens 2 3 Act sets us up to collaborate and bring many voices to the table 4 in making well-thought-out decisions, based on science, at a time when the challenges are quite great, but I feel optimistic 5 6 that, as I look around this table, with the work that we're able 7 to do together, and I'm eager to, you know, kind of pull the lid 8 off and talk more about it to everywhere I go, about how proud I 9 am of the seafood sector and the science and the collaboration that we are all part of around this table, and so thank you, 10 all, and I'm very interested to hear the dialogue throughout 11 this meeting, and also some of the side conversations that also 12 13 give us the chance to get to know each other better. Thank you, 14 Greq. 15

16 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you, Janet, and I'm sure there will be 17 questions for you, and I will go ahead and open up the floor for 18 questions. Bill.

19

27

36

MR. TWEIT: Thanks, Mr. Chair, and thanks, Janet, for a really good walk-through on a lot of complex issues. I am still -- I kind of forgot, and I couldn't really see it in the EEJ presentation very well, but are you thinking that the agency will, sometime in the near future, have the ability to take a look at underserved communities from the seafood consumer perspective?

28 We've certainly heard a lot in the media, generally, about 29 disparities between communities across the nation, in terms of 30 the -- Both the quality of food that's available to stores and 31 as well as cost of food that's available, and I'm wondering if 32 there is any ability to track that just from a seafood perspective, and where U.S. seafood ends up, particularly, 33 34 again, looking at it from the standpoint of affordable, quality, 35 sustainably harvested?

MS. COIT: Thank you, Bill. I feel like the intersection of our National Seafood Strategy and the EEJ strategy is the place for that to live. Again, the seafood strategy gives us an opportunity just to talk about food and the importance of seafood as a source of protein.

I went to a dinner in the Caribbean where underutilized species were promoted, working with some of the chefs that are quite well known, and I know a number of you in the states have worked on underutilized species, but, you know, when we look at the industry, or other ways of getting healthy protein to kids and families, I'm interested, as part of the nexus between a seafood strategy, looking at the importance of sustainable seafood, and the EEJ strategy, looking at how to promote health and wellbeing in disadvantaged communities, and I think that's an exciting place for us to focus.

5 6 Also, I didn't mention the growth of aquaculture, but that is 7 part of our seafood strategy, and it has some potential in some 8 areas, also. In some areas, it's some of the most expensive 9 seafood, but, with mussels and other species, there might be an 10 opportunity there, too.

12 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Any other questions? All right. Well, thank 13 you, Janet, for that thorough summary, and I'm sure that you 14 will be around for some time, if there's other questions and 15 that kind of thing as well. All right. Well, with that, we'll 16 move on to the next agenda item, and that is Kelly Denit is up 17 to talk about NOAA's Equity and Environmental Justice. Kelly, 18 are you ready?

19

11

20 21

27

NATIONAL EQUITY AND ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

MS. DENIT: Thank you, Chair, and so imagine me with slightly less hair, and perhaps maybe a little bit grumpier expression on my face, presenting on behalf of Sam, who, as Janet mentioned, was a champion of our equity and environmental justice, EEJ, strategy, and he has led this multiyear effort.

28 First of all, thank you all for your feedback and input as part 29 of the strategy. As you know, this was a multiyear effort, with 30 an iterative process to get as much feedback as we could to 31 inform it, and we are super psyched that it is out, as of yesterday, and hopefully you've all had a chance to at least 32 33 skim through it, and we are readily recognizing that not all 34 communities have had equal access to NOAA Fisheries' services. 35 This strategy is going to guide us as we work to engage with 36 underserved communities, moving forward. 37

38 There are three main goals in this strategy, and the first is 39 centered on identifying underserved communities, providing 40 equitable treatment, and meaningful involvement of those communities, and this is really at the heart of the strategy, 41 42 and I think, in part, it reflects at least I know some of the 43 feedback that I've heard from the council EDs, in particular on 44 identifying who are the underserved communities in your 45 respective areas.

46

47 The second goal is focused on providing equitable services, and 48 the third is prioritizing EEJ in our mission work with demonstrable progress, and so this is really focused on that which gets measured gets managed and making sure that we're including metrics and focusing on outcomes as part of this strategy.

6 We have identified six core areas, and I am going to focus at 7 the center to start, which is that empowering environment, and 8 this is the foundation, from our perspective, on the long-term 9 institutionalization of EEJ, and it involves our leadership support as well as building our workforce, in particular 10 11 improving diversity within our workforce, creating that environment where folks are able to participate and bring their 12 13 full perspective to the conversation.

14

15 Moving up to twelve o'clock on the clock there, as we go around 16 the graphic, is the policy and plans, and so, in this area, we 17 want to focus on removing the structural barriers to equity that 18 may have perpetuated inequality over time, whether that's through access to resources or our regulatory burden. We also 19 20 want to focus on research and monitoring, and this is really 21 focused in on diversifying how we're collecting our information 22 and taking advantage of maybe some knowledge sources that we 23 have not previously used and use that inform both our decision-24 making and better inform our assessment of the impacts of our 25 decision-making.

26

27 Outreach and engagement, this is focused on our relationship building and creating pathways for communication, both 28 to 29 underserved communities as well as from underserved communities. Benefits is looking at how we ensure that our benefits for 30 31 fisheries, as well as financial benefits, are equitably 32 distributed, and, ultimately, inclusive governance, supporting 33 the meaningful involvement of underserved communities in our 34 decision-making process, which is a critical role that you all 35 play as part of our fishery management system, and we'll talk a 36 little bit more as we get into some of the detailed public 37 feedback that we received.

38

I am going to take a couple of slides to just talk you through 39 some of the feedback that we heard. There's a lot of focus on 40 41 taking more of a bottom-up approach to our management, and better aligning our work with the needs of underserved 42 43 communities, and there was a significant focus on co-management 44 and cooperative research types of activities, to better engage 45 underserved communities in the whole continuum of our 46 management.

47

48 We need to identify and engage with groups that have not

historically been included, and this is a component of 1 2 Really, a lot of the feedback was focused on including humans as part of the management considerations, and so getting a better handle on actually characterizing our fishing communities, and 3 4 who is in them, and then, also, looking at the multifaceted 5 benefits that people get from fishing and recognizing that 6 7 economic components are only one aspect of that and that there 8 are cultural and other components that we need to be better at 9 taking into account. 10 11 There was also a request that we promote equity in our distribution and access to fishing and aquaculture and that we 12 13 take equity into account as we're thinking about our management 14 with respect to protected resources. 15 16 A lot of comments related to the autonomy of territorial and 17 tribal governments and the special role that the tribes have 18 with respect to the federal government, and, also, an emphasis 19 around our diversity, and are we reflecting, we the NOAA 20 Fisheries Service reflecting, the communities that we serve, and so suggestions for us to increase diversity on our workforce, as 21 22 well as in the council process and our other advisory bodies 23 that provide input to NOAA Fisheries as part of our decision-24 making. 25 26 As I mentioned on the previous slide, a number of comments 27 around making sure that emphasis is on our outcomes and not just 28 number of meetings that we hold or number of workshops that we 29 conduct, but how do we actually move the needle to achieve some 30 of the outcomes that we identify as part of the strategy. 31 Then there was also guite a feedback that was a little bit more 32 33 implementation focused, as opposed to strategy, and so we've 34 tried to capture them here. There was a focus on making sure 35 that we're communicating early and often with our stakeholders, and, when I get to another couple of slides, in another couple 36 37 of slides, I'll talk a little bit about our engagement over this 38 upcoming summer. We have taken that to heart. 39 40 We also heard a number of comments about engaging with you all, 41 other agencies, making sure that we are engaging with 42 underserved communities, but not in such a way that, all of a 43 they go from no conversations with us to 100 sudden, conversations with us, but it's all different parts of us coming 44 45 at folks, and that can create its own set of challenges, and so 46 trying to make sure that we find that right balance. 47 48 Then, also, making sure that we support the capacity for EEJ

work, and so an emphasis on having people in the locations where 1 2 folks are, making sure that we are doing better about language skills, cultural understanding for those communities that we're 3 engaging with, and further emphasis on researching the social 4 5 impacts of management decisions on peoples and communities. 6 7 For more information, it is on our website, and I apologize that 8 I didn't actually put the link here in the slide, but the final 9 strategy is available in English on our website right now, and 10 it will also be published in Spanish, and that will be coming 11 soon, and then we will have the executive summary available in 12 ten-plus additional languages here in the near-term as well. 13 14 I have two more slides, and this is really just laying out our 15 As I mentioned, this has been a multiyear process, timeline. and it started with a national EEJ working group that we formed, 16 17 and up to where we are now on the far-right, which is the 18 rollout of the final strategy, and now we're moving into engagement, with the goal of having EEJ implementation plans 19 20 finalized by the end of this year. There will be a focus, this 21 summer, on engaging across our diverse communities by the 22 regional offices. 23 24 Here is what is happening next. Each regional office, and the 25 Headquarters office, has been asked to create an engagement 26 plan, and so start thinking about who are the critical 27 underserved communities in their area that they need to engage 28 with, conduct that engagement over the course of the summer, and use the input from that engagement, ultimately, to develop the 29 30 implementation plans, which, as I mentioned, are intended to be 31 done by the end of this calendar year. 32 33 Again, my thanks to Sam and all of our folks who led this 34 effort, and I'm just the face of it for this morning, and so I 35 would be happy to answer any questions, Chair. 36 37 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Kelly. Yes, go ahead, if there's 38 any questions. Merrick. 39 40 MR. BURDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Kelly, for that excellent presentation. In a few slides before this, you 41 42 had a list of comments that you were receiving, one of which, at the bottom, focused on -- It says to monitor outcomes and not 43 inputs, and so my question is on the outcomes, and what are 44 45 those outcomes that you would plan to monitor for and track? 46 Then a related question would be do you have any thoughts about

how to integrate those with the National Standards that

currently guide our processes and work?

47

48

MS. DENIT: Thanks, Merrick. I think the overarching goals that I touched on highlight some concepts that are intended to be guiding the outcomes, but, ultimately, the outcomes are going to be identified as part of the implementation plans that each of the regions are developing, and this is my understanding of the process, and so let me put that caveat there.

9 Those will be identified as part of that upcoming engagement, 10 which will happen over the summer, to then guide where we need 11 to focus our efforts and what those outcomes are that we're 12 trying to achieve.

14 With respect to the National Standards, obviously, we'll be 15 talking about that here in just a second, and we have our advanced notice of proposed rulemaking out, and, as we've 16 17 highlighted in the ANPR, thoughts around EEJ are one of the main 18 components that we are thinking about with respect to the 19 National Standard Guidelines, all three that are included, and 20 so, to the extent that there are thoughts and input with respect 21 to how underserved communities could be better served, with 22 respect to changes to the National Standard Guidelines, that's 23 what we're going to be seeking to hear back from folks over the 24 course of the ANPR comment period. Hopefully that answered your 25 question.

26

28

35

1

13

27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. Tom.

MR. NIES: Thank you. I would just like to follow-up on one of Merrick's questions about that very bullet on outcomes and not inputs, and I guess I struggle, when I looked at the metrics in the document, which talk about number of meetings held, number of people trained, number of this, and how those are measuring outcomes rather than inputs.

You know, when you look at many of the metrics, the things that are identified in here, it seems like they're all measuring inputs, to me, and not outcomes, and so it seems odd, to me, and I think Merrick's question is exactly on point, and what are the outcomes that we're looking for here, but my question relates to the engagement process.

42

I guess I'm a little curious whether the engagement plan that is supposed to be developed this year includes the first step of identifying who the underserved communities are in our regions, because I know, in some regions, that may be easy to do, and, in others, it may be more difficult, and, speaking in New England, I'm not sure that we have a clear understanding of who the 1 underserved communities are that we need to target, and so is 2 that the first step of the engagement plan or not, to identify 3 those communities?

5 MS. DENIT: I haven't read everyone's engagement plans, and I 6 would imagine that, yes, there would be a component of 7 identifying who those underserved communities are, and I think 8 it's also important to recognize that this is going to be an 9 iterative process, and we are not expecting that we are going to 10 know exactly who all of our underserved communities are 11 immediately by the end of this year.

13 The idea is to start the engagement now, so that we can, over 14 time, identify all of the communities that potentially are 15 underserved, that we don't know who they are right now, but I 16 saw that Janet might have more to add there.

18 MS. COIT: Yes, and I wanted to just add that something that we 19 did with the BIL funds, in our underserved community grants, was 20 ask folks to self-identify, and I think there will be a 21 combination of that, and it was very powerful, because it's not 22 about a census track, or some measure that the federal government is telling you, that you're identified as this, and 23 24 it was come forward and tell us why you feel you meet this 25 category, and so I think the engagement is kind of tricky, because it's not just let us smart people in the room identify 26 27 who is an underserved community, but it's really seeking to find 28 ways of connecting with people, so that folks can come forward. 29

I can think, in Rhode Island, of the Hmong community, or communities that depend a lot on fisheries, but might not know how to access decision-making processes, and so, you know, there's a number of -- There is different kinds of ways to approach this, and I think they will be very regionally specific, but I just wanted to emphasize that part of that is organic, with people coming forward, if we can reach them.

38 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom, did you have a follow-up to that?

40 MR. NIES: No, but thank you.

42 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Next on the list I had Chris.

43

39

41

4

12

17

44 **MR. MOORE:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. I had the same, or a similar, 45 question to Tom, and so thanks for the answer, and thanks, 46 Kelly, for the presentation, but, yes, I'm very curious as to 47 how and who these underserved communities are in the Mid-48 Atlantic, and so any clarification on that, as we move forward, 1 would certainly be helpful. Thank you.

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. Bill.

5 MR. TWEIT: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Kelly, it's sort of a follow-up 6 to my initial question to Janet about -- She talked a little bit 7 about the intersection between the National Seafood Strategy and 8 this, and so whose responsibility is it then to write an 9 engagement plan for all the non-coastal states? I didn't hear that, but you talked about how there is -- So my question to 10 11 Janet, and in her answer, it was that there is clearly 12 underserved communities in the middle of the continent too, away 13 from the coast, and how are they going to be engaged in this? 14

15 MS. DENIT: So I don't know. I would say, again, we're not 16 going to have everything right now at the start, and so the 17 point that you raised about seafood consumers I think is one for us to think some more about, and, whether that's a component of, 18 for example, a Headquarters Office engagement, as we think about 19 20 equity and environmental justice, compared to the regional offices, that might be more focused on the coastal states that 21 22 are in their respective region, and I think that would be, at 23 least in my head, the first thing that comes to mind, Bill, for 24 how we would capture those communities in the interior of the 25 country, but I welcome an assist from anyone else who has ideas, 26 or thoughts, about how we might get to that.

28 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Bill, a follow-up?

30 MR. TWEIT: I guess just sort of a follow-up comment, and it's 31 certainly no solution, but, at least from my perspective, as a 32 council member, understanding where that seafood that's produced out of our area ends up, how much of it ends up sort of helping 33 34 address the needs of underserved communities, is going to be 35 fairly important information, in terms of -- This is going to be 36 a balancing act, like everything else we do, and the loudest 37 voices are always the closest voices in our council process, and 38 thinking about the balancing act then between what we produce 39 that's needed by fishery communities locally, either as revenue, 40 economic benefits, or as actually meeting consumers' needs 41 there, versus what's being needed elsewhere in the country, 42 particularly in the interior, ultimately seems, to me, to be an 43 important part of really making sure that we're fully addressing 44 EEJ and not just looking at specific geographic slides of EEJ. 45

46 47

27

29

2

4

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Bill. Go ahead, John.

48 MR. GOURLEY: Thank you, Chairman. This is great, and I love

1 the noble goals. I think we've got a really long way to go in 2 the Western Pacific. We have a lot of issues with EEJ issues, 3 and I believe we were even the most responsive region, when it 4 came to giving you our concerns. 5

6 I don't think there's any problem in identifying American Samoa, 7 Guam, and CNMI as being underserved communities. To a certain 8 degree, I believe that Hawaii would also fall into that 9 category, but what's interesting in the Western Pacific is that we are politically neutered, and I believe that Puerto Rico is 10 11 in the same boat, is that we do not have a voting member in 12 Congress, and we do not have any representative in the Senate, 13 and we do not vote for President, although that probably doesn't 14 mean much, and so we're stepped on, and we are not treated with 15 any type of respect by the rest of the country that have politicians that represent their interests. 16 17

I will give an example, and I know that we sound like a broken record with sanctuaries, but they come out, and they parachute in, and they give us an explanation on sanctuaries for twenty minutes, and they cut the questions and answers off, so that we can give comments, and, well, how the hell are the comments going to be any good when the community, the affected community, doesn't understand what's going on, and then they go out.

26 There is no coordination, and they engage with more diverse 27 groups, respecting the autonomy of territories, and that doesn't happen out here in the Western Pacific, and, quite honestly, my 28 29 personal opinion is that ONMS is out of control, and it affects us, as a fishing group, because ONMS -- I shouldn't say ONMS, 30 31 but sitting presidents, of both political parties, have already 32 knocked out over 50 percent of U.S. waters in the Western 33 Pacific for our commercial fishing.

Now ONMS is coming behind and turning everything that are monuments into sanctuaries, but wouldn't you think that 50 percent of our waters being closed to fisheries is enough? No. Let's expand the boundaries of the monuments and call them sanctuaries, and they infer to let's close the fisheries.

34

40

41 We may not -- You know, ONMS may basically make our jobs in the 42 Western Pacific Council obsolete, and we won't have any waters 43 for anything to actually manage, and, NMFS, what are we going to 44 manage, if everything is a sanctuary? I am going to stop there, 45 but this EEJ needs to be focused on -- I don't mean to 46 prioritize the Western Pacific with the other guys here, but 47 we've got some very serious problems in the way we've been treated, and I will end with one thing, and it's sanctuary-48

1 related.

2

8

13

16

3 It's that the Governor of the CNMI, when he was newly elected, 4 sent a letter to ONMS expressing concerns about why the Mariana 5 Sanctuary has not been taken off the inventory list, one year 6 after the deadline for the public comment period, and what's 7 going on? We don't even get the courtesy of a response.

9 You know, I really hope that NMFS can support the Western 10 Pacific in keeping our waters open for commercial fishing. 11 You've got 50 percent already, and that's enough, and so I'm 12 going to stop there, but thank you.

14 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you, John, and we probably should move on 15 a little bit, unless there's more comments. Go ahead.

17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I represent an underserved MR. SWORD: fishing community in American Samoa, and I know that, following 18 up on Merrick's question, is how do you measure outcomes, and 19 20 that's really, really important. Basically, it says are we 21 going to walk the talk, and do our actions speak louder than 22 words, and not just words on paper, and I want to thank Kelly, 23 first of all, for actually putting this together, because it's 24 really important. 25

I know that feedback from our territory has been extensive, and from our outreach and from the Western, but we look at equity, and do we walk the talk? For NOAA, NMFS cuts the quotas and our fishing days on the high seas, and the sanctuaries close off the domestic waters to fishing, and, since 2004, we've had fortyfour purse seiners fishing, representing the U.S., and this is a U.S. fleet in the Pacific. Now we're down to eleven.

33

39

We had two canneries, and now we're down to one. They employ --They had 5,000 workers, and now we're down to 2,800, and 60 percent of all people in American Samoa are under the U.S. poverty level. If there is a definition for underserved, this is it. That's really, really important.

40 When you close off waters, especially the -- Our fishing boats 41 go to them, because the purse seiners represent 85 percent of 42 the fish that we pack in our canneries, and most of the contracts there go to the U.S. military for food, and the 43 44 canneries bring in the boats that supply the dry dock with 45 business, and so we keep it open. If anything happens with China, for instance, with the military, we need all that 46 infrastructure. 47

48

By closing off these fisheries, we're actually, in many ways, 1 shooting ourselves, but the key is equity. How do you serve 2 3 this underserved fishing community? By closing it off? Actually, it's kind of like ironic, or hypocritical, for both 4 branches of NOAA to -- It's kind of like an attack from both 5 ends, to the point where you just annihilate fishing in our 6 7 area, and actually annihilate our -- The one cannery that we 8 have represents about 80 percent of our economy. You know, 9 there is no comeback, once it's closed and it's out of business. 10

I want to say, again, for EEJ, walk the talk, and how do you 11 measure it? Well, we should be measuring before we make 12 decisions, and we should know what it is, what it represents, 13 and so, to me, I think that it's very important to think of the 14 15 outcomes, and, when you talk about representation, the Pacific 16 Remote Island Coalition -- American Samoa used to depend on Senator Inouye, in the old days, to represent us, because John 17 18 just mentioned that we don't have any real voting in Congress, 19 and so -- But, in this case, we have some fanatics there, 20 basically, in our view from American Samoa, that are dictating 21 how things are run, and they don't belong to Hawaii. Thev 22 belong to the U.S. states and territories, and we should remember that when we make these decisions. Those are my two 23 24 bits that I wanted to throw on the floor, but thank you very 25 much, Mr. Chairman. 26

27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Will, and we probably should 28 move on. Just as a reminder, tomorrow afternoon, we'll have a 29 little bit more discussion on this, as well as it will be a 30 major agenda focal area at our October meeting as well, and so I 31 don't see any other hands. Thank you, Kelly, and I believe you're up next again, for the next -- Just to remind everyone on 32 33 our schedule here, we have just a little over ten minutes, 34 Kelly, for this next agenda item, and we probably could go a 35 little more if we need to, but that's where National Standards 36 4, 8, and 9, as well as some data confidentiality discussion --37 So no pressure there.

DATA CONFIDENTIALITY RULE

41 MS. DENIT: I think it's safe to say we're going to have a late 42 break. If it would be okay, Chair, since there's such disparate 43 topics that I'm covering, I was going to kind of take questions 44 as I go, and would that be acceptable for you?

45

47

38 39

40

46 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes, that would be fine.

48 MS. DENIT: Okay. Great. So, let's talk about a few meaty

talks, or continue talking about meaty topics, and so first up 1 2 is the Magnuson-Stevens Act data confidentiality, and we talked 3 about this briefly at our last meeting, where we indicated that we were moving forward with the rulemaking, and that continues 4 to be the case. Our plan is to get that out this summer, and 5 6 the issues that we're going to address in the rulemaking I will 7 step through here in a second, and I want to start by highlighting, as we talked about last time, and we are breaking 8 9 confidentiality into a couple of different components, and so there are going to be key aspects that will be addressed in the 10 proposed rule, and then there will be several that will be 11 addressed after the rule is finalized. 12 13

14 Starting with the issues in the rulemaking, you see them here on 15 bulleted list, and we're going to clarify the how confidentiality applies with respect to catch share programs, 16 17 and there's a specific exemption, or an exception, in Magnuson for limited-access privilege programs, and so put a little bit 18 19 more clarity around what exactly is a determination and, 20 therefore, what information can be released in those certain 21 instances. 22

23 We also want to better define the submitter of the data, and so 24 being really clear about who is responsible for reporting data 25 to NMFS, and there is also the opportunity for some written 26 authorization exception, and so applying a process for the 27 submitter to release confidential data, and so this is largely for fishermen who might want to release information, and being 28 29 clear about what they can release and how they would go about doing that, and we're also going to touch on how we're going to 30 31 manage data that's voluntarily submitted to NMFS, and so this is 32 largely data that we get that is submitted via different 33 research projects and what confidentiality applies, or does not, 34 in those instances.

Then, also, it's talking about confidentiality with respect to third parties. With the advantaged implementation of electronic monitoring and other uses of third parties for data, how does that all work, and how does confidentiality apply there?

As I mentioned, there will be issues that will be addressed via policy or more technical guidance that will come after the rulemaking, and so this lists things like replacing our existing administrative order that deals with confidentiality, thinking about our procedures for releasing information in aggregate or summary form, and so that's to tackle the kind of rule of three that everybody colloquially talks about.

48

35

also want to streamline the access to confidential 1 We information by councils, commissions, states, all of our 2 partners, so that we can hopefully make that system much more 3 effective, and then, also, streamlining the process for how 4 current vessel permit holders can request and access fisheries 5 data and other information. With that, Chair, I would be happy 6 7 to take questions on the confidentiality component, if there are 8 any. 9 10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Kelly. Any questions regarding data 11 confidentiality? Tom. 12 13 MR. NIES: I've got to get all these questions in, and I guess 14 one of my concerns is on the procedures for releasing information in aggregate or summary form and how that relates 15 with EEJ ideas in particular, but also just in management 16 17 decisions. 18 19 Some of the -- Without giving any detail, or without getting 20 into a lot of detail, how are we able to provide information to 21 the council and the public, which is going to support some of 22 the decisions that we need to make, for smaller communities in particular, when we run into these data confidentiality rules, 23 24 and, when you're working on these data confidentiality rules, is 25 there any thought to how current, or how hold, the data are, in 26 order to perhaps simplify the aggregation? 27 28 I mean, just a simple example. If I know where a fishing boat 29 is fishing right now, that's giving away a business secret, perhaps, but, if I talk about where some fishing boat fished 30 31 three years ago, I'm not sure there's the same concern, but is the proposed rule going to make it easier for us to use 32 33 information like that, or are we still going to be hindered at 34 getting down at the granular scale that we often get asked for 35 when evaluating the impacts of our regulations? 36 37 MS. DENIT: Thanks, Tom, and your point is well taken, and I will certainly provide that back to the group, but I don't think 38 we're going to get into that level of detail in the proposed 39 40 rulemaking, and that will be in the follow-on guidance that will 41 come, but I appreciate that point, for sure. 42 43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Seeing no other questions, Kelly, if you want to go ahead and proceed to the next items. 44 45 46 WTO AGREEMENT ON FISHERIES SUBSIDIES 47 48 MS. DENIT: Yes, sir. Very quickly, I just wanted to touch on

the World Trade Organization agreement on fisheries subsidies, 1 2 and so this falls under the concepts around our seafood strategy 3 and leveling the playing field. 4 5 Essentially, last year, the WTO reached an agreement to prohibit 6 subsidies, in specific instances, specifically if they are 7 supporting IUU fishing, fishing on overfished stocks, or on the unregulated high seas, and so this is a really important 8 9 development, as we think about many other nations and the level 10 of subsidies that they provide to their fishing fleets. 11 12 The United States adhered to the agreement in April of this 13 year, and, at this time, there is, I think, about five or six countries who have adhered to the agreement, and we need a 14 15 three-quarters majority before the agreement comes into effect, 16 and so coming into effect is still several years away. Nevertheless, it's an important step forward in addressing this 17 18 key issue of subsidies. 19 20 We do not expect any significant impacts on any of our NOAA programs from the subsidy agreement, but, as I mentioned, 21 22 hopefully this will help in the leveling-the-playing-field kind of realm of our seafood strategy, as we think about potential 23 24 ratcheting back of subsidies that other countries are providing 25 to their fishing fleets. Are there questions? 26 27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I am not seeing any, Kelly. Go ahead. 28 29 MS. DENIT: John. Sorry. 30 31 MR. GOURLEY: I'm just curious, and this is a short question. 32 When the U.S. pays for use for our tuna fishermen, for the purse seine to pay access fees, isn't that a subsidy? 33 34 35 So I don't -- Are you talking about the South MS. DENIT: 36 Pacific Tuna Treaty? 37 MR. GOURLEY: I'm sorry? 38 39 40 MS. DENIT: Are you talking about the South Pacific Tuna Treaty? 41 42 MR. GOURLEY: Yes. The government pays access fees for our tuna fishermen, and wouldn't that be considered a subsidy? 43 44 45 MS. DENIT: For the purposes, as I have understood it, for the 46 WTO agreement, that is not covered by the WTO. 47 MR. GOURLEY: Okay, because I understand that the last price 48

increase that we pay for access is up to \$60 million a year, and 1 so that's a sizable amount of money to support our fishing 2 3 fleet, and so I'm just curious. 4 5 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kelly, go ahead. I'm not seeing any other 6 questions. 7 NATIONAL STANDARDS 4, 8, AND 9 (ADVANCED NOTICE OF PROPOSED 8 9 RULEMAKING) 10 11 MS. DENIT: Okay. Great. Now we'll move into the advanced notice of proposed rulemaking, the ANPR, on the National 12 Standards, which we were just talking about. Here, we're 13 seeking input on whether in fact we should update these three 14 guidelines, whether it's all three or one or two, and we are 15 truly wide open in seeking input. 16 17 18 Just as a quick reminder, the three National Standards are 19 National Standard 4, which is focused on allocations and has the 20 language around fair and equitable, promote conservation, and 21 not result in excessive shares, and I'm highlighting these just 22 because it will help bring up some of the next slides. National Standard 8 is focused on considering the impacts to communities, 23 24 particular providing for sustained participation and in 25 minimizing adverse impacts, and then, of course, National Standard 9 is minimizing bycatch and bycatch mortality, to the 26 27 extent practicable. 28 29 We framed an ANPR, which I'm sure that all of you have read, for these three National Standards, through two different lenses, 30 31 and one was climate change. As we all know, oceans are changing, and that's impacting our fish stocks, both where they 32 33 are and productivity and abundance, and that has follow-on 34 effects, in terms of social and economic and other impacts to 35 fisheries and fishing communities. 36 37 Then we also highlighted the topic we just were talking about 38 earlier this morning, in terms of equity and environmental justice, and, Merrick, you teed this up with your question, in 39 terms of how EEJ plays into these three National Standards in 40 41 particular. I am going to step through each of the National 42 Standards with those two lenses, and then I'm looking forward to 43 a robust conversation. 44 45 On National Standard 4, with respect to allocation, we are seeking specific input on how to balance approaches to balancing 46 47 access for historical users, marginalized individuals who may 48 have had inequitable access, or have been excluded historically, 33

1 and new users.

2

9

3 As we think about stocks shifting, both in distribution and 4 potentially in productivity, that's going to have implications, 5 as you all know, and are dealing with already, and so we have 6 asked for input on thinking about how we are developing our 7 allocations, moving forward, and what does that look like across 8 these three different groups.

10 We are also seeking input on whether revisions are needed to 11 reinforce the NMFS Allocation Policy, and you all are familiar, 12 and that is the one that required development of triggers by 13 each of the councils that determine when you will review 14 allocations, and so we're seeking some input there. 15

Also, we're seeking thoughts on the types of documentation or 16 17 analyses or alternative approaches that could be considered as 18 part of allocation determinations, and so what does it mean by 19 alternative approaches, and it could be thinking about spatial 20 allocations, looking at mixes of historic use or some sort of dynamic allocation schemes, any sort of input with respect to 21 22 those different kinds of approaches that could be taken, again 23 with that lens of climate and how things might be -- Things 24 meaning our fish stocks might be moving or shifting. 25

With respect to NS 8, we are seeking thoughts on if and how we might update the guidelines to improve the ability of communities to adapt to these changing conditions, and so, again, circling back to that sustained participation, and how do we capture that at a time when things might be moving?

32 With respect to National Standard 9, much like our fish stocks 33 are moving, we also have protected resources that are moving, 34 and how can we better account for those changing distributions, 35 both of our targeted stocks and bycatch and protected resources, 36 as we're moving forward? 37

With respect to National Standard 4 and EEJ, similar to some of 38 39 the ideas that we highlighted with respect to climate, we're 40 seeking thoughts on how do we approach better considering or underrepresented, communities, 41 underserved, previously 42 excluded entrants, and new entrants who might be coming in, again, as stocks change, or communities change, and how do we 43 44 better take all of those factors into account when we are 45 thinking about our allocation guidelines? 46

47 Again, we are seeking input on the types of documentation and 48 analyses that could help ensure that our allocations are as fair and equitable as possible, and we know that allocation decisions are very difficult, and often controversial, especially given the history and tradition and the financial investment that fishermen have in the current fishery, and so we're asking input on the need to think about this with respect to future allocations, existing allocations, or both.

8 I've got three slides to step through here with respect to 9 National Standard 8 and EEJ, because, as I noted in the 10 description, and you all know very well, National Standard 8 has a lot of different facets to it that intersect with EEJ, and so, 11 12 specifically, we're seeking input on the definition of fishing communities within the guidelines, and, in particular, the 13 concept that fishing community not be place-based, and so we do 14 15 have that in the current guidelines, and so the guestion is, is 16 that appropriate, continue to be appropriate, at this time or not, and so we're seeking -- It specifically references residing 17 18 in a specific location, and so we're seeking feedback on that 19 component. 20

21 other facet of fishing community is the concept of The 22 dependence and engagement, both of which are used in Magnuson, 23 and the current guidelines put an emphasis on dependence of the 24 fishing community, and so the question we've asked is, is that still appropriate, and should engagement be considered in a different way than dependence, and how -- If or how we could 25 26 shift that focus as part of updating that description of a 27 28 fishing community.

29

38

Continuing on, beyond fishing community, we also have the 30 language around "sustained participation", and so we're seeking 31 thoughts on how to appropriately balance those requirements as 32 33 we are considering underserved and underrepresented communities, 34 previously-excluded entrants, new entrants, and/or communities with high levels of social or climate vulnerabilities, which may 35 36 or may not be some of the underserved communities that are 37 already represented.

With respect to National Standard 9, we're seeing specific input on how to modify, if and how to modify, the guidelines to minimize bycatch in a manner that is equitable across different fisheries and gear types. We all know that the conflict between fisheries and gears is common, and, in particular, when there's overlap in geographic areas fished or the species that are caught.

47 Relevant to NS 9, as you all very well know, is the situation 48 where bycatch in one fishery has negative impacts on another

fishery, usually by restricting the catch on a shared stock, and 1 2 that issue can be further complicated when one or more fisheries 3 are important to underserved communities, and so, again, we're trying to seek input on if these guidelines should be changed, 4 5 and how do we balance that interest between bycatch and target 6 fisheries that is equitable across fisheries, gear types, et 7 cetera? 8 9 Other challenges mentioned in the ANPR are different ideas around the option for minimizing bycatch under the National 10 11 Standard 9 practicability standard, and so seeking thoughts on how we might differently describe practicability, if at all, and 12 13 we have asserted, in the ANPR, that we think that the current 14 quidelines do appropriately balance the various complexities of 15 our federal fisheries management process. 16 17 We've also included some ideas around the revisions that would 18 incentivize reducing waste. As we all know, we have some regulatory discards that can lead to waste, and so are there 19 20 ways that we could be better incentivizing our system to try and 21 help reduce some of that waste? 22 My last slide is our timeline, and so, as you know, the ANPR 23 24 published last week, and we're here this week to speak with all 25 of you, and we are on your council agendas sometime between now 26 and August to give a presentation to your respective councils on 27 the ANPR, and we're also going to try webinars, and one national 28 webinar here in the next couple of months, and the public 29 comment period closes in mid-September, which then tees us up that, if warranted, we would begin any proposed rulemaking later 30 31 this fall, and so, with that, Chair, I'm happy to take 32 questions. 33 34 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Kelly. Any questions? Bill. 35 36 MR. TWEIT: Thanks, Mr. Chair. I have several, but I don't want 37 to be the only one sort of peppering questions, and so I will just lead off with one and hold. It sounds like the agency went 38 39 through sort of a really preliminary process of using climate change and an EEJ lens to look at all ten National Standards and 40 41 decided that these three were the ones most in need of attention 42 at this point, based on that, and do I understand that 43 correctly, or was there some other process for deciding why these three at this time? 44 45 Thanks, Bill. Actually, the focus on these three 46 MS. DENIT: 47 was more driven by the fact that they have not been reviewed in 48 fifteen years, combined with the focus on climate issues and

EEJ.

1

2

3 MR. TWEIT: So, just as a follow-up to that, there could be that 4 the agency will want to review, after looking at them through 5 these two lenses? 6

7 MS. DENIT: I think, overall, we would like to get a better 8 cadence on reviewing our National Standard Guidelines, but, what 9 the timing of that looks like, I don't have that in my mind at 10 this point, and Sam and I have not discussed that, and so I 11 don't anticipate that, following this ANPR, and any rulemaking 12 that may or may not happen after that, that there will be 13 immediately be another review of other National Standards.

14

16

31

33

15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Are there other questions? Eric.

17 MR. REID: I couldn't decide whether to wait until they come to my council to talk about it, but it's just about the guidelines 18 themselves. I mean, you're asking us for input, which is fine, 19 20 but, you know, in my mind, it's having a stricter set of 21 of what they actually mean, and, quidance you know, 22 hypothetically, I might read National Standard 4 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 1 and have an interpretation as X, and, hypothetically, my 23 24 friend, Mr. Pentony, from GARFO, may have different а 25 interpretation of those rules, and so how do you reconcile that without much clearer guidance than we have now? 26 I don't know 27 whether that opportunity exists, but, hypothetically speaking, it could happen very quickly, and so that's my question, is how 28 29 do I get stricter guidance, so I don't run afoul of somebody 30 else's interpretation? Thank you.

32 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kelly.

34 Sure. Well, I think we're seeking input on whether MS. DENIT: 35 we do need to provide further clarity with respect to these 36 National Standards around the interpretation and how they're 37 being applied. We work very hard, across all of the regions, 38 and in particular through my office, to try to be as consistent 39 as we possibly can in how we're applying the National Standards, recognizing that all of you have your fisheries that are 40 beautiful, wonderful snowflakes, and sometimes might need to 41 42 think about things in slightly different ways, and so I think we are always striving to find the right balance between where we 43 44 need to be nationally consistent and where we can have some 45 regional flexibility, and these guidelines are no different in 46 that way, and so that's part of what the feedback, via the ANPR, 47 will help us with, and maybe the current guidelines are the 48 appropriate balance and provide the right latitude, and, if you

1 are seeking stricter guidance, or more directed guidance, that 2 would be feedback to have as part of the ANPR. 3

4 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Well, I'm not seeing any other 5 questions. Bill.

7 MR. TWEIT: A question about the timeline, and, right now, the 8 current timeline really only allows us, the North Pacific 9 Council, to discuss this at our next meeting, which is just in 10 two weeks, and is there some consideration to give councils a 11 little bit longer time, more than -- I imagine that we're not 12 the only one in that situation, and can we look for at least a 13 timeline that accommodates two meetings? 14

15 The reason that I'm asking is I think there's a lot of 16 flashpoints in this, a lot, and I think it's not going to be a 17 simple matter for councils, and, at least in my council, this is 18 going to ignite several of those flashpoints, and so the process 19 of coming up with comments, as a council, and recognizing this 20 is just advanced notice, but, still, for us, it's just coming up 21 with comments on that in a single meeting cycle, and, to me, 22 that seems extremely difficult. 23

Two meetings is not going to be a lot easier, but it will certainly give us some time to sort of take some input and be reflective of that input and then provide some more measured comments at a subsequent meeting.

29 MS. DENIT: Thanks, Bill. I appreciate that you all are usually 30 interested in having the opportunity to have two council 31 meetings to discuss the topics that we bring to you, and, in 32 this case, we're limiting you to one. We want to stick to this 33 timeline as much as we possibly can, and so we tried to leave the comment period open for as long as we could, in order to 34 35 make sure that we at least got to every council once, and we certainly understand that it's very complicated topic, and, in 36 37 all likelihood, again, if we do move forward with any sort of 38 rulemaking process, there will be continued opportunities to 39 engage with the councils, to further flesh out comments and 40 input that you all might have.

42 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Kitty and then Chris.

43

41

44 MS. SIMONDS: Okay. Well, thanks, Kelly. Obviously, our 45 council is going to have a lot of comments, and we are 46 discussing these standards at our June meeting, which is the 47 last week in June, in American Samoa, and Ms. Janet will be 48 there to hear everything, and she will love it. Really.

2 I just have a couple of comments, and one is about, you know, bycatch, and, for us, you know, we're always looking to have 3 4 Headquarters regionally distribute funds, in terms of that 5 program, because protected species bycatch is our largest issue, 6 and it has been for thirty years, and we did talk to the BREP 7 team about timing. When they make announcements, that, for us, our fishermen are out fishing in December, when the notice comes 8 9 out, and, you know, we have to have our sashimi fish at 10 Christmas and New Year's.

12 We did ask them to look at the timing, because then the deadline 13 is early January for us, and, anyway, because we want to get 14 more fishermen involved in submitting proposals to help with our 15 bycatch, and so that's one thing, and the other is that 16 revisions to guidelines incentivize reducing waste. Well, we, 17 in the region, eat everything that we catch except for the 18 lancet fish, and so we don't have a problem with that, but we 19 will have a lot to discuss, in terms of the other parts of the 20 guidelines.

22 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Did you have a comment to that?

24 MS. DENIT: Yes, just brief, and thank you for that feedback, 25 Kitty, with respect to the BREP grant. That's really helpful to 26 have, and I appreciate that.

28 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Chris.

29

27

21

23

1

11

30 Thank you, Mr. Chair. We strongly support Bill's MR. MOORE: 31 request, and we agree with his comments regarding the extension 32 of the comment period. We could use some additional time. You 33 know, in talking to the other councils, I think a date in 34 October would be more appropriate, given the complicated issues 35 here, and certainly I understand your position, Kelly, or the 36 agency's position, in getting this thing wrapped up sooner rather than later, but, if we could have some additional time, I 37 38 think you would get a better product. Thanks.

39 40

41

Thanks, Chris. CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom.

42 MR. NIES: I will jump on that bandwagon. We wanted to talk about this with our SSC, and we don't have any SSC meetings 43 scheduled before our council meeting in June, and so we can't 44 45 input from our SSC, which might inform our council get discussion and our letter. This is such a big issue that I 46 47 don't think our council is going to want to just let the staff 48 prepare a reply in the middle of the summer, without it really 1 being approved and vetted by the council.

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kelly, speaking for the Gulf Council here, and 4 not the chair, that would be a similar situation for us as well, 5 and National Standard 4 is really going to come into play for 6 us, and we're having a lot of pretty serious allocation 7 discussions, and so a little time, from our region, would 8 probably be appreciated as well.

10 Well, I'm not seeing any hands up now, and we're a little bit 11 behind on the break, and I was going to say if maybe we just 12 take a short ten-minute break, and I'm sorry about that, but if 13 we could meet back here let's say at 10:45, promptly, we can 14 move on and catch up in our agenda. We'll start back, and, 15 Cisco, you'll be up with a fisheries update.

17 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

19 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay, everyone. Let's begin. Apparently we 20 need a little more than a ten-minute break, and that's fine, 21 but, as we're getting started here, we need to back up just a 22 minute, to finish up the last bit of the discussion. I will 23 wait here for just a second, so everybody can find their seat. 24

My understanding was we had left that topic before, and someone wanted to make a motion, and so we're going to go back just a little bit, to take care of that, before we move on to your portion, Cisco, and so if everyone would take their seats, and, Bernie, I believe you all will have a motion in a second here. When you get that, if we want to pull it up.

For those of you just taking your seat, we had a motion regarding the proposed rule, and it's being pulled up on the screen here, and we'll dispense with that motion and then move on to our next agenda item. Okay. It looks like we're ready. Bernie, you should have that motion, or are you just prepared to make it verbally?

38

41

2

9

16

18

39 MR. KINNEEN: It should be with Bernie here now, very shortly, 40 and I can make the motion, if you would like me to.

42 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Hang on one second. Did you get it, Bernie? 43 Okay. We have a motion on the floor. Simon, this is your 44 motion, and do you mind reading that into the record, please? 45

46 MR. KINNEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and my apologies for 47 being delayed there. The motion will be the CCC recommends that 48 NMFS extend the comment deadline on the ANPR for National

Standard 4, 8, and 9 Guidelines to October 2023. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Simon. We need a second for that motion. Okay. It's seconded by Chris Moore. Any discussion on 4 5 this motion? 6 7 MR. KINNEEN: Mr. Chairman, I would just reiterate and rely on the discussion that was had around the table already. 8 This is 9 something that it sounds like it's important to many of the councils, and it's really important for us, the opportunity for 10 11 our council and our public to have a chance to fully discuss 12 these, and there's a lot of nuances, as discussed, and this 13 would be very helpful to us. 14 15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. I'm not seeing anyone else 16 wanting to have any more discussion on this motion. Is there 17 any opposition to this motion? Seeing no opposition, the motion 18 carries. Thank you. 19 20 Moving on, our next agenda item is Dr. Cisco Werner is going to 21 provide an update from NOAA Fisheries. Cisco, they will be 22 pulling that presentation up here in just a second. 23 24 NOAA FISHERIES SCIENCE UPDATES 25 26 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good morning, everybody. DR. WERNER: It's good to be here and see everybody. I wanted to provide 27 28 today some comments on updates on the science enterprise. Ι 29 think, in the past, we've had a chance to provide updates on the 30 science issues themselves, whether it was related to ecosystems 31 or, you know, how we're getting ready for climate-ready 32 fisheries and windfarms and such. 33 34 Today, I'm going to focus a little bit more on the operational 35 side of things, and it's something that I think was requested by 36 the council, for me to touch upon, and so, if I could have the 37 next slide, I'm going to talk about three things. 38 39 I'm going to talk about the fishery-independent surveys and 40 where we are with that, impacts or where we are also in terms of 41 our monitoring and assessment, the status of these, and then I'm 42 to talk a little bit about data acquisition and qoing modernization efforts, and there is a thread through these things that I hope will come across and perhaps invite comment, 43 44 45 or discussion, and I will start off by -- When I start off my 46 conversations about the fishery-independent surveys, I will 47 start focusing where we are right now and then take a look back in time, in terms of where we are relative to where we were say 48

1 over the past decade, and then also looking forward, in terms of 2 what we need to do to make sure that we can make the needed 3 advances in data acquisition.

4

12

27

5 Bear with me, and the first couple of slides are going to have a 6 few numbers on it, but I'm trying to build something here, in 7 terms of what we present, and so the next slide, if I could, 8 and, recently, we had a meeting with appropriators on the hill, 9 and they were very interested, of course, in where we are in 10 terms of our assessments and surveys, and this slide is a 11 relatively simple slide.

13 It's a snapshot of where we are now, or at least this is a report in terms of what we were able to do in the second quarter 14 15 of FY 23, and so that period between January and March. If you 16 look at the bottom bar chart, on the bottom-right, Quarter 2 is usually our quietest quarter, and it's the one where a lot of 17 the ships are undergoing maintenance, and it's also perhaps 18 biologically somewhat quiet, and so it's a quiet quarter, and 19 20 you see it just in terms of the numbers, and we only had really 21 seven surveys planned in that January to March period, and we 22 completed five of those within that quarter, and one of them was 23 postponed and completed in the current quarter, in Quarter 3, 24 and so, actually, six of them were completed in that quarter, 25 and one of them was delayed a little bit, to be completed in 26 Quarter 3.

28 This is to say this is where we are in this quarter, and things 29 are moving. The two ships there were just to highlight a couple 30 of ships, and a couple of surveys, that we completed. We 31 completed the Gulf of Alaska pollock acoustic trawl on the 32 Dyson, and then, just in terms of contrast, in terms of high 33 latitudes, the other high latitudes in the Antarctic, as part of 34 our work in the Antarctic marine living resources, were we completed some surveys off the western Antarctic peninsula, 35 36 dealing with krill and other protected species, such as penguins and some of the seals down there, and so it's just a sense of 37 38 the things that happened this past quarter, in Quarter 2. 39

I am going to jump now to the next slide, which talks about the 40 41 full year, because I focused on a quarter, and now I'm going to 42 take a look at the full year. We have planned, and proposed, in 43 our fleet allocation plan, as we refer to it, eighty-three total 44 surveys. As of right now, we've completed twenty-five, and we 45 have an additional forty-five to go, and underway are nine of 46 those, ex cetera, and you can see the numbers there on the left-47 hand-most column, and we have some underway, and some are 48 postponed, and we've only had two cancellations so far this

year. 1 2 3 It gives you a sense of, you know, how our cadence is coming up. 4 Quarter 2 is slow, and Quarter 3 is picking up, and, of course, 5 Quarter 4 will be even busier, perhaps, and that also gives you a sense of the fact that we're completing the surveys, and, 6 7 although we know that there is challenges, and I'm going to jump 8 into those challenges in a second. 9 There is a reliance on our surveys, in terms of our fisheries 10 survey vessels, and those are in blue, and those are the ones 11 12 that are commonly referred to as the white ships, and we also do 13 a fair number of those on chartered vessels, and also in 14 partnership with our academic colleagues, and then we have a 15 host of other surveys that we do in what we refer to as small 16 boats. 17 18 To give you another sense of where we are within this year, and 19 it has been a busy year, and a challenging year, I wanted to go 20 to the next slide, if I could, and provide a context of where we 21 are relative to the last twelve or thirteen years, and so this 22 goes from 2010 to 2022, and, of course, we're in 2023, but, you 23 know, this is completed statistics that we show here. 24 25 If we start all the way in 2022, that's that number that I had 26 before, and had about eighty-plus planned surveys, and you can 27 see that, certainly relative to COVID in 2020, there's a 28 recovery, where we can say we're on the right track. Those 29 years of 2017, 2018, and 2019 were also very difficult, and 30 those were years where a lot of repairs took place on ships, and 31 so there was a dip relative to say the 2010 to 2016 period, but 32 what we can see is that, you know, if you just look at those 33 dashed lines, which I just drew in there arbitrarily to bracket, 34 you know, where we are relative to the work that we do on our 35 white ships, in blue, and our chartered vessels, in orange, 36 we've been pretty much at a steady state, except for that 2017 to 2021 period, relative to even earlier in the decade, you 37 38 know, 2010 and 2011 and so on. 39 If you look at the -- What you're going to see now, when we jump 40 41 to the next slide, is actually we have overlaid our budget for 42 that, and so what you see here is the same bar charts that you had before, the bars that you had before, but overlaid on this 43 44 is the support we've received in order to complete these 45 surveys, and it's been quite a bit of support, and we're grateful for the support we've received, and you can see that 46 47 dark line that goes from -- This is the budget out of our

48

1 refer to it.

2

3 We have received, over that time, probably about a \$50 million increase, in terms of where -- You know, to allow us to get to 4 where we are now in 2022-2023, and that's the dark line, but, if 5 6 you look at the dashed line, that's the adjusted value of the 7 funds, if you will, or of the support, to 2023 dollars, and so, if you look at -- Even though we've received, again, a 8 9 significant support, an increase in support, over these past say eight or nine years, the effective dollar amount is somewhat 10 11 stable, and that's consistent with what we've been able to 12 deliver. This is what it has taken for us to be able to 13 maintain this level of activity indicated by the orange and blue 14 bars, relative to say the beginning of the decade. 15

This, again, provides context of where we are, and I want to go 16 17 a little bit into the challenges that I think we probably have 18 had a chance of talking about it, whether it's to the Science 19 Regional Administrators Center Directors or or other 20 conversations that have happened to the councils, and I'm going 21 to jump to the next slide then, which is an assessment, in some 22 ways, of where our challenges are, while, at the same time, we see some signals for recovery, in terms of post-COVID and such. 23 24

25 I think that -- I think we all know that there is a challenge for the stability of the survey enterprise. I think that, while 26 27 this year -- I think a lot of effort has gone to make sure that 28 we complete surveys, but there have been delays, and I will talk 29 a little bit about what has resulted, or caused, these delays so 30 far, and we have about eleven delays in FY 23, and this is 31 something that we communicated to the councils, and we also communicated it to the hill, to just know how these delays may 32 be impacting our -- Not just the surveys themselves, but, 33 34 obviously, the mission, in terms of collecting the data and the 35 impact that that would have on our ability to provide 36 assessments.

37

The sub-bullets, and I am going to go through this, and the 38 39 bullet is the challenge, and the sub-bullet is how do we address 40 the challenge, at least an indication of how we could address 41 the challenge, and so the sub-bullet of the first one is can we 42 accelerate some of the advanced technologies, and can we do things so that we mitigate, if you will, some of the delays, or 43 44 some of the possible cancellations, et cetera, that might 45 happen, and perhaps evolve to a model that is, you know, still -- You know, it works with our white ships and surveys as needed, 46 47 but, also, we begin to think about how we evolve our data 48 collection enterprise, so that we minimize the challenges that we're all familiar with.

3 The second bullet talks about increased requests and need, and so it's not just an increased request, but also a need for 4 additional assessments, and this increase request could be, you 5 6 know, because we need to provide more ecosystem-related surveys, 7 assessments, climate-ready considerations in our assessments and such, and so I think our assessment enterprise, if you will --8 9 You know, for a number of reasons, it also is asking for more information, for more output, and that's not an unreasonable 10 11 thing, but how do we do that? 12

13 How do we balance this increased, you know, need for assessments, and some idea might be to revisit some of the 14 quidelines and best practices for how, when, and how many stock 15 stock assessment 16 assessments we do, and can we revisit 17 frequency, and can we work on something that, you know, will also perhaps allow some of the stock assessments to be made more 18 19 efficient? 20

21 You know, can we, and I will talk about this is a little bit, in 22 terms of the data modernization part of things, and also how 23 perhaps we can, you know, make the operationalization and the 24 interoperability of data and the data availability such that the 25 assessments themselves, you know, can occur in a more efficient way, and so it doesn't mean ratcheting down or rolling back the 26 27 assessments, but how do we make them more efficient, and, again, 28 this is a conversation to be had, and it's one that we're 29 having, and, you know, it will take a while, as we modernize not 30 just how we collect the data, but, also, how do we make the data 31 itself more accessible?

32

1

2

Another thing that has come up is some of the processing of some 33 34 of the samples that, of course, go into the data, into the stock 35 assessments, life history in particular, and these are age and 36 fecundity and other things that are intensive and require work, 37 lab work and others, as well as perhaps developing advanced 38 models, you know, as we begin to be ready to address, you know, 39 the climate-ready stock assessment questions that are coming, 40 things that have to do with changes in distribution or changes 41 in recruitment and so on that are related to factors that we've 42 identified as needing additional inclusion for climate-ready 43 considerations.

44

45 With regard to processing samples and life history, there's 46 quite promising work in terms of how to accelerate some of that 47 analysis of the life history, through advanced technologies, and 48 I think you probably heard, in the past, some discussion of the 1 Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative, the CEFI, in 2 terms of how we make advances on this, which is needed, both in 3 terms of, you know, sort of the hard data that we need on the 4 samples, but, also, as we advance how we analyze these in a 5 climate-ready way. 6

7 The fourth bullet is about, you know, staff are facing increased 8 workload, and some of it has to do with, you know, again, things 9 that I talked about before, and it could be delays in access to data, more work related to how do you analyze this increased 10 11 amount of data, and, also, you know, as we also face retirements, and perhaps a decrease in staff, there's also a 12 challenge of recruiting them, and so there might be a delay in 13 backfilling some of our staff, which of course then causes some 14 15 of these increased workloads and can contribute to burnout and such of our folks, and the sub-bullet there is, again, revisting 16 17 our data approaches.

We talked about, earlier, how do we modernize the data and make that more quickly accessible, and more readily accessible, and help with the pace of assessments, and not necessarily slowing them down, but making sure that they're expedited or helped to be made more efficient, and, also, of course, you know, continue to work to fill our positions, which is going to be important to make sure that we have the full staff, if you will.

18

27 This is, you know, the context, in terms of the challenges that we're facing and the things that are in front of us. If I go to 28 29 the next slide, this is courtesy of our colleagues at OMAO, and 30 it relates to the point that I talked about earlier, about 31 making sure that the surveys go out on time, that there's no 32 delays and such, and I think that, you know, our colleagues at 33 OMAO, the Office of Marine and Aviation Operations, provided 34 this as, you know, the challenges that they're facing as they're 35 trying to get the fleet back up, you know, to full throttle, if 36 you will. 37

38 This is a sub-sample of the very, very last slide of this 39 presentation, which is the full set of comments that they sent 40 me, but I just figured that I would sub-sample some of these. 41

42 There is an industry-wide challenge, private and research 43 industry and others, in terms of professional mariner staffing. 44 Currently, OMAO, our office, our line office, is about 70 45 percent there, and so they have a 30 percent vacancy rate, as 46 indicated there, and the attrition and replacement is almost 47 equal, and so they're hiring a lot of people, but, almost at the 48 same time, a lot of people are leaving, and so, basically,

they're doing their best to try to increase -- You know, to get 1 past this 30 percent vacancy rate, but it's been quite a 2 challenge, for the reasons that I mentioned, the broader competition and so on, which is the list there of the whys, the 3 4 5 oil and gas competition, offshore wind energy, and then there's 6 also just the fact that there's a changing workforce. 7 8 Like we all are balancing and figuring out how our own staff --9 How we evolve work-life balance and such, and, you know, that's 10 not just something that happens in -- That's something that is 11 also happening in the fleet. 12 The fleet workforce is also undergoing this revision, which then 13 has, on the far right, a set of actions that, again, OMAO is 14 taking on, which is, you know, bringing in more crew rotations, 15 16 you know recruitment and retention bonuses, expanding the ability to communicate from the ships, through VSAT and Starlink 17 18 and so on and so forth, and so there's a number of actions that 19 OMAO is taking on to try to offset this very real challenge of 20 only perhaps being at 70 percent of the workforce that they 21 would like to be, while, at the same time, trying to address 22 these rotations and leaves and such that are required by the 23 evolving working conditions. 24 25 These two slides are complementary, and the first one is more 26 looking at our side, sort of on the science side, if you will, 27 and this is, obviously, working our partnership with the fleet. 28 29 If we go to the next slide, staying on the topic of the fleet, 30 and this is what are we doing about how we're growing the fleet, 31 or how we're addressing the fleet, or how we're modernizing the 32 fleet, however you want to call it, and so there's a couple of 33 things that I want to talk about here. 34 35 One, underway right now, is what's referred to as the fleet 36 recapitalization plan, and the last time there was a fleet 37 capitalization plan formally completed was 2016, and so it's been roughly seven years since we had one, and a fleet recap 38 plan, as we refer to it, just basically says how many ships do 39 we have in the fleet, what are the issues that we have in the 40 41 fleet, and what do we need to do, thinking forward, considering 42 everything, considering staffing, considering that the ships 43 need to -- They eventually will sunset, considering new technologies and such, and we're in the midst of this fleet 44 45 recap plan, the drafting of the fleet recap plan. 46 47 It is a discussion of the existing fleet, and we currently have 48 fifteen ships in the fleet, and there is discussion of the

1 sunsetting of possibly three vessels that are over fifty years 2 old that do fisheries-related work, as well as the bringing on 3 of new ships. The new ships that are underway are the Class A 4 ships, which are the Oceanographer and the Discover, and these 5 are mainly oceanographic ships.

7 These are non-trawl-capable ships, the Oceanographer and the Discover, and they're perhaps used more broadly, or more 8 9 intensively, by other parts of NOAA, but certainly out in the Pacific Islands, and other places, where perhaps trawling is 10 11 part of it, but there's also many other things to do, and 12 certainly the Oceanographer, which is going to be homeported in Honolulu, will be one that will be available for some of the 13 14 work out there. 15

16 The other work, the other ships, that are coming onboard are the 17 Class B ships, which are mainly for charting and surveying. 18 There might be some living marine resource ability to do this, 19 which is perhaps deploying some of the advanced technologies, 20 but, really, for as far as the fisheries side modernization of 21 the vessels, if you will, it's these Class C ships. 22

We're currently doing what's referred to as an analysis of 23 24 alternatives, an AOA, which means looking at exactly how do we 25 want to construct these ships. These Class C ships are not like 26 the current Dyson-class vessels, and they're a little bit 27 smaller, and they're a little bit nimbler. They're mid-28 endurance, and so maybe twenty-plus days, twenty-one or twenty-29 two days, and they're not the forty days that some of the other 30 vessels are, but a lot of this is by design, in terms of how we 31 want to be more nimble and cover more areas, and perhaps the experience that we've gained with the FSBs, these larger Dyson-32 33 class ships, or Class D, as in "Dyson", and it could balance the 34 presence of the Class C and the Class D. 35

36 If there were to be a schedule, the Class A are probably going 37 to be on the water in 2024 and 2025, and I think the Class B are 38 probably going to be on the water closer to 2027. The Class C 39 are in design right now, and they might be available at the end of the decade, and then, speaking of that balance between the 40 41 Class C, the newer ones, and the Class D, which are the existing 42 ones, these Class D are now scheduled for what is referred to as 43 a midlife repair, and these are pretty significant repairs. 44

It means that they will be laid up for anywhere from twelve to eighteen months, and, you know, it's a rather in-depth overhaul of the ships, and there's a schedule, and so each ship --8 Starting with the Dyson and then moving to the Bigelow and the 1 Pisces and the Shamata in Alaska, they will be in a midlife 2 repair situation, probably between now and 2034 or something 3 like that, and it's probably 2025-2026 to 2034, and so the 4 better part of eight or nine years. 5

6 We're in the middle of developing a roadmap, if you will, of 7 what the impacts of having each one of these ships down for a period of time, and each region will be affected differently. 8 9 The ability to move things around, the ability to make up, you know, for the loss of a ship will be different, depending on 10 11 where we are, and, of course, we're also -- As I said earlier, I do want to tie it back to the presence of advanced technologies, 12 13 and the development of advanced technologies, to see how we can 14 offset that, particularly also perhaps in partnerships with industry and other things that we can do, but this is not an 15 16 insignificant event that's going to happen, to have one FSB down, for this amount of time, over the next eight years, 17 18 starting in 2025, and it's something that requires very careful 19 planning, and we're doing that. 20

Then, related to all of that is, ultimately, it's about the data, right, and, I mean, it's how do we collect the data, and so this essential data acquisition is really at the core, in terms of how we think, moving forward, and how do we collect the data, whether it's vessels, whether it's advanced technologies, and the point is how do we collect the data so that we don't miss, you know, the work that we need to do.

I will move to the next slide, which is what are the goals for data acquisition, and the picture on the right is just to kind of say -- The top one is a very nice actually watercolor that was done in the mid-1950s, I believe, or so, and it illustrates perhaps how we thought about doing surveys back then, the acoustic surveys and dragging a net.

36 The things at the bottom are all the modern things that we know 37 we can do. I mean, it's not the kinds of things that culturally 38 we can do, and we've had proof of concepts in each one of these 39 cases, that we know that we can begin to think of how to seriously change our data acquisition plan, if you will, or data 40 41 acquisition enterprise, that really does take advantage of these 42 modern approaches, and so there's two things that we're doing. 43 We're developing that, but we're also considering how do we make 44 our existing surveys more efficient. 45

46 On the traditional platform, one of the things that we're doing 47 is planning, at least on the west coast, the consolidation of 48 what we refer to as the CPS, the coastal pelagic species, and

the hake survey, and this is something that's an ongoing 1 2 conversation, and it's happened a couple of times in the Pacific 3 Fishery Management Council, and it's a continuing conversation, 4 about how do you consolidate these surveys so that, you know, we can have more mobility, if you will, of the vessels that we 5 6 have, not just because we can do it, but, also, it's part of the 7 solution to that midlife repair challenge that we have in front 8 of us, or the midlife repair schedule that we have in front of 9 us. 10 11 Under the advanced technologies, I think probably everybody is 12 familiar with what we can do with uncrewed systems, and I think 13 that's quite promising, and I think that these are things that 14 could become near operational for some surveys, for some 15 species, and not for everything, you know, in the coming years. 16 The advances in the molecular approaches, the omics, is another 17 one that, again, for species, for some places, this might be 18 operational. 19 20 The evaluation of acoustic systems, whether they're passive or 21 active, and optical systems are -- You know, there's tremendous 22 success stories on optical systems in the Pacific Islands and the Northeast Region and in other places. Remote sensing, for 23 24 either protected species or others, and the R&D that I mentioned 25 about for the ageing and life history is something that, again, 26 will be a game-changer, in some ways, for some aspects of stock 27 assessment that we do, and, of course, artificial intelligence 28 and machine learning. 29 30 In some ways, this underpins, or is actually part, and it's a 31 thread through all of these advanced technologies, in terms of 32 how we analyze this new set of data that are coming in, and so 33 these are the things that we're thinking about, and how do we do 34 the "and", right, and how do we do the surveys and how do we also do this data modernization, and data collection, in a way 35 36 that is real and tangible in the years to come. 37 38 I think my last slide is just a summary slide, the next one, the recapping and some closing thoughts, and, that said, fishery-39 40 independent surveys, and monitoring efforts, you know, are something that we've had a very challenging time, over the past 41 42 five or six years, for a number of reasons, and I think that we're on an uptick, if you will, but I think we do need to think 43 44 about how we can continue improving on that, you know, with 45 addressing the issues that I've brought up on monitoring and 46 assessments, whether it's staffing or science support or 47 whatnot, to make sure they happen. 48

50

The ways forward, I think, simply, the data acquisition and 1 modernization efforts are going to be key, and we hope to be 2 able to make, and we need to be able to make, this progress in 3 the next three or four years, and it's what we're thinking 4 about, and then the next one, the inclusion of climate-ready 5 considerations, I didn't talk about it today, but we all know 6 7 it's there. It's part of the additional considerations that we need to do, and it's part of the evolution that we need to 8 9 consider, going forward. With that, Mr. Chair, I will stop there and open it up, if appropriate. 10

12 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Dr. Werner. Are there any 13 questions? Bill. 14

11

27

33

15 Thanks, Mr. Chair, and thanks very much, Cisco. I MR. TWEIT: 16 was hoping to hear a little bit more about the Climate, 17 Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative, at least from the science 18 perspective, and at what point are you going to be able to give 19 us a sense of what's going to be going on at the fisheries 20 science centers relative to that, and what can we be expecting, 21 and will that be sort of individual briefings to each of the 22 councils, or are you preparing something big, because I'm assuming that there's budget there that's being implemented at 23 24 this point, and I'm assuming that things are moving forward, and 25 yet, from our perspective, we know really very little about it, 26 and it looks like it could be a pretty important tool.

28 **DR. WERNER:** Thank you, Bill, for the question, and, yes, the 29 CEFI is -- I mentioned it a couple of times in here, and, in 30 terms of when we can fully engage, part of it is going to be 31 dependent on the decisions coming up that Janet alluded to with 32 IRA and such.

We have worked out, in collaboration with our partners in other line offices, the Oceanic and Atmospheric Research, the structure, in terms of what it would mean, and what will it take to begin to do the climate, ecosystem, and fisheries approaches.

39 The answer is that this is something intimately connected between, again, OAR, that provides sort of the larger-scale 40 modeling outputs and the projections say over the next three to 41 42 five years, and the different science centers, where we would --43 You know, the staff, and the folks that would be engaged in the 44 CEFI, would include, you know, the people who can take on this 45 new information and also then incorporate it into the stock assessments and such that include climate-ready approaches and 46 47 then, ultimately, be able to translate that into climate-ready 48 fisheries advice, right, and so how do you take this information

and then provide information that can be -- That can be ingested 1 and can be actionable information to the councils and others. 2 3 If I had to say -- You know, from the moment that we can 4 announce it going forward, I think this is probably going to be 5 6 a very active two to three years, you know, to fully engage 7 everybody and really make things run as we would like them to 8 run. 9 10 The North Pacific, of course, through ACLIM and other projects, 11 is perhaps ahead of -- They're out of the gate, and will 12 probably be out of the gate a little bit before the others, and 13 the Northeast is another one, but I think it's all within reach, 14 and I'm hoping that, within the next year, or two or three, the 15 CEFI will be -- That it will be part of -- An active tool in the suite of tools that we need to bring to bear. 16 17 18 Thanks, and it just -- One of the reasons that I MR. TWEIT: 19 asked is that, as at least one council member -- I have no doubt 20 that it will be useful, but I'm getting pretty confused about the plethora of acronyms, the plethora of initiatives, and I am 21 22 trying to think about how we're going to be addressing climate 23 change. 24 25 There's a pretty urgent need, from our perspective, to figure out what our roadmap is forward for the next several years, and 26 27 this seems like a pretty important part of that, but I have no 28 clear sense whatsoever of whether it comes at a cost to some of 29 our existing programs, whether it's truly going to be an add-on, 30 and what it's going deliver and when it's going to deliver 31 things. 32 33 I agree with you that the ACLIM gives me a sense of what it 34 could do, but I, you know, tend to be overly optimistic about, 35 oh, cool, it's going to slice, and it's going to dice, and it's 36 going to clean your kitchen floors, but I have no idea whether 37 it will or not. 38 39 DR. WERNER: I will maybe be a little bit bold, and I think it's 40 not a nice to know, and it's a need to know that I think that we 41 need to do. We need to be able to provide different advice, 42 different science, going forward. The discussion is no longer are our systems stationary, but now we've moved to non-43 stationary, meaning that we're not making decisions about an 44 45 average, and we're making decisions about something that is 46 constantly changing, whether it's distribution or whether it's 47 condition or whatever, and it requires a different thinking. 48

That is something that we -- As I said, it's a must-do, and so 1 2 we're taking it as something that we have to do, in order to 3 keep up with what everybody is seeing is happening out there, and so, as such, it's the CEFI, the Climate, Ecosystems, and 4 Fisheries Initiative -- It's perhaps one acronym that is, I 5 would say, core to a lot of -- In terms of providing the 6 information, the projections, and the likely things that might 7 8 happen to a number of other areas, but that's, in some ways, the 9 place that we need to pivot on. 10 That Climate, Ecosystems, and Fisheries is that thing that will 11 12 give us the ability to think differently, if you will, and to 13 consider the possible outcomes, the scenario planning, the projections that are taking place in other ways, and this will 14 15 give you that, perhaps a little bit more quantitative, and build in, you know, the levels of certainty or uncertainty that then 16 17 will, in turn, allow you to make decisions about those levels of certainty or uncertainty. 18 19 20 MS. COIT: Bill, could I add -- First of all, you know, I think 21 you make a great point, and we owe it to get back to the 22 different regional councils more specifically on some of this, 23 but I see it almost in three categories. One is the slide that 24 Cisco showed of like we need to maintain these current surveys, 25 and that is challenging, and we're committed to that. 26 Two, we need to use the tools that are available right now, and, 27 28 when I look at the east coast scenario planning, they're looking 29 at surveys and distributions of stocks that have shifted over time, and you already see things happening, and we have things 30 31 beyond the white ships that we can use in the short-term. 32 33 Then third are things like CEFI that are going to be predictive, and more useful, going forward, but aren't available immediately, and so I see them that way. I think, again, it's 34 35 36 awkward not being able to announce our IRQ plan, but suffice it 37 to say that the current gaps, using new technologies now, and 38 planning for CEFI in the future, is a core part of what we hope 39 to do for that IRA funding, with specific attention to regional 40 needs, and then more to come, and we'll be more specific as soon 41 as we can. 42 43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Marc. 44 45 MR. GORELNIK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Cisco, for

45 MR. GORELNIK: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Cisco, for 46 the presentation. I sympathize with your difficulties of 47 staffing and getting the equipment online. In the Pacific, one 48 of our larger fisheries segments, which is the non-trawl

commercial and recreational groundfish fishery, has effectively 1 2 no fishery-independent survey, and apparently has never had that, and I'm not sure why that is so, but I quess, as long as 3 things were going along smoothly, no one really cared, but now 4 5 we presently have significant closures and restrictions, as a 6 consequence of not having really a good, comprehensive dataset, 7 and all we have is fishery-dependent data, and, because of past restrictions, not much of the habitat has been fished, and so 8 9 we're in desperate need of fishery-independent surveys on the west coast. With the empty staff positions, and other perhaps 10 11 savings, is there any prospect of actually getting this data gap 12 filled, so that we don't have unnecessary closures on the 13 Pacific coast?

15 DR. WERNER: Thanks for the question. Quickly, I don't know how 16 to answer that, or I can't give you an answer right now, but I 17 think that's part of rethinking the data collection side, by the 18 number of things that I said, and can we make surveys more 19 efficient, so that people, and perhaps vessels, are available to 20 collect other kinds of data and focus on other types of surveys, and can the modern approaches, or the advanced technologies, 21 22 give us the ability to sample in places that we otherwise would not have, that maybe are not that people-intensive, that can be 23 24 done remotely and such, and so I think those are the kind of 25 things that perhaps open up, you know, a set of questions, in 26 terms of there are things that we haven't sampled the way we 27 would have liked to have, that perhaps making surveys efficient 28 and advancing technologies can do that, and so I think it's 29 something to put on the table.

31 MR. GORELNIK: I will just note the challenge here is that this 32 can't be done with a trawl survey, and it's got to be done with, 33 at least traditionally, hook-and-line. There are charter 34 vessels that would be available do that, and so I do think there 35 are vessels and manpower available to do it, but it's a matter 36 of the Science Center being able to take up that -- To take up 37 that workload.

- 39 DR. WERNER: Yes. Great. Thank you.
- 40

38

42

30

14

41 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Marcos.

43 MR. HANKE: Along the same line of the comments just made, I 44 want to bring to the table my concerns with the scale and 45 frequency and the characteristics of the advanced technologies 46 to the Caribbean. I know that some of them apply, and some of 47 them not, but especially with the frequency that would be 48 available to us to have a meaningful impact on the data 1 collection, the dependent data collection, and that's the first
2 point.
3

The second point is that we are already starting to see the 4 missed link, or bridge, between the dependent and independent 5 6 datasets that, under climate change, is super important to address, and the fishermen -- I cannot take my hat off of a 7 8 fisherman, but with the fishermen. They're the first ones to 9 see not just along the coast, in the case of the U.S., the shift the habitat utilization, but we also see the 10 of shift 11 vertically, in the case of the islands, going deeper and so on, 12 and probably some guidance, with a structure to create a general 13 dataset in which the industry, charter, fishing charters, the general public, can report in a meaningful way for that signal 14 15 to get to your hands as quick as possible, because it depends on 16 the council, and it depends on the Science Center and so on, and 17 it is a long way and that we don't have time to lose. 18

19 That's my concern, and I think we can do a better job on 20 engaging the public, engaging the industry, to get those signals. For example, if suddenly we start to have cobia and 21 22 mahi in Boston, and with such frequency or whatever, and we 23 cannot have a delay on that information, that we are already 24 experiencing the same -- In our case, the grouper is being 25 caught deeper, and the fishermen are catching them deeper, 26 because of whatever reason, and those are my points. Thank you. 27

28 DR. WERNER: Thank you for that, Marcos. With regard to the 29 first point, the ability to collect data in habitats and 30 structures such as the Caribbean, where you have the reefs, and 31 those are untrawlable structures, is something that some of the advanced technologies I think are beginning to actually -- Do I 32 want to use the word "operational"? I don't know, but they're 33 34 getting to the point where we can reliably begin to do them, and, you know, again, the work that happened in the Pacific 35 36 Islands, and it was actually through cooperative research and 37 such, where we were able to put cameras down and be able to use 38 artificial intelligence, machine learning, to actually analyze 39 it much faster than we otherwise would have. 40

I know it's also being looked at, I believe, in the Gulf of Mexico and other places, and it should be imminently at least testable, to see how that would work in the Caribbean, almost in the same way perhaps as it has worked in other places, and so I think this is perhaps the next natural extension, in terms of how do we do surveys and sampling, almost to the point that was made earlier, in areas that we haven't before. We might have some capabilities in front of us, or within us, that we can do that can now answer some of the questions, in terms of data collection, that you mentioned.

Then the other point, I think it's -- Because there are so many 5 6 things changing in so many places, and, like you said, the 7 changes in distribution, whether it's vertically or 8 latitudinally or such, we can't be everywhere, no matter how 9 many ships we build, and so I think perhaps developing a more systematic way of that cooperation with the fishing industry, 10 11 with the fishermen, with the citizen science and all of that, should be something that we take on quite seriously, because 12 13 they're seeing it, probably in some cases, before we do, and there's no reason not to be systematic about how do we include 14 that information. 15

17 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Dr. Werner, and we probably need to 18 move on. We're a little bit behind in the agenda, and I know, 19 Cisco, you'll be here for a while, if there's other questions, 20 and maybe we'll take one or two more, if we can make it quickly 21 here. 22

23 MR. REID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Cisco, thank you. It's good 24 to see you again. I guess I can summarize it very quickly and 25 say don't forget the basics, and so we've had this conversation before, and we had it last year and the year before, and we 26 27 continue to struggle, in the Northeast, with the basics, the 28 basics for data, the basics as it relates to stock assessments, 29 and so we think about all the things that you have to think 30 about, and I understand that you're balancing these resources, 31 time and money, and you have all these needs, and don't forget, 32 you know, biological port sampling, and don't forget that we are 33 struggling now, in the Northeast, with stock assessments that 34 basically are delayed, and that could impact our ability to make 35 management decisions, and so just don't forget the basics.

37 DR. WERNER: Real quick, I totally agree, and that's why this 38 has to be -- We can't jump ahead and leave the legacy ways of 39 doing things -- We have to do them in parallel for a while. How 40 we do that, well, we'll have to figure that out, and I totally 41 agree. 42

- 43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom.
- 44

36

16

45 **MR. NIES:** I will try to be fast, because I think my request is 46 easy. Why the heck does it take so long for us to find out when 47 a survey is delayed or cancelled or whatever? You know, it's 48 gotten to the point where my staff is checking AIS in the 1 morning to find out if a survey has left on time or not, and it 2 takes sometimes weeks after the delay for us to get any 3 explanation of what's going on, and I don't understand that at 4 all. 5

6 I'm about ready to go hire some twenty-one-year-old National 7 Guard member, so I can get the information out of your 8 databases, and so my understanding, as well, is that it's not 9 coming regionally, and that the holdup appears to be somewhere 10 down in Washington, and we would like to know when these things 11 are happening and what the plan is. Thank you.

12

13 DR. WERNER: Yes, and it's something that we work on communicating as quickly as we can, and the communication that 14 15 happens between us and our colleagues at the OMAO, and we need 16 to communicate with you, and we need to communicate with the 17 hill, and sometimes it's like we're almost there, and we're going to fix it, and there's hope that we might actually be able 18 19 to get out, or juggle the crew so that we can get out, or the 20 fix is going to be there, and, admittedly, perhaps that sometimes delays things more than what we should, but, to us, 21 22 getting that information out is important, and you need to know where we are, and that transparency is something that is 23 24 paramount for us to do. If there are delays, it could be 25 because we think we're just going to go out, and then something 26 happens, but there is no -- There is no attempt at not getting 27 the information out. 28

MR. NIES: Just very briefly, our spring survey was supposed to sail on March 15, and it didn't sail until sometime in May. Between March 15 and April 17, there were three changes in the schedule that we never heard about, and then we finally got a notice on April 17. I don't understand.

35 DR. WERNER: It's a longer discussion, if we could, and I'm not 36 sure if --37

38 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Well, I think maybe, Cisco, for that particular 39 issue, you can have a discussion offline maybe. 40

We could, but the request is noted, and it's 41 DR. WERNER: 42 something that we can take back and say that, you know, 43 irrespective of all of the efforts to try to overcome any one particular thing, even just knowing that something is happening 44 45 and they're trying to overcome it, it's something that is 46 valuable information, and important information, for you to 47 have, and we can do that and move forward that way. 48

57

1 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Seeing no other hands up, and speaking 2 of delays, and delays in our agenda, we're going to make just a 3 minor adjustment here. I don't think we have time to get through the Gulf Council highlights, and we can pick that up a 4 little bit later today, or after lunch, but, Russ, if you're 5 available, I think we have time to discuss the recreational 6 7 policy, if you don't mind, and I guess you have a presentation 8 with that that we'll pull up here.

10 REVISED DRAFT: NATIONAL SALTWIER RECREATIONAL FISHERIES POLICY

11

17

9

12 MR. RUSS DUNN: Thanks. For those of you who I may not have 13 met, which is very few of you, I'm Russ Dunn, and I'm the 14 National Policy Advisor for Recreational Fisheries at NMFS, and 15 I appreciate having a few minutes here to provide an update on 16 where we stand in terms of updating the rec fish policy.

18 As you all may recall, we undertook an extensive 150-day comment 19 period, where we met with and discussed our efforts to update, 20 with the councils, the commissions, the state directors, MAFAC, HMS Advisory Committee, and the general public, among others, 21 22 and we did this -- We accepted comments in-person, online, webinars, email, a specific comment portal, et cetera, and we 23 24 had, as you can see from the statistics there in the response 25 section, a pretty robust response to our request for inputs on 26 how best to update the national policy.

28 Without going into real detail here, the comments that we 29 received over this 150 days really covered a range of topics and 30 issues, from specifics they would like to see, that people 31 wanted to see, in updating the policy, or amending it, to their 32 concerns in general about fisheries or specific fisheries within 33 a given fishery, like requests to increase a bag limit and whatnot, which aren't necessarily appropriate for a full 34 35 national policy.

36

27

Climate was a big one, everywhere all the time, climate-37 resilient fisheries and responding to impacts and understanding 38 39 impacts, et cetera. EEJ, or DEI, the interest here really was expanding DEI in fisheries themselves, and so the 40 on participants, but also in the fishery management process, and it 41 42 specifically really included a focus, in many places, on 43 bringing in the sort of non-commercial, or sort of sustenance, subsistence, angle, and it depends where you live on what the 44 45 definition is, et cetera, but going beyond the sport and pleasure aspect, to embrace really those people who 46 are 47 supplementing their protein intake through fishing. 48

Ecosystem and management and habitat conservation, really, for 1 2 the purposes of this slide, I rolled all the comments on conservation and management into this bullet, and it was 3 4 everything from better address ecosystem management, sustainability, discards, post-release mortality, things like 5 6 that, habitat improvements, and so there was a huge range of 7 comments on conservation and on ecosystem issues across the 8 board. 9 10 Regulatory access, most of the comments here really focused on 11 two sides of the same coin, either concerns over the potential 12 loss of anglers that anglers have experienced, or see coming, 13 and interest in expanding access, where it's appropriate. 14 15 Offshore ocean uses, again, this was really mostly about access, either concerns of loss, interest in the potential to expand 16 17 associated with offshore development, and concern about conflict 18 and how to avoid conflict, in terms of increasing ocean uses.

20 faster, across-the-board. 21 22 Accountability and reporting, that really was a recurring theme of greater regulatory accountability and interest in improving 23 24 reporting, and what was interesting here is it kind of -- It had 25 two primary focal points, and one was there was interest, generally from the commercial and the for-hire commenters, about 26 making sure that individual anglers are accountable, and the 27 28 individual angling community was very keen to note that they are 29 getting frustrated when anglers stay within the rules, and the 30 system that has established the rules, as established, still 31 allow for an overage of the ACL and being blamed for that. If I, as an angler, stay within my two fish, at the right bag 32 33 limit, but the system has allowed an overage, don't blame me, 34 and so it was an interesting sort of dialogue there.

Science and data collection can be boiled down to more, better,

19

35

39

- 36 Engagement and education and outreach was really across-the-37 board. We want more facetime, and we want more social media and 38 electronic engagement, more and faster and better.
- 40 Increasing efficiency was an interesting one, and this came to a 41 focus on both concerns about sustainability over the long term 42 when you look at both increasing effort in combination with increasing efficiency, meaning better electronics, et cetera, 43 44 and, ultimately, impacts on fisheries satisfaction, such as decreasing fishing season length, right, and, if you've got more 45 46 people who are fishing more efficiently, who are able to catch 47 that bag, or that ACL, faster, ultimately, what does this mean, 48 down the road, for our satisfaction for a given fishery, if the

season keeps getting more and more truncated? 1 2 3 Then, finally, the policy implementation really focused on 4 provide metrics, provide measures, and feedback on how the 5 agency is doing, in terms of implementation. 6 7 We took all this feedback, and what did we do? Well, we added two new policy goals to the original three, and the original 8 three, you may recall, were essentially maintaining the health 9 of the resources on which recreational fisheries depend. 10 No 11 fish, no fishery, so to speak. Promoting rec fishing for the 12 benefit of the nation and enabling long-term participation through science-based decision-making. 13 14 15 We added a climate-specific goal that you can see up there in 16 the first bullet, and we added a DEI goal, that you can see in the second bullet, which meshes well with the EEJ policy that 17 18 Kelly briefed us on this morning. In addition to adding these 19 specific policy goals, we also incorporated language related to 20 climate and DEI throughout the policy itself. 21 22 Other key proposed updates, aside from just those goals, we went through -- There were many recommendations on increasing focus, 23 24 or reference, to sustainability, and so we realize there are a 25 number of places where we could add verbiage that sort of 26 strengthens the policy's focus on sustainability, and we 27 specifically incorporated references and points regarding offshore development. As we mentioned, depredation is a big one 28 29 across the country, and not just shark depredation, but marine 30 mammal as well. 31 32 We expanded our references to cooperative and collaborative data 33 collection, because there was a lot of interest in improving not citizen science, but a collaborative, cooperative data collection, as it provides increased confidence and additional 34 35 36 data streams to our science process, and we specifically, 37 towards the end of the policy, commit to track, measure, and 38 report-out on implementation. 39 40 Here, what I want to do with this slide is just highlight a 41 couple of the key inputs that we received from you all, from the 42 councils in our discussions, through both the discussions and the formal letters that came in. As you can see, climate, as we 43 already mentioned, and we added that as a goal. DEI, or EEJ, 44 45 and we added that as a goal. 46 47 Improving data collection is sort of throughout the document, and we reference improving collaborative, cooperative data 48

1 collection and improving our science and data. Offshore 2 development, we mentioned that we have specific points added 3 relevant to that point. Angler engagement and education, again, we beefed up the document across-the-board, in terms of trying 4 5 to better engage on science and education, and, ultimately, as 6 we said for the last bullet there, we added a specific phrase at 7 the end, a clause, committing to metrics and reporting out. 8

9 Then what we did was we have that draft, and we were 10 specifically asked if we would reopen the comment period on the 11 draft itself, and so we sent the draft out on May 24 to you all, 12 and we sent it out to the councils and the commissions and 13 MAFAC, and we had an open comment period for five weeks on the 14 draft. 15

16 We got three comments back, two from NGOs and one from a for-17 hire operator. Basically, the comments that came back said we 18 appreciate your efforts, and we think this was an improvement over the original policy, and they asked for three sort of 19 20 specific things. One was try to better address specific modes 21 within the rec fishery within the policy. Two was frame bycatch 22 as a management issue, and responsibility, than an more 23 individual angler responsibility, because, in the policy, we 24 talk about tools and practices that anglers can employ, and 25 there was interest in trying to make it more of a management 26 responsibility, and further emphasizing data and science. 27

28 Next steps is we're going to have this similar discussion with 29 MAFAC next week, at the MAFAC meeting, and we will then sit down 30 with any additional comments that you all provide here today and 31 at MAFAC next week, and we'll work to address those issues, those comments, and we will then enter a draft document into the 32 33 NMFS clearance process and review, in June and July, and we hope 34 to release the final in early September, and then, in October, 35 begin to implement, through implementation plans that the agency 36 is currently working on. I can stop there, and then I have one 37 more slide, if we have any comments, questions, concerns, 38 applause.

40 MR. CARMICHAEL: I think you guys did a great job on getting the 41 input. It was a long comment period, and it was hands-on, you 42 know, reaching out to people, and I think it really paid off, 43 with the amount of comments you got and the range of issues that 44 were raised, and so I think, really, kudos in that part.

39

45

46 I think the new goals are appropriate, and it's good to see that 47 getting in there, and I think the focus on angler involvement, 48 in data in particular, is important. To me, that is part of

our approach, making use of technological 1 modernizing 2 advancements, you know, applying everything, and I think, when you think of getting anglers involved, it could be a lot of 3 4 things. 5 6 It could be cooperative research type things, and it could be 7 the study fleet idea, and that's something that we're talking 8 about more in the Southeast, and it could be citizen science. A 9 lot of people take a very broad view of citizen science, and 10 you've got anglers involved in doing this, and giving us 11 information that we can't get efficiently, and that's essentially citizen science. 12 13 14 I also think that continuing to work with engagement and 15 outreach on MRIP, so that angler are, you know, constantly reminded of how important it is that they take part in that, 16 when they see a sampler, and they give the information, and they 17 18 give it as reliably and honestly as they can. You know, I think 19 that's just a constant reminder in outreach that folks need, and 20 it's really critical, and so I think you're on the right track 21 here. 22 23 I also wanted to raise a comment about, hopefully, as part of 24 this, you'll continue to do the rec fishing symposiums, and I 25 think those have been great opportunities to get anglers together around the nation. Just a couple of suggestions on 26 27 that, from my experience of being involved in them. 28 29 In the future, I think it could be helpful to have some 30 dedicated seats available at those for the councils, to name 31 individuals, so you get, you know, really representation of the 32 nature of our fisheries. Being able to bring a couple of 33 advisors, and a couple of council members, and maybe a staff, as 34 part of a core group of that, and then, you know, I also see the 35 value of in sort of the open and let people come that are 36 interested as well, and so maybe kind of splitting the participation up there, to make sure that we are able to get the 37 people in our council who are really involved in the fisheries. 38 39 40 Then I also think consider maybe some regional workshops as 41 perhaps precursors to the national, because we just never seem 42 to have enough time, at those national ones, to get into all the issues that we face, and, you know, our regional issues are very 43 different, and so maybe that could be a way to figure out what 44 45 are some relevant topics that all of the regions are sharing 46 that might be really good things to dig into at the national 47 level, and I think most of the councils would be glad to help, 48 you know, support you guys in putting something together like

that on a regional basis, maybe in the off years or something, 1 2 or, if you know, if we do rec fish every three years, it gives 3 us like two years to do the regional. 4 5 MR. DUNN: Great. Yes, I appreciate that, and I will say that we are trying to -- We realize that we need to sort 6 of 7 restructure how we do the summit, and it has sort of reached its limits in the current format, if you will, and so we'll be sure 8 9 to call you as we're planning the next go-round, and so I 10 appreciate it. 11 12 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Marcos. 13 14 MR. HANKE: The round of applause keeps going on, and thank you 15 very much for the efforts, and I really see a few things that 16 strike me. First of all is your ability to put in paper on the 17 way that you present, but it's really going on on all of the 18 activities that I attend and saw, and that's not an easy process sometimes, especially on the part of the diversity of modes and 19 20 modalities that recreational fisheries takes place, especially 21 for the Caribbean. 22 23 We have multiple habitats and multiple styles of fishing, and we 24 have to recognize that, in order to be effective in our message, 25 and I agree with what John says about the engagement of the fishing community, and it's another example that the industry is 26 27 requesting to provide a forum, or to provide the information, 28 the data collection and so on, which is linked to the 29 presentation that Cisco just gave to us, and those are my 30 comments. Thank you. 31 32 MR. DUNN: Thank you. 33 34 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. I'm not seeing any other hands up. 35 36 MR. DUNN: If I can, I've got one last slide that I would love 37 to just run through that is directly relevant, and it will take me about forty-five seconds. Our team -- Obviously, we've been 38 39 heavily focused on the policy and implementation plans, but we have not just been sitting idle on those, and we've been really 40 41 reaching out and trying to begin to move out on some of what 42 we've heard, and so, in the last short period of time --43 44 First, I want to say thank you to the Gulf Council, who is not 45 only hosting this meeting, but also was willing to host a rec economic workshop that we just did, that we cohosted with the 46 47 Office of Science and Technology, in their offices in late 48 April. We had about sixty people from around the country, and 63

more online, to focus specifically on rec econ, and there will 1 2 be a final report coming out from that soon. 3 We have also partnered up with our Northeast and Southwest 4 Fisheries Science Centers on those study fleets. California is 5 6 some rockfish species, which are undergoing looking at 7 assessment updates, and the Northeast is looking at some of the 8 cod and haddock issues, and those are, you know, cooperative 9 research with the for-hire community. 10 11 We just are working with the Southeast Center, and were able to 12 provide them funding to expand their cooperative tagging program, from just HMS to now it's going to include coastal 13 migratory pelagics, and we teamed up with our habitat office to 14 15 collaborate in providing grants that directly engage anglers, 16 and you've heard about these programs the last four or five 17 years, and we were able to do it again, and we're going to 18 announce those projects in about a week. Those are grants that 19 go through our NFHAP partners. 20 21 Our release mortality mitigation, we were able to team up with 22 the Caribbean Council and provide them funds to distribute descending devices to both the commercial and the recreational 23 24 portions of the fleet down there, and they're working on 25 distribution of those devices and materials. 26 Up in Alaska, we're working with the region, and we have been 27 28 able to support them in their efforts to undertake a series of 29 discussions, constituent discussions, on moving forward with the 30 recreational quota entity. 31 32 We've been able to support both the Pacific Islands and the Southeast regions on protected resources outreach, in terms of 33 34 turtles and sawfish specifically, and then we literally, just this weekend, hosted the first of a few family fishing days, 35 36 here in the sanctuary, at the Keys, up in Key Largo, with Title 37 I schools, which are schools that have predominantly low-income 38 students, to get them out and experience fishing and understand 39 a little bit about marine conservation efforts. 40 41 We've taken what you've heard, and we have a small team, but 42 we've been able to sort of move forward and start the ball 43 rolling with a lot of this work so far, and I will wrap up 44 there. 45 46 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Russ. I believe that Janet has a 47 comment. Janet, go ahead. 48

64

Yes, and I just wanted to add to the applause, MS. COIT: 1 Russell. Russ reports directly to me, and he is going to double 2 3 his commitment, by having Tim Sartwell join him full-time, and not part-time, something that I am supportive of, and I just 4 5 wanted to -- I think that the process, and the content, of the 6 update of the policy are fantastic, but just also note that Russ 7 is constantly with Kelly and her team, with the regional offices, with me, advocating for more research and attention and 8 9 engagement on rec fishing issues, and I wanted to make sure that you knew that, because he really is a dynamo, who is making a 10 11 lot of good things happen at Fisheries. 12 13 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Janet, and thank you, everyone. That will just about bring us up to our lunchbreak. 14 15 What we'll do is we'll start up, Ryan, so you know, on the budget and outlook, and we'll start just right after the agenda, 16 17 and we can pick up the Gulf Council highlights later in the 18 afternoon, before public testimony or something, and so, with 19 that, we'll break for lunch until 1:30, and I will see everyone 20 then. 21 22 (Whereupon, the meeting recessed for lunch on May 23, 2023.) 23 24 25 26 May 23, 2023 27 28 TUESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION 29 30 _ _ _ 31 The Council Coordination Committee reconvened at the Marriott 32 33 Beachside Hotel in Key West, Florida on Tuesday afternoon, May 34 23, 2023, and was called to order by Gulf of Mexico Fishery 35 Management Council Chairman Greg Stunz. 36 37 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I think we're going to go ahead and get We're missing a few council representatives, but, in 38 started. 39 the interest of time, I think we'll get going. 40 41 As I mentioned right before lunch, we made some minor 42 modifications to the agenda, but we're going to pick up with the items that are on the agenda right after lunch, which is the 43 44 budget discussions and some information on the Inflation 45 Reduction Act that's going to be led by Brian Pawlak, and so, 46 Brian, they will be pulling up your presentation in just a 47 second, and whenever you're ready. 48

65

1

2

38

3 MR. PAWLAK: All right. Thank you, Greq. I know many of you have seen me do this presentation before, and we'll hit some of 4 the same kind of high notes that we typically do, but I've 5 6 already rearranged the presentation a bit, if you're kind of 7 used to the format that we do here, and I'm going to go through council funding first, and kind of outline where we landed on 8 9 the councils in 2023 and what 2024 is looking like, and then, with kind of the permission of Greg here, we're going to go 10 ahead and pause for a couple of questions just on the council 11 12 budget piece, and then we'll dive into some of the broader, more 13 macro kind of pictures and issues with the NOAA Fisheries budget 14 and then talk a little bit about the budget supplementals, at 15 least what we can say, and so a little bit of restructuring from 16 the past, when I've done these, but I think, with many familiar 17 faces in the room, you have seen this before. 18

19 I always like to do a quick orientation of where we are in the 20 budget, because it really matters. It makes a big difference of 21 what year you're talking about, and I often get people panicked 22 about, you know, oh no, the budget is disastrous, and I'm like what year are you talking about, and that's not this year, and 23 24 that's an out-year budget, and so it's always good to frame what 25 year you're in, and, presently, we're halfway through the budget 26 execution of FY 23, and we're working on putting our enacted 27 budget through all the controls and grants and contracting that 28 we need to do. 29

30 As a reminder, we got that enacted budget in December, and it's 31 always a recurring question, even within NOAA Fisheries, and, of course, our constituents, of when does the money flow, and so we 32 33 got the budget enacted in December, and it was still several 34 months after December when we got apportionment from OMB, and 35 spend plans approved, and so we were several months into the 36 calendar year before we were able even to start executing our 37 funding.

39 FY 24, the current year that we're working on, the In 40 President's budget was also delayed about a month from getting 41 out its, and I will do air quotes around "normal timeframe", 42 since we seem to fall under the pattern of pushing way past the typical kind of years past February release. 43 We do have the 44 President's budget out, and the budget request is out in the 45 blue book, and I can give the link, later on, to what the blue book outlines, and the highlights there, but that process is 46 47 fully underway and being evaluated by Congress, and we expect 48 that, this summer, we'll start seeing House and Senate marks for 1 what they think about 24.

2

11

21

39

Also, in FY 25, we're in the budget formulation phase, and we are in kind of initial conversations and discussions with NOAA, what we might think and do about 25. It's still really early in the stages there, but I think, also, as you know, and I've said to you before, once we start talking that out-year planning, that really remains administratively confidential, but is shared here for everyone to have a timeframe and context for our planning cycles and what we're looking at.

12 The focus, first, as I said, is on the specific regional council 13 and fisheries commissions funding, and I say both here, because it's our budget line, our PPA, our budget line, and that funding 14 15 is provided in one PPA, one budget line, and I didn't give you 16 the breakout here, but the FY 23 enacted budget fully funded our 17 request for \$1.4 million in adjustments to base, or ATBs, in the regional council and fisheries commission budget line, and so 18 this provided approximately -- It was just shy of a million 19 20 dollars increase in the regional councils PPA.

A budget increase in the regional council line, and it was evenly distributed among the organizations here, and that's good news, and it's good news for us that we are actually seeing ATBs, which we have not, in the past years, seen that as regularly as we have in the last couple of years, and I will dive into that a little bit more as well.

29 On the table there, you can kind of see the FY 22 through 24 across the top columns there, and so, if you look out to the FY 30 31 24 President's budget for the regional councils and fisheries commissions budget, we're requesting, again, a \$1.5 million 32 increase in the ATB, and this would come out to, again, a 33 34 million dollars for the councils, if that is enacted at that level, and so roughly, you know, \$31.8 million in the regional 35 36 councils sub-PPA, or budget line, that we work with you in 37 distributing the money, you and your communities, to make sure 38 you can do your job.

40 Just where we sit on council funding status, I think, in the 41 first quarter, even under the CR, continuing resolution, we 42 didn't quite have a budget yet, and I think we're pretty good at this process now, under CR, in getting significant money to the 43 councils in advance of the final budget, and we did that, at 44 45 about the 50 to 60 percent range, in the first quarter, and just checking this morning, and I think GMD, and that's our Grants 46 47 Management Division, at the NOAA level, I think has signed all 48 the council awards, except for maybe one, and so those should be 1 out to everyone in a couple of weeks here, and there should not 2 be much of a delay there.

3

10

31

4 They are in full review, and they're being looked at, and 5 they're in process. I don't think they're quite in your hands 6 yet, but I don't see -- It says here end of the month, and 7 that's only a few days away, and I'm optimistic that it's not 8 the end of the month, and it's the first weeks of June that you 9 will have the full suite of funding.

One thing with the council funding here, and this is the table 11 12 that we typically present and share with you, and have for a while, and it's typically referred to -- The kind of vernacular 13 14 is it's the base funding. It's the core set of funding that the 15 council receives, and the council receives this funding, as we talked just two slides back, primarily from the regional 16 17 councils and fisheries commissions budget line, and you can see 18 the enacted amounts there, or I shouldn't say the enacted amounts, but the spend plan amounts there, and then the spread 19 20 of how that would look across the different councils. 21

22 The other -- Following the rows down, the second and third row, those budget lines have long been standing allocations to the 23 24 councils, and they are not within the council programmatic line, 25 and they're in our program lines, so to speak, and those amounts 26 have been steadfast and steady for -- I think we looked at this 27 a little while ago, and it was like ten or fifteen years, and so 28 those amounts still remain, and you will see those in the 29 budget, and the spend plan amounts are outlined there for 2023 30 in the green, the next-to-last row there.

A couple of questions have come up before the meeting, and folks may remember that we wanted to put forth, and we did actually. In FY 21, we put forth to Congress a merging of these budget lines, and so we would basically settle the base funding within the regional councils' budget line.

38 These different pots of funds that have regularly come to you, 39 we put forward a proposal to Congress to really just kind of reset the base, and it wasn't -- It's what we refer to in the 40 budget world as a technical ATB, a technical adjustment, and so 41 42 it's a zero-sum game, and it was just moving money among lines, and so we thought it -- The council committee here I think 43 and we thought it would be a more efficient and 44 agreed, 45 effective way to communicate, more of a way to ensure your base 46 and make the accounting a little bit easier, and I think we got 47 through the committee, but maybe not everyone fully heard, or 48 maybe we didn't do a good job of communicating, but that 1 proposal was specifically rejected by the House and Senate, by
2 the appropriations staff.
3

If the committee wants to revisit that, we have all tools and 4 information to go back and try that again, but we did get 5 6 specific directive language to not proceed that way, and so it's 7 a different set of appropriators, and we might have a different response, and I don't know, and I will defer to the committee, 8 9 if they want to discuss it, and it's worth going back and looking at it and trying again, but I think the key message here 10 11 is -- You see where the funding breaks up for your different councils, and we're still in the model of kind of the 12 13 traditional form of accounting, where we've got base funding coming from a couple of different budget lines as well. 14 15

16 That's council funding, and I will pause there, Greg, and I'm 17 glad to take questions there. As I said, we tended to bury this 18 in the back and then not have time for questions, and I'm glad 19 to take a couple of questions on council funding before I step 20 into the kind of overview of just where we are generally in 21 Fisheries and what some final funding might be for us.

22 23

24

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Sure. Thanks, Brian. Any questions? Tom.

25 MR. NIES: Thank you for the presentation, Brian. Just a quick 26 question. I thought you said that the agency got ATBs across 27 all PPAs, yet our part of the fisheries management programs and services PPA has never received an adjustment, I think, for 28 29 quite some time, and I'm just curious why that is, and that's a 30 question that we've asked before, that the agency has said they 31 would get back to us on, and I'm not sure that we've ever really 32 gotten an answer on that.

33

34 MR. PAWLAK: To clarify, when we get the ATBs, they're generally 35 applied to every line, but not always, based on I think a 36 question you had, Tom, earlier, and congressional direction may 37 reset that, and it may be applied differently, to different 38 budget lines. For these specifically, I would think at this generic high level, yes, we have ATBs in this line that the 39 agency got, and I would not be able to kind of give a strong 40 41 justification of why these components haven't changed. It's a 42 program decision, at the program level, to maintain these at the 43 historic level. 44

I think, to your question, I think one of the advantages of, when we were talking about merging the lines, is the ATB would be applied to the larger base number, once the council was receiving ATBs, and that was one of the things that we talked about back in 2021, when we talked about merging these component lines, and so your issue would effectively kind of go away if they were -- It wouldn't become a program decision as much as if was applied by a congressional application of ATBs.

6 MR. NIES: Thank you.

7

9

8 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kitty.

10 MS. SIMONDS: Looking at this, and it's the slide before, where it shows FY 2022 to FY 2024, and, if you look at the amounts of 11 12 money that we would get individually, it hardly pays for one person's salary, and it's ridiculous, frankly, and so I guess 13 what I want to know is, when you folks talk to the hill, is 14 there something that we all should be doing, or you all should 15 16 be doing, to get a better increase? Really, and, I mean, what 17 questions to you get asked, because this -- As I said -- I mean, 18 I don't know, and do you all agree with me that what we each get 19 individually is hardly enough to hire one person, staffer? 20

21 MR. PAWLAK: I think what you're reflecting on is what Cisco 22 reflected on, is our adjustments to base don't necessarily keep 23 up with our labor costs increase and other increases that we're 24 seeing. 25

What the hill tends to focus on, and the administration tends to 26 27 focus on, are what we call program changes, and we get into the specifics of the 2023 and 2024, and the ATBs, the adjustments to 28 29 base, are typically formulaic and calculated and provided from the department, and they're intended to keep up with inflation, 30 31 but they don't always do so, and so it's a bit of -- We're caught in the form of ATBs, and, unless we receive priority, 32 33 administration priority, for program change, meaning a change to 34 the bottom line of the base budget, we're in the formulaic 35 methodology of determining increases.

37 **MS. SIMONDS:** So you're saying that it has to come from the top 38 before you can actually bargain for anything on the hill?

40 MR. PAWLAK: Before we would be asking for an increase in this 41 budget line, outside of ATB, there would have to be an 42 administrative decision, yes, to support council lines at the 43 higher level. 44

45 MS. SIMONDS: Okay. Thanks. I think.
46

47 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom.

48

36

39

MR. NIES: Following-up on that, when the agency puts in program 1 2 changes, for example for dealing with offshore wind, and that's 3 one that comes to mind, do you increase -- Do you include in 4 the possibility that that imposes increased there 5 responsibilities and costs on us? 6

7 I mean, I basically now, because of offshore wind, have the 8 equivalent of one full-time staff member working on offshore 9 wind issues, and that's a change in my program that I didn't 10 have five years ago, and so, when you put in program requests 11 for, as an example, offshore wind, are you including additional 12 money for the councils that have to deal with offshore wind, and 13 I know the answer to that is no, but question really is why not? 14

15 MR. PAWLAK: Good question, and I think you did answer it Well, I think some of it is what is the funding 16 correctly. 17 request for the agency's regulatory requirements, and the 18 pressure and demand on the regulatory requirements is where that 19 is mainly focused. We, obviously, have it at some of the FMC 20 level, and we have programmatic decisions, where the programs 21 can determine, and work with the councils, if there is additional funding needed, but I think, Tom, yes, the focus has 22 23 been on the fisheries and regulatory needs, or science needs to 24 support the regulations, so far.

26 MR. NIES: Thank you.

28 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: David.

29

38

25

27

30 MR. WITHERELL: Not a question, Mr. Chairman, but a comment. 31 Brian, just to make you aware of the situation in the North Pacific, our burn rate exceeds our annual funding, currently. 32 33 Now, fortunately, we have some unspent funds from the COVID 34 years to carry us through, but we're looking towards the future 35 and realize that we're going to have to cut back in a certain 36 way, and so our council is looking at having fewer council 37 meetings, or virtual meetings.

39 I am no longer replacing staff, backfilling staff that leave for 40 retirement or other reasons, and so I quess, when inflation is increasing at the rate it is, and, for example, our travel and 41 42 hotel costs, as well as our salaries and benefits costs, 43 particularly insurance, are increasing from 10 to 20 percent a year, we're not keeping up, and so our plan is just reduce staff 44 45 and reduce costs for meetings, and I just wanted to let you know 46 that.

47

48 MR. PAWLAK: Thank you. I appreciate the comments, and I

empathize.

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Andy.

5 MR. STRELCHECK: I appreciate the comments around the table, and 6 I feel like it's important to share, from an agency perspective, 7 that we're battling the same problems, and there's kind of that general frustration of how do we keep up with increasing 8 9 workload with less staff, and, if you ask Clay, or any of my regional administrator peers around the table, for the most 10 part, unless it's been a new initiative, we've been cutting 11 back, in terms of the amount of staffing that we've been able to 12 13 hire in recent years.

14

25

41

1

2

4

As an example, the Southeast Region has twenty-seven fisheries employees, and we're down from thirty-four employees five years ago, and so the frustration is shared, and I just wanted to kind of let you know that it's resonating with me, but the reality is we're kind of all in the same boat together right now, and we have to figure out how to best address that. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Other questions regarding the council-specific budget? Brian, I'm not seeing any, if you want to proceed now with the main budget.

26 MR. PAWLAK: Here I will go over FY 23 enacted, and where we sit 27 with FY 24, and I think, to some of the points that Andy is 28 making here, and the question just raised about kind of really 29 decreasing budgets in an increasing cost world, we'll highlight 30 how some of that evolves, or how some of that comes to be. 31

32 First, just the big picture, and this is just meant to be a graphical representation of where our funding landings with the 33 four programmatic areas, the four major programmatic areas 34 within fisheries, and I know, for folks working on a thirty-35 36 million-dollar budget line, it might be hard to feel that we have empathy for you, when we have a billion dollars to work 37 38 with, but, as Andy points out, when you get down to the FMC 39 level, down to program levels, that folks care about, folks are 40 feeling those pressures across-the-board.

The point here is just to note where we stand, and I think, also, even as we're talking about this, and you saw Cisco's slide of increasing budgets for surveys from Congress, in our budget across-the-board, in the FY 23 enacted budget, every budget line got an increase, and so Congress is working hard to keep our programs afloat, and putting money in for us, and we greatly appreciate that, but understanding those pressures, and we were getting some of the questions from appropriators as well, of why can't you do X, Y, and Z, and you used to do X, Y, and Z, but we also want you to do A, B, and C, and so that is a challenge, and it's one that we can highlight a little bit here, how that comes about or what that looks like in kind of the fiscal environment.

8 Here again, it's just a graphical snapshot of kind of where we 9 stand, and generally budgets are increasing and kind of the 10 breakout of where the bulk of resources lies, based on the 11 program, but, if you jump to Slide 10, here, this is really a 12 high-level breakdown of our budget increase in FY 23.

13

32

41

14 In FY 23, we had a net increase of \$77 million, and so it's 15 question of, well, with that kind of increase, you get asked, 16 well, where it's going, and why can't we do more, and how is 17 that increase -- What does that increase actually look like, and 18 so what the increase looks like here is it's represented by this 19 bar graph here. 20

21 Starting from the bottom and going up is the biggest increases 22 to the smallest increases in the budget, and you can see, at the 23 bottom, what we would have is, in the bold green, I guess, is 24 \$25.8 million in ATBs, or adjustments to base, and these are 25 generally spread across most budget lines, to cover inflationary 26 costs, including a pay raise for federal employees. As I was 27 responding to Tom's question, it doesn't necessarily come in evenly, and it doesn't necessarily come in perfectly, but it's a 28 29 big deal that we've gotten these I think the last two years, or maybe three, where, kind of three or four years prior, we did 30 31 not see the ATBs come in.

33 The increase to the councils that we were speaking about, and to 34 Kitty's question, this is where the councils' increase comes in. 35 It comes in in the formulaic adjustment to base request that 36 goes in as part of the budget, and so, actually, when folks ask, 37 you know, where do we land, and what does Congress think, we 38 asked for like \$26.2 million in ATBs, and we got \$25.8, and it's pretty close, but even the enacted budget doesn't reflect the 39 40 amount that we asked for.

If you move from bottom to top in the bar chart there, you can see that our next big increase was with the North Atlantic right whale and then our requested increases in offshore wind in FY 23, at \$13 million, and then kind of narrowing to climate, fisheries, and surveys, and then the box out to the right there is just all the kind of increases that we have in different budget lines.

2 One of the questions that I often get, and asked upfront, is, 3 you know, what does congressional direction, congressional 4 language, do to your budget and your ability to operate, and so we have \$77 million in increases here, and the good news for us 5 6 is -- We were glad for all the funding, and we were glad to put 7 all the money towards the priorities, but not all of these funding levels and priorities were things that we asked for that 8 9 we saw as a priority in our administration's budget. Ιt definitely becomes a priority for us once Congress puts it in, 10 and the good news is we get to work within our ATBs, but we get 11 12 a lot of congressional language, like in the box out to the 13 right there, and there is probably some congressional language 14 with every one of those little plus-ups. 15

1

40

How does that impact our budget? The total budget is not -- We 16 17 don't have a billion dollars just to spend as we will, and we're 18 restricted and have to follow the direction of Congress on that, and some of that is, you know, very specific, down to a million 19 20 dollars here or a million dollars there, and some of it is very directed, like you must and you shall, and that's the actual 21 22 kind of appropriations language, and others are you should, or should consider, and we don't necessarily have to follow that, 23 24 but we tend to want to keep appropriators happy, and we tend to 25 track all of that language and follow that language and 26 direction, the best we can. 27

28 We're getting pressures beyond inflation, and we get the 29 congressional priorities, and congressional direction, for where 30 we have to fund, and so it is the balancing act of where your 31 increases come, what you have directly requested, and the most 32 obvious in this chart is in the orange here, and we requested, 33 as an admin priority, administration priority, funding for 34 offshore wind, and so we requested about twice this amount, I 35 think, in offshore wind, and so we're getting some positive 36 signs in the places that we see as priorities, but we're also 37 getting direction that it impacts us in other ways, but most of 38 the direction that we get, year after year, is not new, and so 39 it's pretty engrained in the system.

41 It's planned for, and so it doesn't come as a surprise, and it 42 doesn't necessarily impact other programs, so to speak, because 43 it's been in the system so long that we kind of planned for it, 44 and we have that prepared for, or already modeled out. 45

46 The next slide is just a couple of examples of congressional 47 direction received. Within the enacted appropriation, we, 48 obviously, received a supplemental, and it was somewhat tied to,

and linked to, the appropriation, and so, here, we received \$20 1 2 million for the North Atlantic right whale and \$300 million for 3 a continued fourth year, I think, about \$300 million, and we've been down this road before, for fisheries disaster assistance, 4 and, again, the supplemental funding, and it's not under a 5 specific timeframe to spend, but we're 6 eager to spend 7 particularly the first, on the North Atlantic right whale, as 8 soon as we can. 9

The FY 24 President's budget -- If you're looking for a blue 10 11 book and describing in detail what we are doing, and maybe it's 12 more detail than I will give here, but it's also kind of glossy 13 communications aspect level, and the blue book is out, and you can look at that. Several folks reached out to me asking where 14 15 the blue book was in February and March, and it was delayed, and 16 so it's part of a communications challenge that we have in the 17 budget, and we were just delayed in Commerce, speaking about the 18 budget, and most other agencies were, but that's out now for 19 your reference.

20

36

21 What you'll see in that budget is \$1.2 billion, and so basically 22 keeping our funding where we've been, and we've got \$23 million requested above our enacted budget, and, again, the right 23 24 direction, a positive direction, and, again, you'll see what we find very important, is our inflationary costs are being addressed through this close to \$30 million ask there, and then 25 26 27 the program changes, again the specific administration priorities, where we're asking for increases, net to close to 28 29 \$32 million, and what you might see as terminations, or reductions, eliminations, and just a different way to refer to 30 31 them, is earmarks, things that Congress gave us that wasn't requested, and community-directed funding I think is the 32 33 terminology that they use now, and we tend to back those things 34 out, because they're not a priority of the administration's 35 budget request, and it's directed from the hill.

37 If we go to Slide 14, and this is just diving into FY 24, and so 38 the priorities, and so where do we fit, and what are the 39 administration's priorities, and how do they communicate about 40 the budget, it's really in these three areas under climate 41 research, which Cisco spoke about. 42

Economic development, and this is really where our offshore wind component falls, and environmental justice and equity, which Kelly spoke to this morning, is the bins, buckets, priority areas for the administration, and, when talking to the hill, that's how things tend to be framed.

If you move on to Slide 15, you will see where we land in some 1 2 of the specific items here, and so I think, Bill, you were asking how are we doing CEFI, and where does the funding lie 3 there, so this our third year, and FY 24 will be the third year, 4 if you see the top line there, and it's our third year of asking 5 6 for climate-informed fisheries, or the climate fisheries 7 initiative, and so twice now we've put that budget proposal at 8 \$10 million to Congress, and we have not received full funding 9 for that, or not any in this particular program area, and so you 10 and Cisco were having a discussion back and forth of what are we 11 doing, and how do we do it, and, well, we have to maintain, and as Janet just mentioned at the front here too, we've got to 12 13 maintain our core surveys. 14

We have to maintain our core work, and we've got to keep that 15 16 pace going before we can do other, and we are, in some places, 17 just barely around the edges, helping to address the climate-18 ready fisheries initiative, but we really are seeking new funding to be able to do that fully, and so I just flagged that 19 20 here on this slide, because it's one place that you will see 21 that request back in again for 2024, looking to meet some of the 22 objectives that Cisco was laying out, and it gives a 23 clarification of the problem and mitigation.

24

25 If you look at Slide 16, you will see our offshore wind components, our request, and, again, in 2023, you will how 26 important this is to the administration, the scale of the 27 28 request here, a \$36 million request, where we ended up with 29 about \$13 million enacted, but it's still a priority for the 30 administration, and we're glad for the increases, but it's not 31 where the administration thinks that we need to be to address 32 offshore wind fully, and, coming in in FY 24, an additional \$32 33 million request there. I think that's all that I will focus on 34 on that slide. 35

36 If you go to the next slide, also, as Kelly was speaking and 37 talking about the equity in workforce initiatives, again, we have requested, I think twice in the budget from the hill, 38 39 specific initiatives, at the \$7 million range here, to actually 40 work on the things that Kelly was going over this morning, and 41 be able to implement our EEJ policies and program. Again, it's 42 not direction from the hill yet, and they thought that -- The 43 feedback was these are good things to do, and kind of work within your base to do these, and so you could be working on 44 45 these things, but, to work on these things to the full extent we 46 want, again, is a shifting of resources that we don't quite 47 have.

48

There are four different breakouts there of the different things we do there, but we're asking for \$9 million in the President's budget to help with us with that, and, if we go to the next, this is supplemental funding.

6 We'll be able to talk a little bit about this at a high level, 7 and maybe somewhat get into Kelly's next topic, but Janet mentioned a bit of what we can talk about, and we'll talk about 8 9 BIL funding some, but IRA funding spend plans are still making their way through the system, and I won't be able to get into 10 11 detail there, but, as a reminder, and I'm not sure if we got to this last time we talked, or we shared this before, but, in the 12 13 Inflation Reduction Act, what we can talk about is NOAA.

15 There is \$3.3 billion across NOAA that is still working its way 16 through the system, but the different components that we can 17 speak is we expect to see \$20 million in consultations and 18 permitting for NOAA, and that's really to work on our accurate 19 and timely reviews and planning and permitting and the approval 20 processes for other federal activity, and that will be a 21 welcomed plus for our regulatory side.

14

22

32

41

23 We've got a significant plus, again across NOAA, and this isn't 24 necessarily just NOAA Fisheries, for new facilities, for 25 fisheries labs and marine operations, and I expect that there will be some support for piers in here, and I don't think it's a 26 secret that a big focus here, or the number-one priority within 27 28 NOAA, also is working on the Northwest Fisheries Science Center 29 replacement, which is presently at Montlake, and I think that's 30 the building that's in the picture there, and the place that we 31 still --

33 That we have to be a little more discreet on is the biggest, 34 and, of course, what most folks are probably interested, is we 35 have \$2.6 billion across NOAA for coastal climate preparedness, 36 to address tribal issues, a lot of probably habitat restoration 37 and coastal planning work planned here, but, also, thankfully, for Fisheries, we have specific language in the supplemental 38 39 bill that asks us to directly pay attention to marine and 40 fisheries stock assessments.

42 The next slide just highlights that, within the BIL funding, or 43 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, that, similarly, we received 44 increases there that we're already executing. The Pacific 45 Coastal Salmon Recovery Fund, for those that follow the fund, 46 that are on the west coast, we approximately received \$65 47 million, in an annual appropriation, added to that fund, and BIL 48 was about \$34 million to go out to PCSRF.

2 Also, under the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law, there's a huge 3 focus on habitat restoration, and habitat restoration in a 4 couple of buckets, including fish passage, specifically fish tribal passage, and just habitat restoration, and that's meant 5 to be large-scale projects that are kind of transformational in 6 7 their design and implementation, and this is really just kind of 8 a celebratory slide of the awards announced and where they are 9 across the country, covering lots of different activity, and I'm just sharing this with you, and this, I think, is from our 10 public announcement of a couple of weeks ago. 11 12 13 That last slide is for questions, and I know it's a lot to throw 14 out in one place there, and hopefully we didn't go over too 15 long, Greq. 16 17 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thanks, Brian. We'll open the floor to 18 questions. 19 20 Brian, I just want to note the obvious, which is the MS. COIT: 21 debt ceiling discussions, and a lot of the spending issues -- I 22 read this morning about a proposal where the FY 24 budgets would 23 be flat, and, if they're flat, any increase requires a decrease 24 of the same amount, and so, needless to say -- First, I will 25 just say that, since I've been here, for all of almost two 26 years, the budget process has never been consistent, and 27 probably you veterans can tell me that's also true, but, going 28 forward, there's so much up in the air, which leaves us more 29 uncertain than some other years, about what an FY 24 budget 30 might look like, as compared to the President's budget that was 31 submitted. 32 33 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Janet. Tom. 34 35 I apologize, because I meant to ask this during the MR. NIES: 36 council discussion, but are there plans to change the grant 37 process for the councils to a different period? 38 39 MR. PAWLAK: Thanks, Tom, for asking the question, and so, as of 40 this morning, I was not aware of any, but I've been getting some 41 hallway conversation, and I think, from what I've just learned 42 this morning on that, is our NOAA Grants Management Division, for us in the Department of Commerce, and obviously above us, 43 44 and I don't know if it falls within the regulations, or their 45 policy, but they have a pretty -- Following back to more strict adherence to what their policy is, it's that grants should not 46 47 extend -- Any grant award should not extend past five years. 48

1

It's not just being applied to the councils here, and I guess 1 2 they're looking at that policy with a little more scrutiny, and 3 wanting to make sure that policy is implemented, and so what I've kind of learned, and thanks to Beth, and others, who just 4 this morning were sharing where some of the feedback was coming 5 6 from, and I guess the training that the Grants Management 7 Division has been sending out, and pushing out, has been kind of 8 reiterating that policy and approach, that they want to move 9 toward that do not extend past five years. Again, it's not just 10 for councils, but for everyone.

12 We have awards, and, again, not just in reference to the councils, where we commonly add a no-cost year past the five 13 years, and that's been causing more scrutiny at the NOAA level. 14 15 The one year past, I'm not sure what the ultimate concern is 16 there, but it's their policy, but we also have some grants that we have extended for five, six, seven, eight years, which I get 17 18 the concern and nervousness about that, and so I think that the 19 model that the department and NOAA is asking us to take is to 20 move to a four-plus-one, and so I think, with the councils, I 21 think we're eighteen months away, and I think your awards are 22 over at the end of 2024, and so I think, in planning for the new awards, it will be just spreading out your spend plan where 23 24 there won't need to be an extension past the five years, but the 25 spend planning is done within a five-year period, meaning you 26 plan for four years, and, if there's an issue, you can carry it 27 over one. 28

29 There's a lot of technical detail there, as far as the grants 30 people and the administrative level, and I'm glad to hear concerns about it, and we can feed those concerns back to our 31 fisheries grants for the council, that Dan Namur runs, but it 32 33 will not -- There's no reason to indicate that it will result in 34 a decrease in funding. I don't think it's hugely more 35 administratively burdensome than what we do now, but it's 36 changing the timing of the awards and planning for them. Tom. 37

38 MR. NIES: If I might ask a follow-up, I think one of our 39 concerns is going to be how that is implemented. It's going to 40 make a big difference to us if, suddenly, in December, you tell 41 us that 2024 is our extended year, and not year-five of our 42 grant period, with a possible extension to year-six. We would 43 need to know that very soon.

44

11

45 MR. PAWLAK: Yes, and I don't think is applies to this current 46 period. I think it will be for new, and so, yes, I can 47 understand the nervousness there, if folks think we're going to 48 start implementing that now. If that is, that will be news to 1 me too, but I think it's when we're starting to negotiate the 2 2025 award, which would be your normal five-year period, I 3 believe, and it would start to be implemented then.

5 MR. NIES: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Carrie.

7 8

17

4

HAIRMAN SIONZ. Calle.

9 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for the DR. SIMMONS: I had a question on Slide 9, and I think I've 10 presentation. asked this before, and so, when there is additional funding for 11 12 the budget, for the enacted budget, for the different protected 13 resources, fisheries, science, management, enforcement, habitat conservation, and restoration, how is that divided out amongst 14 15 the regions, and how is that based on the different priorities 16 in the regions, and can you remind me of that?

18 MR. PAWLAK: Yes, and it's going to be the classic answer of it 19 depends, and so, if we've requested -- Just for example, 20 offshore wind, right, and we requested funding in the budget for 21 specific activity, a specific dollar amount, and the budget gets 22 pretty detailed. 23

24 If you get beyond the glossy, hey, we want \$34 million in 25 offshore wind, the budget actually gets into detail, and, for example, offshore wind, and we focus on the Northeast and GARFO, 26 27 it outlines that they need an increase in permitting and 28 consultation in GARFO for this amount, and it even outlines down 29 to FTEs, grants, contracts kind of level. If you get the 30 enacted amount for what you asked, it goes to what you asked 31 for, right, and so it's kind of predetermined and outlined. 32

Many of the other increases that we talk about, they're a bit of congressional direction, and, like with all the congressional directions, and I'm just making one up of like we would like to see more, you know, live-bottom oyster work in the Gulf, and, well, okay, does that go to the region, or does that go to the Science Center, but we kind of know, directly, where it's going to go, and that gives us --

40

41 If it's an undefined increase, and like I think we've had some permitting funding like that, we have a protected resources 42 internal group that will usually get together, and we have a 43 44 science board that will get together, and we have a regulatory 45 board that will get together, and so, if it's an undefined increase, internally, NMFS does planning operations to kind of 46 47 see the manner in which that's best spread, but most of what we get is either we ask for it, and so it goes to where we planned 48

it, or it's congressionally directed. 1 2 3 **DR. SIMMONS:** Thanks. 4 5 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Marc. 6 MR. GORELNIK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I've got a quick question 7 on Slide 11, and I think this was \$300 million in fisheries 8 9 disaster assistance, and that's in Fiscal Year 2023, and is that money spent already, or is that a new appropriation? 10 11 12 MR. PAWLAK: No, that money is not spent already, and that's a 13 new appropriation. 14 15 MR. GORELNIK: Thank you. 16 17 I'm not seeing any other questions. CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. 18 Thank you, Brian, and you can Kelly were on for the Inflation 19 Reduction Act, and you did cover that. 20 21 MR. PAWLAK: I think Kelly is going to do a bit more discussion, 22 if she can. 23 24 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I was just making sure. If you've got 25 more, if there's no other budget questions, then, Kelly, you can 26 go ahead and proceed with that. 27 28 UPDATE ON THE INFLATION REDUCTION ACT 29 30 MS. DENIT: Okay. Great. Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, 31 everybody, and so, as has been mentioned, we can't talk in 32 detail about the \$2.6 billion under the Inflation Reduction Act, 33 and so I pivoted this conversation to be a bit more of a 34 brainstorming session. 35 36 I want to tee this up by acknowledging that we received the letter that you all sent us last fall with your input and 37 38 suggestions with respect to the IRA, and you were emphasizing 39 data collection and stock assessments, some of those needs with 40 respect to our scientific enterprise, and so thank you for that 41 input, and that has been incorporated as part of the ongoing 42 deliberations around the IRA. 43 I am interested, we are interested, in hearing your thoughts and 44 45 perspectives specifically on management actions, and so the IRA funds are related to climate, climate-ready fisheries, and so I 46 47 would like to hear a bit from all of you. In that realm of, as we're seeing shifting stocks, changes in productivity, putting 48 81

to the side the scientific components that you have already 1 highlighted that you're interested in, and really focusing in on 2 what management actions do you see as the top priority, 3 or priorities, in your council area, and that could -- It's wide 4 open to a spectrum of everything from planning efforts, and we 5 6 have examples of the Pacific Council has already done some 7 scenario planning, and the east coast has just concluded their 8 scenario planning, to more detailed actual specific management 9 actions that you anticipate your council would be undertaking in the next couple of years, whether that might be revisiting 10 11 harvest control rules, specific action on a specific stock, 12 given indications that you're seeing from climate change, and so 13 I'm interested in your thoughts there. 14

15 I'm also interested in hearing what existing tools, whether it be the climate vulnerability assessments that have been recently 16 17 completed in I think every region, as well as ecosystem status 18 reports, and there's a variety of tools that are already being 19 provided, or products that are already being provided, to the 20 councils to inform your fisheries management, in particular in 21 the context of ecosystem-based fisheries management and/or 22 climate, and so I would be really interested to hear which of 23 those do you find to be the most valuable and useful, as part of 24 your deliberations as council-decision-making processes are 25 going on. That's really it, Chair, and I would open it up for 26 thoughts, comments, reactions that anyone has to those two 27 questions.

29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Kelly, with regards to that, 30 and we'll open the floor for any comments, questions, 31 suggestions. Bill. 32

28

33 MR. TWEIT: So our council doesn't have anything formal on this, 34 and I know we've sort of kicked this around, but I don't think 35 I've heard any clear sort of answers yet, but that's, in part, because -- Well, two things. One is, a little bit later at this 36 meeting, we're going through the SCS 7 findings, which I think, 37 38 at least as one council member, I think speak pretty clearly to 39 exactly this question, what the SCS 7 recommendations are, but 40 we haven't talked about that around this table yet either, and 41 those are only fairly recently out. 42

Also, we've got several processes underway that I think are designed to help us, as a council, do a better job, and particularly our climate change taskforce and the work that they're doing, but they're trying to bring it towards a conclusion by early next year that would help us with exactly what sorts of management tools, in particular decision-making tools, in the face of greatly increased uncertainty, but the final thing I'm thinking about is, well, wait, and you've got stuff going on in CEFI that you're not ready to talk about yet, for funding that you can't completely talk about yet, that I thought was coming out of this, and it would be real helpful, to me at least, to know a little bit more about what's in your mind as well.

9 I mean, going back to my initial point, I'm at least going to be 10 listening to all of that through the lens of the findings, those 11 three key findings, from the SCS 7.

12

8

13 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Go ahead, Kelly.
14

MS. DENIT: Great. Thanks, Bill. I haven't read the findings 15 16 from that yet, and so I'm looking forward to that presentation, 17 and I appreciate you pointing us to that. With respect to your 18 second point, I think it's more of a time and a temporal context, in the sense of CEFI, as Brian just talked about, and 19 20 as Cisco has alluded to, is kind of underway, and we have some 21 regions that are farther along, like with ACLIM and others in 22 the North Pacific, and so, in some cases, when the products from 23 CEFI would be available is going to be in the future, and I'm 24 more focused on the nearer-term, in terms of what kinds of 25 actions might we be able to take, and so it's not that those things are divorced, but it just might be that any management 26 27 actions that we might take that are informed by a CEFI product 28 might be at a time future, compared to right now, when we might 29 be using other tools that are already being provided. Hopefully 30 that makes sense to answer your question, Bill. 31

32

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Other questions? Merrick.

33

34 MR. BURDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Kelly, for 35 posing these clarifying questions. I don't know if this was intentional, but the questions seem to imply that we know what 36 37 challenges we need to overcome, and so I think, in many councils, we're not quite even there yet, and so this will be 38 council-specific, and I can contrast, you know, the Pacific 39 40 Council, where we have this eastern boundary system that oscillates back and forth naturally, and that's going to get 41 42 more extreme with climate change.

43

44 That's a lot different than what happens on the east coast, 45 where we have the Gulf Stream and stocks are moving, and so I 46 think, if we're going to be thinking about a tool, a tool would 47 help us diagnose what our challenges are, and that would help us 48 identify what actions are the top priorities, but I think we're still thrashing about a bit and trying to get our hands around what we do, because we haven't wrapped our arms around the challenge completely just yet. Hopefully that's a bit of a response to your question.

6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John.

8 MR. CARMICHAEL: To echo some of what Merrick said, I'm 9 struggling to try to give you something helpful here, Kelly, and 10 I think we're in a similar boat. We're just trying to 11 understand really what the challenges are and what we're trying 12 to do.

13

5

7

14 From the South Atlantic perspective, we're so involved with just 15 dealing with the basics, as we talked about with data, just 16 dealing with stock assessments and overfished stocks and just 17 trying to, you know, handle the basic Magnuson stuff, and it's 18 difficult to extend out into some of these new things and start thinking about how they impact that, though we know that these 19 20 factors are impacting the stocks, and we know that climate is likely somewhat a cause for a string of less than expected 21 22 recruitment events that we're seeing in a number of stocks, but we just don't quite seem to have the clear scientific evidence 23 24 that shows us we can then factor that into the management 25 choices that we're making.

26

27 There's been a lot of debate, on our SSC, about regime change 28 and whether or not it's happen, and when you get, you know, ten 29 years of less than expected recruitment, but you trigger six out 30 of seven regime change criteria, they've been a little hesitant 31 to say, you know, I think it's a regime change, and so we're sort of at that stage now, and we're just grappling with the 32 33 basics and trying to say, well, are we really at the point of 34 the climate is changing, and our productivity is changing, and this needs to be factored into it? 35 36

Are we at the point of saying, well, I think the productivity of this stock, in the next ten years, is going to be half of what it was in the historic period? That's a hard pill to swallow, you know, for fishermen and managers and everyone alike, to feel like you're just kind of saying, well, you know, gee, that fishery may never be what it was.

I think any tools that help us better understand those types of things would really be useful, and I know the ecosystem status report that we got, not too long ago, kind of looked into that for some stocks, and it tried to explore some similarities across stocks with poor recruitment, and that seems like an area

for us to pursue, and so I think anything we can get along those 1 lines, that go from some of these big-picture, ten-thousand-foot 2 3 view of what's going on with temperature and current and salinities and all of that could actually start to bring it home 4 5 a little more. 6 How does a council deal with this within the requirements of 7 Magnuson, the science-based fisheries, the SSC giving you 8 9 recommendations, rebuilding plans, and folding this into stock assessments? That would be helpful. 10 11 12 There was a -- OPM or somebody did a study of this, you know, the councils' ability to deal with climate, and that was one of 13 the things that came up in our discussions, and they made a 14 statement that, well, you know, if you've got temperature data, 15 16 why can't you just fold that in, and we started explaining the 17 BSIA criteria in stock assessments and peer reviews and the data 18 quality that's necessary for management under Magnuson. One of 19 the interviewers was like, oh, so you really need like 20 regulatory-ready information if you're going to do this, and it 21 was like, yes, that's kind of the case. 22 23 You can't just say that I think the temperature is to blame for 24 this stock having poor recruitment and just start accounting for 25 it, and we have a higher bar in this system, and I think that's 26 where we need to get, as far as tools. As we start to get these reports, over time, maybe we do start to see patterns emerge 27 28 that then we can factor into stock assessments and bring it over 29 to the management process, but it's going to take time. 30 31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, John. I am not seeing other hands. 32 Miguel. 33 34 MR. ROLON: What John said is better than what I was going to 35 say, but, in our area, one thing that we -- The SSC put together 36 an ecosystem model system that we are working together with 37 different models, and we are trying to identify those climate 38 changes that affect our fisheries, and it's kind of elusive. 39 40 The other question that we have is, okay, once we identify that, 41 what are we going to do then? What management actions can we do 42 that follows the climate changes that we have, and they identified social needs of the sectors, and that's one action 43 that we can take, indirectly, and the other thing that they 44 believe, that we cannot forget, is that we're still missing a 45 lot of information, basic science, because we are very data-46 47 poor, and we are the poster boy for data-poor areas in the 48 United States, and we are also trying to see how can we be more,

let's say, inventive in the way that we collect the data and the 1 2 way that we analyze the data, so that can be adopted through the 3 realities that we have. 4 In the case of climate change, the scenario that we have with 5 6 ecosystem, hopefully, by the end of the process this year, we 7 might be able to get some information that can be relayed to 8 you, as to what we need in terms of tools and the question that 9 you have here. Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Miguel. 12 13 MR. GOURLEY: Thank you, Chairman. We're pretty much in the same boat as Merrick, John, and Miguel. We need basically data 14 in order to figure out, try to figure out, what's going on, 15 16 especially with our pelagic fisheries, and I'm not going to 17 repeat everything they said, but our boat, I'm going to assume, 18 is probably a little bit leakier than theirs, because, in some 19 instances, we've got virtually no data in order to make plans. 20 We are working on the action plan, but it's kind of difficult 21 without the data. 22 23 There is a management plan for the Marinas Trench Monument that 24 was supposed to be done fourteen years ago, and it is in draft 25 stage, and it hasn't been finalized yet, but something like that 26 would help us, I believe, in our goals. With that, I'm going to 27 go ahead and stop. 28 29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John, thank you. Clay. 30 31 DR. PORCH: Thank you. Just following-up on some of the comments that have been made, you're right that it's going to be 32 very difficult to predict how the productivity of some of these 33 34 stocks is going to change with climate change. Some of them, we 35 don't know what the productivity is now, because we don't have 36 the contrast in data, and so, if things change, it will be that 37 much harder. 38 39 Hopefully, the Climate, Ecosystem, and Fisheries Initiative will 40 contribute to our understanding, and someone brought up the 41 example of the ACLIM model, but the reality is, in many places 42 of the country, we're just not in that place, and so we're going to have to think about alternative management strategies that 43 44 are robust to climate change, and so that's simpler harvest 45 control rules that tend to be keyed on what our best 46 observational information is and come up with a strategy that, 47 even though we don't know exactly how productivity is going to 48 change, we know that pursuing this strategy will tend to get us 1 to that MSY place, wherever that is, and we may never be able to 2 estimate it, but we can identify harvest control rules that will 3 get us roughly in that position. 4

5 I think, for those of you who are in data-poorer regions, that's 6 where the focus needs to be, is what is a robust harvest 7 strategy, in light of climate change?

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Dr. Porch. Dr. Frazer.

10

20

27

39

41

8

11 Thanks, Greg, and so, again, ditto to the data DR. FRAZER: issue. I think Cisco said it pretty well, you know, and we 12 don't live in a stationary world, and it's pretty dynamic, and 13 Clay said that things are going to change, and it's just going 14 15 to make it that much harder, but the reality is that they've been changing for a while, and I think one of the things, Kelly, 16 17 that maybe we could think about is what would optimal data 18 collection programs look like that extend across the regional 19 boundaries?

I think we've been stuck there for a long time, and, you know, I think some money, or investment in time or resources, to look forward, to rethink what these sampling programs look like, would go a really long way.

26 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Tom. Marcos.

28 A very quick comment, because, on my mind, the MR. HANKE: 29 productivity aspect of the climate change analysis, whatever we'll be able to do in the future, will be related to species 30 31 dynamics and the availability of it and how much the fish feed 32 on other things during those changes, and we never put an 33 emphasis on that, and I think that's important to highlight, 34 that we need basic analysis on the stomach analysis, and stomach 35 contents, to compare with older studies and so on. Along those 36 lines, I think it's important to be aware that forage fish 37 studies and basic knowledge is extremely important, especially 38 under climate change situations. Thank you.

40 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kitty.

42 MS. SIMONDS: I just wanted to add that, in 2016, the region, 43 the center, and the council staffs actually developed a regional 44 action plan, and it's just -- Given the conversation around the 45 table, it's taking us a very long time to address our action 46 items, because of everything that you have highlighted, but we 47 do have a plan, and I don't think we're involved in the 48 governance structure part of this, since we're in the middle of the Pacific Ocean.

1 2

19 20

21

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. I'm not seeing any other hands. Kelly, hopefully that got some information that you needed, and, 4 with that, I think what we'll do is move on, and we're a little 5 6 bit ahead of where we were scheduled to take a break, and we 7 skipped over, if you all recall this morning -- John and everyone here, kind of a heads-up here from the Gulf Council, 8 9 but it's been tradition that the hosting council give a short highlight and happenings that are going on. 10 11

12 That's at Tab 4, Agenda Item Number IV, and I think what we'll 13 do is go ahead and do that, and that should take, hopefully, 14 just short of a half-hour, and then we'll take a break for our 15 afternoon session after that. I don't know, and we had down Dr. 16 Froeschke, Emily, and Ryan, and I don't know which of you had 17 planned to go first. It looks like it's up there, Emily, and go 18 ahead.

GULF COUNCIL HIGHLIGHTS

MS. EMILY MUEHLSTEIN: Thank you, and so I'm going to just kick us off, and get us started, and there are three of us that will be sort of adding pieces to this, and so this is just a brief presentation on some of the things that the Gulf Council does that we're pretty proud of and thought that we wanted to share with you.

29 There is four things that we want to highlight for you today, 30 and our first one is we have a tool called the Fishermen 31 Feedback tool, which deals with crowdsourcing observations of 32 our fisheries, and we also want to share with you that, in the Gulf region, we had the Great Red Snapper Count, which has been 33 34 a really interesting exercise in integrating novel science into 35 our management, and we've also had an ecosystem modeling success 36 that we're going to share with you, and then we'll wrap up with 37 a brief summary of a Coral Reef Conservation Program and the products that we have developed as a part of that grant. 38 39

40 I am going to start by outlining our Fishermen Feedback tool, 41 and so Fishermen Feedback is a tool that we developed to 42 crowdsource qualitative stakeholder observations to enhance the scientific understanding of our fish stocks, and so I'm not sure 43 44 how this happens in every region, but, in our region, we often 45 ask fishermen observers to play a role in the stock assessment process, and so there will be one or two fishermen that sit in 46 47 on all of the different stock assessment meetings. 48

We recognized that that was a really valuable role, but it was 1 2 also sort of hard, because our region is so large, to have one or two fishermen be there to sort of validate the information 3 that the scientists are seeing, and so we thought that, maybe if 4 we tried to crowdsource some of that information, that we could 5 6 also infuse that into the assessment process, not as an indices 7 of abundance or anything, but just sort of to help the 8 scientists along the way. 9

10 Just to be clear, crowdsourcing here -- I just wanted to give you guys a definition of that, and Merriam-Webster says that 11 12 it's the practice of obtaining needed services, ideas, or 13 content by soliciting contributions from a large group of 14 people, and this is especially done in an online community, and 15 so this is a perfect way for us to engage our fishermen.

17 Why are we doing this? First, our councils, we exist to 18 encourage local-level knowledge in federal fisheries management, and we have this rich resource of stakeholders who have on-the-19 20 water knowledge, and they are engaged in the process. There is a whole lot of anglers out there that aren't engaged in the 21 22 process as well, and we wanted to tap into that resource. 23

24 Crowdsourcing observations from our stakeholders provides us 25 this opportunity to allow many people to share their individual 26 perspectives at once, and this is different than when we get public comment at a council level, right, and these people don't 27 28 necessarily have to be involved in the management, but there 29 still is this desire to sort of share an on-the-water perspective. Every fisherman that I know likes to tell stories 30 31 about what they caught, and so this gives them that opportunity 32 to do that.

33

16

34 Then it also allows for this participation in the scientific 35 aspect of resource management, and that typically requires 36 considerable involvement. In other words, most fishermen that 37 are involved in the science part of management are deeply involved through cooperative research projects, and there is 38 contracts, and there is, you know, commitments and long-term 39 40 involvement through those cooperative research projects, and 41 there's also citizen science projects out there, but those also 42 require sort of this barrier to entry. 43

44

Sometimes you have to take a training course, or you have to be 45 more deeply involved in those sorts of efforts as well, and so 46 we decided to crowdsource our observations, hoping that we would 47 get kind of a big bang for our buck .It's a very small 48 commitment from a very large group of people, in order to give 1 us the data that we're looking for.

We do all realize that our stock assessments can have data gaps and that there is often a lack of real-time data, and I'm sure that most of you guys struggle with this, like we do, that not only are there data gaps in the assessment, but, oftentimes, the terminal year of an assessment will be two or three years behind when we actually get the assessment out.

10 This tool is really cool, because it's nimble, and what it can 11 do is check out what's happening between the terminal year of 12 data and, you know, sort of the end of the stock assessment that 13 process, and really helps when the analysts qive 14 projections or are asked to sort of look at projections. 15

What we do is we use that on-the-water knowledge to groundtruth 16 17 some of the trends in abundance that they are seeing through the 18 stock assessment process, and sometimes we can explain some anomalies that we're seeing in the data, through the information 19 20 that we get, and then, again, like I just mentioned, it informs 21 our projections sometimes, when there's sort of an ability to 22 figure out how conservative or generous we want to be in those 23 projections, and getting that immediate on-the-water feedback can really help with that. 24

26 It's sort of a three-part process, and the first thing that we 27 do is we solicit feedback using an online tool, and we actually 28 use a Google form, and it asks three things. It asks for your association with the fishery, and so are you recreational or 29 commercial, and it asks for your observations, and it's a very 30 31 general form. Just let us know what you're seeing. That was 32 designed because of the Paperwork Reduction Act requirement, and 33 this was sort of a way for us to gather general comment without 34 asking direct questions, and so we kind of phrased it so that it was just like a general what are you seeing, and then we asked 35 36 them to give us a location of observation, and we do have a grid 37 map that they can select from. 38

39 The next thing that we do is that we analyze it in two ways. We 40 first analyze it manually, and we have two individuals that read 41 all of the comments, and they classify the sentiments, the 42 overall sentiment of the comment as well as the abundance indication, and so the overall sentiment of the comment is, you 43 44 know, basically, is it positive, negative, neutral, what this 45 person is saying, and then we run it through this filter of does 46 it say something about the abundance of the stock, and is it 47 positive, negative, or neutral.

48

2

9

25

1 The next thing we do is we use automated analysis, using R 2 statistical software, and we have a lexicon library that we've 3 been modifying in order to classify sentiment, and so that 4 automated analysis will also run concurrently with our manual 5 analysis, and then, once we get our results, we generate a 6 report, and I will give you some examples of what the report 100ks like, and we share it at all different levels. 8

9 We share it with our stock assessment panel, as they're working through the assessment, and we aim to have these tools completed 10 11 by the time the data workshop starts. We also then share it 12 with our Scientific and Statistical Committee and our relevant 13 advisory panel, when they're getting the results of the stock 14 assessments, and we share it with the council, when they receive 15 the results of the stock assessments, and then we also send a 16 response to all of the folks that had responded to the tool, and 17 we say here's what we gathered from you, and here is what we 18 have done as a result of sort of the stock assessment combined 19 with the information that we got from you.

Here's just a quick example of the outputs, and I'm going to use red snapper, because, if you guys know anything about the Southeast, it's almost the only fish we manage, and we recently did this, I think, last year, and what you will notice is one of the things that we do is we like to separate our overall sentiments by sector, understanding that the different sectors probably have different perspectives in the fishery.

On the top bar graph, what you'll see is this is overall sentiment by sector, and each one of the groups is following this trend where the negative sentiment is the highest, followed by neutral, and then by positive sentiment, and that negative sentiment is the orange, and neutral is yellow, and then red is positive.

36 What you will see below that is the sentiment related to stock 37 condition, and so what this comment indicates about stock 38 condition is almost completely inverse from the actual overall sentiment of the comment, and so, in other words, people were 39 40 seeing lots of fish, and each one of those sectors kind of echoed one another, and this doesn't always happen. Sometimes 41 42 the commercial sector and the recreational sector disagree, but, with this one, you will notice that everybody said that the 43 stock was in good shape, but then everybody's comment was 44 45 grumpy. They all had a negative sentiment attached to it, and 46 that's kind of an interesting thing that we pulled out of this 47 one.

48

35

20

1 Specifically with red snapper, basically, what we were hearing 2 was the stock is so abundant, and your management is so 3 terrible, and so what happened was we were getting a negative 4 sentiment on the overall comment, but a positive indication of 5 what the stock was doing. 6

7 The next thing that we do is we take both of those 8 classifications, our overall sentiment as well as our abundance-9 related sentiment, and we broke it out through this grid map, and so you can sort of see that there are trends that change 10 11 over the coast, and what you will notice is this overall sentiment, and, again, 12 this is mostly people expressing displeasure with the management scheme for red snapper, but 13 then, when we look at abundance of red snapper, you will see 14 15 that, again, there is a lot more positive indicators here, and 16 then you can kind of start to see some trends. 17

18 There's an area, sort of off the north Florida coast, the 19 Alabama coast, where there's a little bit more red, or a little 20 bit more indication that there might be something happening with 21 the stock, and then, down in the Keys, right where we are, 22 you'll see there's that sort of corner one, that maybe red 23 snapper aren't that prevalent here as well. 24

25 Some of the other interesting things that we can see is we can 26 pull out, through both the manual and automated analysis, some of the trends that we're seeing in the responses. For red 27 snapper specifically, and I think I mentioned this, but the 28 29 majority of our respondents did say that the stock is in good condition, but they also said that it's so prolific that it's 30 31 difficult to target other species, that it's damaging the 32 ecosystem, and so that's some of that negative sentiment that 33 was coming, despite positive indications of abundance.

35 We also heard that respondents indicate that red snapper 36 regulations didn't match the health of the stock, and, again, 37 that's some of that displeasure that was expressed, and that 38 negative sentiment, and, you know, there is just some indication 39 that culling and regulatory discards are an issue.

34

40

Now, there was some respondents from this one that indicated 41 42 that fishing pressure was too high, and those are the same ones that indicated that there was an issue with the stock, that 43 44 maybe there was some localized depletion, because of fishing 45 pressure, and you saw the maps where that might be occurring, 46 and then, again, we also heard from a number of respondents here 47 that shark, and to a lesser extent dolphin, depredation was on the rise and that that was becoming a major issue, and then we 48

1 do have these word clouds and the most popular word that 2 contributed to positive and negative sentiments that are pulled 3 out through our automated analysis. 4 5 That's just kind of an interesting output that we get from those 6 tools, and, now, we've done a number of these efforts already, 7 and this just will show you what stock assessment that it 8 contributed to, and what stock, and we also see the number of 9 respondents. 10 11 As we sort of get down, we're getting more and more respondents as this tool gains popularity, and it's also very based on the 12 13 species, whether or not, you know, people are interested in the 14 species that we're asking about. We are currently finalizing a 15 report on mutton snapper, and we just finished getting responses 16 for Spanish mackerel, and so we're still rolling with this tool. 17 18 Just to sort of summarize the things that we really like about 19 this tool, it's that it bridges lags in data and our data gaps, 20 and it also identifies some ecosystem indicators, and I 21 mentioned things like depredation being noticed, and when that's 22 noticed over and over again, and the word "shark" shows up in 23 one of the top, you know, responses, then that sort of triggers 24 us to think that maybe there's things going on, and things like 25 red tide come up sometimes, and we can really understand that 26 maybe there are some ecosystem indicators that fishermen are 27 reporting to us when it comes to this sort of -- To the changes 28 in the stock. 29 30 What it has also done is really bolstered recreational engagement. You know, we have a huge recreational population in 31 32 the Southeast, and that population often does not spend a whole 33 lot of time in the management world, right, and fishing is 34 something that they do as a hobby, and I don't think you do a 35 hobby so that you can come argue politics of fish, right, but 36 this is one of those things that people can do very quickly, and 37 it takes them five minutes to fill out the tool, and we've had incredible response from that sector, and so we get a really 38 39 good bang for our buck here, and it's a good way to engage that 40 community. 41 42 Moving forward, we continue to complete one of these efforts for 43 each stock assessment, as they come up, and we're working to formalize our standard operating procedures and develop a 44 45 technical guidance document, so that we can really formalize 46 this process. Right now, we are working with NOAA Fisheries to 47 achieve Paperwork Reduction Act approval, and I think some of

you guys are aware that there is a citizen science PRA clearance

48

going through, and we have hopped on that bandwagon, and then 1 2 we're also hoping, eventually, when we have some free time, to develop and publish a paper on this, because we think that it 3 can be used widely through resource management, in different 4 5 applications, and we find it to be very useful. 6 7 With that, I'm going to hand it over to Ryan, who is just waiting in my wings, and he is going to take over, and then 8 9 he'll knock it to John. 10 11 MR. RYAN RINDONE: Thank you, and so the next thing I want to 12 talk to you guys about is our review and integration of the 13 Great Red Snapper Count, which was a regional collaborative research project, actually the PI was Dr. Stunz, and its purpose 14 15 was to estimate absolute abundance of red snapper in the Gulf of 16 Mexico, and the project was conducted between 2018 and 2019, and 17 the end result, after some different iterations and some 18 different analyses, brought us to an estimated 85.6 million age-19 two-plus red snapper in the Gulf, as of 2019. 20 21 The Gulf Council led this National Standard 2 compliant expert 22 peer review, which included a combination of its SSC members and independent external reviewers, and it operationalized that 23 review into quick integration of best scientific information 24 25 available for management purposes. 26 27 We had three reviewers come in, and we did this peer review in 28 our office, and it lasted for about three days, and we had 29 independent peer-reviewed reports that came from those 30 reviewers, and the SSC report that was generated, and it was all 31 put together into that holistic package. 32 33 The rigor of the review was applauded by the PIs. As Dr. Stunz 34 will tell you, it was not a breeze, and the upside to it being that we were able to quickly -- By doing the peer review 35 36 ourselves, we were quick on our feet to be able to investigate 37 whether this new project was consistent with the best scientific information available, and then our SSC was able to turn around 38 39 and make appropriate catch limit recommendations for the stock 40 to the council that the council has been able to act upon. 41 42 What's next? The Great Red Snapper Count data are currently 43 being considered in the red snapper stock assessment, which is 44 currently ongoing, and the stock assessment process will 45 consider the best ways to apply these data from that project 46 into the broader universe of fishery-independent and dependent 47 data that are considered within the assessment. 48

94

Also, going on in the Gulf right now, we have the colloquially named Great Amberjack Count, which is to serve a similar purpose of investigating absolute abundance of greater amberjack in the Gulf, and the peer review structure for that project we've been told will be similar in style to what we designed for the Great Red Snapper Count, and so it's good to see that that was effective enough to be considered for being repeated.

9 The next thing I'm going to talk to you guys about is ecosystem modeling in the Gulf, specifically pertaining to red tide and 10 11 gag grouper, and so red tide is a dinoflagellate, and it's ever present in the Gulf of Mexico. A Spanish ship back in the 1500s 12 13 wrote in their logbooks about seeing a reddish-brown mat that 14 stunk to high heaven, and they were then describing red tide, 15 and so it's probably been present in the Gulf, and always will 16 be, and so it's something that we have to contend with, as far 17 as its potential for episodic mortality on our reef fish stocks 18 especially.

- It grows in thick mats, and its blooms can be detected via remote sensing, and, as it dies, the decomposition of the organism draws oxygen out of the water, and it releases brevotoxin, which is toxic to fish and other animals.
- 25 In the Gulf, we've identified several of our species, including gag grouper, that are vulnerable to episodic mortality from red 26 27 tide, and, by performing these model explorations, we've been 28 able to improve estimates of natural and fishing mortality rate 29 by year by accounting for red tide, and, essentially, it's a 100 percent discard fleet, and so the improvements that the Gulf 30 31 Council has promoted, through its involvement in RESTORE grants and providing support for MARFIN proposals and things like that 32 33 have been performed, has been for this work.
- 34

19

35 the recent work for gag generated estimates of For gag, 36 comparative severity of the 2018 red tide relative to the 2005 37 event, which, insofar as our recent fishery knowledge tells us, 38 was the most severe on record, and we were able to see estimated 39 mortality effects by age by year for the gag stock and determine 40 the fraction of the biomass that was actually vulnerable to 41 mortality.

42

This immediately was used for supporting improved catch level projections, and so not only was this red tide modeling used in the stock assessment, but we also tied it in with the projections, with respect to what we thought interim years, that we know are going to have red tide effects -- What those might look like from a mortality standpoint and how that might affect 1 future yields.

2

The Gulf Council has continued to support the integration of 3 this ecosystem component into both the science and the stock 4 5 assessment and its implementation through consideration of projections for use in catch limits, and explicit consideration 6 7 of an environmental variable like this is novel for our region, and it's something that we're endeavoring to do more of, as we 8 9 learn more, and it allows for better understanding of these environmental effects, and it does have a direct effect on the 10 11 short-term yields for the stock, but it also will allow for 12 better conceptualization of fisheries management to these 13 vulnerable stocks, and, in this picture here, you can see just 14 the kind of things that show up on the beach, and that's just 15 what washes up onshore, and there's plenty more that disappears 16 offshore. 17

18 What's next? The Gulf will continue to support similar work for red grouper, and this work -- Actually, we just learned, about a 19 20 month ago, that it did receive funding, and it will be used in the upcoming red grouper stock assessment, which starts early 21 22 next year, and consideration of red tide will also be fielded as a fishery ecosystem issue, which is a way of integrating 23 24 ecosystem issues into the broader goal of ecosystem-based, or 25 ecosystem-informed, fisheries management. John.

27 DR. JOHN FROESCHKE: Just to prove to you all that we do stuff 28 other than red snapper, I have a few slides on a supplementary 29 project, a program supported through the Coral Reef Conservation Program, and this is external to our main council grant, and we 30 31 have one full-time staff that works on this, and this focuses on 32 identifying status and changes in coral reef habitat and the 33 management implications, and so we look at both the coral as a 34 habitat and as a resource, and then as well as examine some of 35 the associated fisheries species with this.

37 We try to improve the scientific understanding of this as well 38 as provide mechanisms for stakeholder engagement and push this 39 out in a wide variety of tools and products that are suitable 40 for technical audiences as well as stakeholders.

I will just give you some examples of a few different things, and one of the things that we've worked on are these things that we call -- We call them learning modules, but you can call them whatever you like, I suppose, and there's kind of two different veins of thought here.

47

26

36

41

48 Starting with the panels on the right, these are ArcGIS story

maps, and what we intended for these is to provide some broad 1 information about species, or ecosystems, or habitats that are 2 3 maybe not well known to the public, and so stakeholders, to NGOs and other interested people that want information that 4 is digestible for a large audience. Those have been popular, and 5 we've developed quite a number of those, and all of this 6 7 information is on our website, portal.gulfcouncil.org. 8

9 Some of the other ones have been more targeted to specific management issues, or threats, and we have a few different ones, 10 11 and these are just some examples, but, for example, we have one 12 on lionfish and the threats that they pose to the ecosystem, and 13 some information of how you can get involved, and we try to make them into something that's interesting, that's short, that is 14 15 easy to look through, that's engaging, but not overwhelming, and 16 so we've developed some for spiny lobster closed areas, which 17 there are number of these areas throughout the Keys, on both the 18 South Atlantic side and the Gulf side, and these are small areas 19 that are prohibited for spiny lobster traps, in an effort to 20 protect corals that -- The two species of endangered corals. 21

This is sort of a long-term project, and, effectively, this is kind of a wait and see, and then we've done other ones on some of these non-climatic stressors of corals, and just try to link connections of ecosystems to onshore and nearshore activities and how this affects coral reefs.

28 Some of the other tools that we've developed, both are 29 informational and then have some management application, this 30 panel on the top-right, this Coral 9 Habitat Explorer 31 Application, was developed in conjunction with an amendment to 32 identify and describe additional habitat areas of particular concern to protect deepwater corals in the Gulf of Mexico, and 33 34 so we developed a dashboard that we presented to the council to 35 help them understand potential management applications and alternatives as we went along. 36 37

- As the document went to completion and was implemented, we developed more of a permanent web application that described the habitat areas of particular concern that had been identified previously, and the ones that are new, and it kinds of puts them up on a map, where people can explore those areas, and it provides a nice, digestible resource.
- 44

27

The bottom-left panel is a dashboard identifying some results of scientific literature about the spatial extent of various coral diseases in the Gulf of Mexico and the Florida Keys. This is a growing and widespread problem, and so we tried to provide this as a way to both characterize the magnitude and extent of this issue, and as well as to update it through time, to kind of keep track of it going forward, and it's something that, again, is easy to look at, suitable for wide audiences, but also serves as technical resource for those that are interested.

7 One of the other things that we've done are more of these engagement and outreach surveys, and this online survey is on 8 9 the top-left panel, and we created a form, and it was solicited widely, sort of akin to the Fishermen Feedback, to get a better 10 11 understanding of people's perspectives of how they perceive the 12 condition of corals, and coral reefs now, versus some time earlier, in their own personal experience, and so we solicited 13 this and got feedback and tried to develop these into products 14 15 that we can help people understand things, these broader concepts of like shifting baselines. 16 17

18 Then we have some other of these resources, where we try to make 19 our data on these geospatial data portals, and so, for the more 20 technical users, and we provide the data that we compiled, that 21 we produced, and we make it available, and it's downloadable. It has metadata, and it's ready to use, and it can be used for a 22 23 project, and it can be used to support a larger application, and 24 it can be ingested in other web applications, and so it works 25 pretty well. 26

Then some of the more technical things, like the white papers on specific issues, we've more taken a deeper dive into specific issues, to help understand the threats, consequences, and potential outcomes of management actions and things, and those white papers and things are also on our website.

33 Kind of the last thing we've done is, in order to try to 34 increase awareness to stakeholders, in particular in areas like 35 this where the corals are, these areas, and, as you can walk 36 outside, and there are all kind of people interacting with the 37 resource, is produce some nice, colorful guides of corals and things, to help people be able to identify them, and they're 38 waterproof, and they can take them with them underwater, and 39 40 it's something that they can learn, and there's a little bit about the biology, and it was intended to be user-friendly, but 41 42 also to have some useful information to promote conservation and 43 awareness of the resource down here. 44

As you can see, there are any number -- There's a wide number of activities that we have engaged in to try to improve the scientific understanding and increase stakeholder engagement and contribute to the potential ways to improve management funded 1 through this coral reef conservation work, and so that's some of 2 the CRCP.

4 This is just kind of a takeaway of the three different themes 5 here. Again, this Fishermen Feedback is our citizen science 6 crowdsourcing application, and we kind of highlighted the Great 7 Red Snapper Count and some of the ecosystem modeling and the 8 coral grant, and so, if you have any questions, I'm happy to 9 answer them or punt to one of my colleagues.

11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Well, thank you, John, Ryan, and Emily. Are 12 there any questions for the group? Marcos.

14 MR. HANKE: Emily, the crowdsourcing tool for climate change, 15 because I got the point earlier and the need of connecting with 16 the fishing community, for that basic data first, to know what 17 is going on, and do you think that is a tool that can be used 18 for that, for very basic presence and absence of a species, or 19 asking are you seeing new species, or is there is any change, 20 related to climate change, anything along those lines? 21

22 **MS. MUEHLSTEIN:** I do think that you can probably develop a very similar tool to what we're using, to sort of target what you're 23 24 looking for. Without -- You know, the thing that hamstrings us 25 a little bit is the Paperwork Reduction Act, right, because you 26 can't ask more than ten people the same set of questions, if 27 they're directed questions, and so that sort of kills the 28 purpose, if you're aiming more than ten people, right, and so I 29 think, like I briefly mentioned, when we first designed the 30 tool, we did it to make it fit into that category of open public 31 comment, and so that is a matter of how you frontload the 32 information that you're looking for.

33

3

10

13

You know, you can basically write this introductory paragraph that says here's what I want you to tell me, but then, when you actually get to the point where you're asking the question, you have to phrase it as sort of an open-ended question, and so it's not as simple as being able to say, you know, check the box where you see queen snapper, right, because that becomes Paperwork Reduction Act territory.

You could go through the Paperwork Reduction Act clearance, although that's not exactly a friendly or timely process, but I would be happy, as always, to work with you on figuring out a way that you can customize it for you guys, and we use Google forms, and so it's like super simple, and kind of idiot proof, and I'm happy to help.

48

41

1 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Great. Thank you, Emily. Are there other 2 questions? All right. I am not seeing any. Thank you, Gulf 3 team, for those updates. Well, what we'll do now is take a 4 break until 3:15, and, if you all would be back promptly, we'll 5 start with our climate change and fisheries portion of the 6 agenda. 7

8 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)

10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. We're going to go ahead and get started 11 here, if everyone wants to take their seats. The next item on 12 our agenda is we have three presentations that are surrounding 13 climate change and fisheries, and the first one up is East Coast 14 Climate Change Scenario Planning, the summit meeting, and Ms. 15 Kiley Dancy will report-out on that topic. By the way, this is 16 Tab 8, if you're following along.

CLIMATE CHANGE AND FISHERIES EAST COAST CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIO PLANNING SUMMIT MEETING PRESENTATION

MS. KILEY DANCY: Thank you so much. My name is Kiley Dancy, and I'm the Mid-Atlantic Council staff working on this East Coast Climate Change Scenario Planning Initiative, and so I have a brief update, which will be the third update provided to the CCC. The first was in May of last year, and there was another update in October of last year.

29 Just as a reminder of who is participating in this initiative, 30 it's the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, all three 31 east coast councils, NOAA Fisheries Greater Atlantic and Southeast Regions, and NMFS Headquarters. This initiative was 32 33 initiated and overseen by the Northeast Region Coordinating 34 Council, or NRCC, consisting of leadership from the Northeast 35 and also, for this initiative, includes the South Atlantic 36 leadership as well.

37

9

17 18

19

20

21

38 Just a quick reminder of the initiative objectives, and this 39 initiative explores how east coast fishery governance and management issues will be affected by climate-driven change in 40 fisheries, particularly focused on the issues of changing stock 41 42 availability and distributions, and then the second objective is 43 to advance a set of tools and processes to continue to advance 44 flexible and robust fishery management strategies to address 45 climate change.

46

47 This work has been ongoing since 2020, and it has included 48 several phases. We began by establishing objectives, and we

conducted a public scoping process in the summer and fall of 1 2 2021, and we then analyzed many forces driving change in east 3 coast fisheries in greater detail, and, in June of 2022, we held 4 a workshop to construct our scenarios, or stories, about 5 possible future conditions. 6 I am going to focus more, in this update, on the activities 7 since the last CCC update, including our applications phase to 8 9 identify potential actions and areas for potential ongoing 10 monitoring, and so just a quick reminder of the four scenarios 11 that we developed. 12 13 We did end up with four scenarios in a two-by-two matrix, using the axes of uncertainty here that include looking at, on the 14 15 horizontal side, the predictability of conditions and the ability of science to assess them, and then, on the vertical 16 17 axis, you have stock productivity and replacement, and, by 18 intersecting those, we ended up four scenarios here, and there 19 are longer narratives available for these on our initiative 20 webpage. 21 22 In the applications phase, we have been using these scenarios as 23 a platform to discuss future fishery governance and management 24 strategies, and so managers has been asking themselves the 25 questions of how would our current systems work if the new 26 scenario conditions were to occur, and what we might need to change to better prepare ourselves for those possibilities, and 27 28 what should we be advancing now, or avoiding now, to ensure that 29 fisheries are managed effectively in an era of climate change, 30 and so this applications phase has had several parts. 31 32 We had, last fall, several small focus-group-type webinars, 33 consisting of managers from each participating group, to 34 brainstorm and generate ideas, and then we had workshops at each of the November and December full council and commission 35 36 meetings, to review and generate more ideas. In February of 2023, we held a summit meeting, serving as sort of the 37 culmination of this process, and I'll talk more about that in a 38 39 minute, and I will also talk more about the expanded NRCC meeting two weeks ago to review the outcomes of the summit and 40 41 discuss next steps. 42 43 Throughout the course of the applications phase, we ended up hearing ideas focused mainly around three themes. For the 44 45 summit meeting, we then organized the conversations around these 46 three themes, the first being cross-jurisdictional governance, 47 and clearly that's relevant under all future scenarios, and is a 48 focus of this initiative.

2 The second theme was managing under increased uncertainty, and 3 this would be particularly relevant in the left-hand-side of the 4 scenario matrix, where conditions are more unpredictable, and there's a harder ability to assess. The third theme was data 5 6 sources and partnerships, and, although also relevant in all 7 scenarios, it came up a lot on the right side of the scenario matrix, where we might have increased data streams, and we want 8 9 to think about how to strengthen partnerships for better data 10 collection and use and coordination. 11

1

35

45

12 The summit meeting held in February of 2023 served as sort of the culmination of the application phase, and we're now wrapping 13 up completing of the initiative, and so the summit meeting was 14 held in Arlington, Virginia, and it was attended by about fifty 15 16 fishery managers, representing all of the participating 17 organizations, and the goal of the summit was to develop a set 18 of potential governance and management actions resulting from 19 this scenario-based exploration of the future. 20

The summit participants did come up with a list of potential actions for each of the three discussion themes, and we didn't look for consensus here, but we did identify actions that kind of a majority were coalescing around for each issue, and we also had a prioritization exercise to identify the top issues in each theme.

Following the summit meeting, the core team for this initiative developed two documents for review by the expanded NRCC to consider at their meeting a couple of weeks ago, and the first is a summit report, which is basically the summit proceedings and the description of the key potential actions that were identified at the summit and the results of the prioritization exercise.

36 We also developed what we are now calling a draft potential 37 action menu, which sort of expands on and clarifies some of 38 those issues raised at the summit, because, at the summit, we did not have time to identify possible next steps for each of 39 40 these actions, and so this second document allowed us to go into 41 a little bit more detail about how we might take on some of 42 those actions, and this document is intended to serve as a menu 43 of options to help participating groups consider their 44 priorities.

46 The NRCC met two weeks ago to review the summit outcomes, 47 including those two documents, and then, from the draft action 48 menu, the NRCC categorized that longer list of potential actions 1 into near-term priorities, medium-term priorities, and then 2 actions that we're considering basically to be in the parking 3 lot, and so those are either long-term priorities or things that 4 are not feasible, or not a priority right now, but we want to 5 retain them for future consideration. 6

7 This document reflects the NRCC's perspective, and it hasn't yet 8 been reviewed by the full management bodies. However, it's not 9 the intent that we would have each management body approve the document necessarily, but it would serve as sort of a guiding 10 11 quidance document inform the priorities leadership to 12 discussions of each management organization. I will note that 13 there's a lot of momentum behind many of these actions, because of the participation of members of each group at the summit, and 14 15 so we do see some movement, and momentum, toward addressing many of these actions. 16 17

Some of them will be taken on by individual groups, while others would require joint action, or collaboration, or other sort of collective prioritization.

The NRCC also identified a couple of different general and process recommendations, including forming a leadership-level East Coast Climate Coordination Group, and that would be similar to the role currently being served by the NRCC, but with slightly different representation, and they would meet annually, in conjunction with NRCC meetings, to sort of track progress on addressing these scenario planning outcomes.

The second group to be formed would be the East Coast Climate 30 31 Innovation Group, which is more like the current core team, 32 consisting of staff from each group, to regularly sort of review 33 changes and developments in fisheries, and other information, to 34 bring up areas for possible action, or consideration, by the 35 coordination group, and then, finally, the NRCC identified some 36 near-term and long-term communication objectives, including 37 the process outcomes, reengaging communicating previous stakeholder participants, and developing tools and resources to 38 39 allow other groups to apply this framework. 40

41 I want to quicky highlight some of the identified high priority 42 actions for each discussion theme, starting with crossjurisdictional governance, but I don't have time to get into the 43 details of each of these, and so, just kind of at a high level, 44 45 the NRCC identified four high-priority actions for governance, including a big one being figuring out a way to reevaluate each 46 47 coast council committee structure, use, and decision-making, and that was seen as the way to start addressing some of the 48

1 representation concerns on the east coast, by making greater use 2 of committees which have more flexible membership and voting 3 possibilities compared to the councils themselves. 4

5 In addition, there were actions to think about reevaluating and 6 revising advisory panel representation, developing joint 7 management agreements to clarify roles and increase efficiency, 8 and to improve coordination across NOAA offices and regions. 9

10 For managing under uncertainty, two near-term priorities were 11 identified, and the first being to about think more 12 consideration of broader ecosystem-level contextual information 13 within the management process, and improve our ways of doing that, such as making better use of state of the ecosystem 14 15 reports or ecosystem risk assessments or similar information, and the second is to streamline FMP documentation 16 and rulemaking, and this includes several next steps that look at 17 some potential NEPA streamlining as well as places where the MSA 18 19 and rulemaking processes could potentially be streamlined for 20 council actions.

22 Under the third theme, data sources and partnerships, three 23 near-term actions were identified, and the first was to expand 24 the study fleet and include recreational fisheries. The second 25 was to use survey mitigation around offshore wind, including 26 implementation of the survey mitigation strategy ongoing in the 27 Northeast, and, finally, to improve the use of existing data, 28 through collaboration to inform better decision-making. 29

21

40

For a few of these actions, I just wanted to quickly note that 30 31 progress is already being made on some of them. There have been 32 some initial discussions in strategizing about options for 33 reevaluating committee representation and use, and then, for 34 managing under uncertainty, there has been a New England Council 35 report comparing council risk policies, as that was one action 36 to consider how climate change might be better considered in our 37 risk policies, and so that's a start on that, and then NOAA 38 Fisheries recently prepared, and plans to share, a tech memo on ecosystem risk assessments. 39

For data sources and partnerships, GARFO, and the Northeast 41 42 Center, received funding for a recreational study fleet pilot project, and so, even though that pilot would take place in the 43 44 Northeast, they will be working with folks from the South 45 Atlantic, to ensure that the results of the pilot could be 46 applicable in the Southeast Region as well, and that project has 47 been funded for a year. Then the implementation of the NOAA 48 Fisheries and BOEM federal survey mitigation strategy in the 1 Northeast is ongoing.

2

13

17

19

3 Our next steps are finalizing a revised version of that action menu for -- Based on the NRCC's feedback and prioritization, and 4 then the councils and commission are going to review the summit 5 outcomes and take that menu of actions into consideration later 6 7 in 2023, when setting their priorities for the upcoming year or years, and then, finally, we'll work on forming those new groups 8 9 and the completion of the communication objectives that I That's all I have, and additional documents and mentioned. 10 11 information is available on our initiative website, and so I'm 12 happy to take any questions. Thank you.

14 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. We're looking around the 15 room now, to see if there's any questions. I am seeing none. 16 Thank you very much for the presentation.

18 MS. DANCY: Thank you.

20 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Up next is Fisheries Climate and 21 Governance Policies. Kelly, I believe you're up again for that. 22

MS. DENIT: Yes. Thank you, Chair. This will be your last episode of the Kelly Denit Show for the CCC meeting, and so --If it would be okay, Chair, I am going to touch on OCAP, the Ocean Climate Action Plan, which I think everyone had asked me to do, and I will do that first, because I suspect we'll have a more meaty discussion about the climate governance, if that would be all right.

31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay, and then you'll follow that up with the 32 climate governance and be open to some questions? 33

34 MS. DENIT: Yes.

36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Yes, that sounds fine.

37 38 39

35

30

OVERVIEW PRESENTATION ON OCEAN CLIMATE ACTION PLAN

40 MS. DENIT: While they're getting the presentation queued up, I 41 can start going through at least the first couple of slides, and 42 so I am going to touch on the Ocean Climate Action Plan, which 43 is the recent announcement that came out from across the entire 44 federal government, and then I will go into the climate 45 governance policy. 46

47 This is the first ocean climate plan, and it is an all-of-48 government approach, and it ties heavily into various

administration priorities around offshore wind, America the 1 Beautiful, and the scale-up, in general, of nature-based 2 solutions for blue carbon efforts, of restoring and protecting 3 coastal marine environments, as well as advancing environmental 4 5 justice and engagement with tribes and indigenous peoples, and 6 the link is provided in the presentation for folks. 7 8 It is an aggressive roadmap for ongoing and future ocean climate 9 work across all of government, coalesced around three specific 10 goals that you see there on the slide, and so the first is the 11 concept of creating a carbon-neutral future, and the second is 12 looking at nature-based solutions to mitigate ocean and coastal 13 climate change impacts, and then the third is enhancing 14 community resilience to ocean change, and NOAA and NMFS were all 15 involved in the development of the plan. 16 17 The key NMFS-specific areas within OCAP are the Climate, 18 Ecosystems, and Fisheries Initiative, which we've already talked 19 about a couple of times, as well as working with all of you and 20 the commissions to incorporate climate-ready approaches to 21 decision-making, and so thinking about how we adapt our 22 fisheries management in the face of a changing climate. 23 24 Areas, or actions, around expanding aquaculture, to help enhance 25 our U.S. resilience in the global seafood market in a changing 26 climate, as well as looking at coastal habitat identification, 27 protection, and restoration, and this gets at some of the BIL funds that we have used and other activities that we have in the 28 29 pipeline for that habitat restoration protection, as well as looking at coastal fishing community resilience, 30 through 31 adaptation, equity, and investment, and that involves looking at 32 some of the socioeconomic components, as part of that. 33 34 There is also some areas around the zero emission fuels for 35 fleets, and thinking about how that transition happens, again 36 keeping in mind that this is all whole-of-government, and so some of that is related to shipping and other areas, but that as 37 well, and so I will stop there, because that is essentially 38 OCAP, in a nutshell, and then, if there's any questions, I am 39 happy to take those, and then I will launch into the climate 40 41 governance. 42 43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am looking around the room for any questions regarding this component, and, Kelly, I am not seeing 44 45 any, and so if you want to go ahead and proceed on. 46 47 FISHERIES CLIMATE AND GOVERNANCE POLICY 48

MS. DENIT: All right. Okay, and so let's dig in on the climate 1 and governance policy. You all will recall that we talked a 2 this at the last couple of CCC meetings, and we were able to 3 provide the draft policy to everyone I think last week, or the 4 week before, and this is focused on our process to look at the 5 6 Secretary's authority, under Section 304, to determine which 7 council, or councils, should be in charge to prepare various 8 FMPs. 9 10 You all had quite a bit of feedback at our last meeting, and so I wanted to start by kind of highlighting what some of that was, 11 or at least what we heard, and then I will step through the 12 13 components of the draft governance strategy, or the governance 14 policy, sorry, and then we can launch into any questions. 15 16 One of the things that you highlighted was the need to ensure 17 stability, and you were concerned about having too much flipping 18 and flopping between councils who might be leading, and so we 19 tried to address that in a couple of different ways, most 20 specifically by trying to use multiyear averages, where we are 21 suggesting using metrics to try and mitigate and provide some of 22 that stability. 23 24 You also expressed some concerns about the transitions and how a 25 transition would happen, if one was determined to need to happen 26 between councils, and so we would have a specified phase-in 27 period, and we've talked about some of the planning around that, 28 and we can get into more of the details, and then you emphasize 29 the east coast scenario planning, which I appreciated that Kiley 30 went first, to kind of update all of you where that is, and so 31 we have attempted to incorporate the outcomes of that scenario 32 planning, as best we could, into this draft governance policy. 33 There are a few key questions that we need to grapple with as 34 35 part of this, and, specifically, what constitutes the fish, or 36 the fishery, and what is the geographic scope of the fishery, or 37 fisheries, and you all have very important roles in addressing both of those issues, and so now I'm going to step through the 38 39 process that we've proposed. 40 41 In general, this gives you an overview of the four steps. The 42 first would be determining whether we need to do a review, and, for most of our federally-managed fisheries, we have already 43 44 established this, and we have identified who are the leads, and 45 we're not interested in necessarily making changes SO 46 automatically, and the purpose is, if there is a need to review, 47 then we would move to Step 2. 48

In Step 2, we would work with the council, or councils, to 1 2 determine the actual scope of the fishery, and only if we make a determination that the fishery extends beyond the geographic 3 scope of a single council would we then proceed to Step 3, and 4 Step 3 is where we would then lay out the process and consideration for reviewing and designating the council 5 6 7 authorities for those fisheries, and then step through what might be outcomes at that point, and, if we do identify that the 8 9 transition needs to happen, and we move to Step 4, which is the actual transition period, and we note a bunch of considerations 10 11 for us to take into account as part of that, to ensure that we 12 have an orderly transition. 13

14 For most of our currently-managed fisheries, we already have 15 these initial determinations of geographic scope and the 16 designations of the council authority for preparing the FMPs, 17 and we are not anticipating changing those designations unless 18 there is a change in circumstances, and, when there is a need to review the geographic scope or authority, we will notify the 19 20 relevant councils and initiate the process that we've laid out 21 in the document.

22

23 For any newly-emerging fisheries that haven't previously been 24 managed under MSA, then we would essentially skip Step 1, and we 25 would be moving right to Step 2, and so we may decide, on our 26 own, to conduct a review of any existing designation if certain criteria indicate shifts in the location of the fishery, and so, 27 28 in this draft, we have proposed a few indicators to get at that, 29 and we are very interested in your feedback on all of these that 30 we've put out there. 31

32 First is the concept of is there a greater than 15 percent shift 33 in landings revenue or recreational effort, i.e., are we 34 starting to see landings revenue accrue to or effort in another 35 jurisdiction, and this is one of the areas where we have 36 suggested using multiyear averages in order to do this calculation for the metric, to get to the point that you all 37 raised previously around having some stability, and not wanting 38 to be switching, just because there happens to be an outlier 39 40 year, and we're looking to try and have this happen when we see 41 a sustained shift.

42

If we see a documented shift in stock distribution, and then the third that we have put forward for comment is certain council actions, and so this could range from different allocation approaches or changes that councils might be making that might indicate that a fishery, or fisheries, are changing jurisdiction or shifting. 2 We may also initiate a review if one of you all request that, 3 which I think was another area that you all had provided comment 4 about, having some opportunity for you to provide -- Or raise 5 the issue to us to then take action.

7 Step 2, and now, if we've decided that we actually need to conduct this review, then we need to dig in on determining the 8 9 geographic scope and the location of the fishery, and so, here, we're laying out some of the roles and key issues to consider, 10 11 and you all have a lot of discretion, under Magnuson, in 12 describing a fishery, including its geographic scope, and those 13 descriptions are then subject to our approval, and so we're 14 essentially just articulating what already exists, in that 15 regard, and so some of the key issues are the location of the 16 fish and fishing effort, and those would be key components in 17 and then there's a series of additional this step, considerations that we have laid out there that should be taken 18 into account, and those include the location of the species and 19 20 fishing effort, the management goals, is there a need for 21 conservation management, the management and efficiency, 22 biological considerations, as well as shoreside infrastructure 23 and other components of the fishery itself.

24

36

1

6

25 The draft policy provides up to six months from the notification 26 to the councils for you to recommend the geographic scope, and 27 we were attempting to be responsive, similar to the comments we 28 heard earlier this morning that, in general, six months usually 29 gives you enough time to have had two council meetings to 30 discuss a particular issue and then give us that feedback, while 31 also balancing -- If you add up all of the steps in this governance policy, not having it be a multiyear process, and so 32 33 trying to balance the opportunity for input from the councils 34 with let's not create an overall process that then takes an 35 extended period of time.

37 The outcome from Step 2 is essentially 3, which you all are 38 familiar with, and so Outcome 1 is there is one fishery and one 39 council area of responsibility, and it's straightforward, and 40 that council manages that fishery. There can also be the 41 outcome that there are separate fisheries in multiple council 42 and that each council is then responsible for areas the fisheries in their particular area, or Outcome 3 is there is one 43 fishery that extends into areas of responsibility for more than 44 45 one council, in which case this is when 304 comes into play, and we may designate council, or councils, and, in the draft policy, 46 47 then this is what leads us to Step 3, and it's only if we pick 48 Outcome 3 do we then move to Step 3.

2 In Step 2, this is when we would actually be making 3 designations, and so we're going to lay out what those 4 designations could be and then talk through what are some of the 5 considerations and presumptions that we would use to guide that 6 decision-making. 7

8 The first would be one council and one FMP. In this case, we 9 would be designating one council to manage a fishery throughout its range, and an example of that is dolphin wahoo, and then we 10 11 also could have a designation that is multiple councils with one 12 FMP, in which case the Secretary might designate multiple 13 councils and ask for joint management, or designate one as the lead, and then the third is to have multiple councils with 14 15 multiple FMPs, in which case we would be separating those out to 16 the different councils. 17

18 As part of the process in Step 3, again, we would be consulting with you all, and we are providing six months for us to have 19 20 those conversations around that designation, and this would be 21 the opportunity when you all would be able to provide that 22 feedback, and, again, we're trying to balance opportunity for 23 you to be able to have some thorough conversations within your 24 respective councils, with not making the overall process really 25 extended, and so there are a number of considerations that we 26 list in the draft policy as part of this process, I think two or 27 three of which tie somewhat directly to the outcomes of the East 28 Coast Scenario Planning, and so a couple of the considerations 29 are thinking about representation and access and participation 30 of stakeholders.

32 There's an opportunity for the councils to provide feedback, 33 during this step, about how you have, or are doing, undertaking 34 actions that provide for that representation and engagement with 35 stakeholders who might be in a different council area.

37 You can also submit, either jointly or separately, information describing how you would plan to cooperate with other councils, 38 39 to accommodate the interest of other stakeholders from other regions, or other information that might be relevant as part of 40 this, and other considerations are the location of fishing 41 42 effort, landings, thinking about existing and future processing, 43 permits, community impacts, including community dependence, 44 adaptability, and there's also thinking about the 45 interrelationships with other managed species, and so there is a 46 range of considerations that are listed out there in the 47 document.

48

31

36

1

The last part of this slide is focused on some of 1 the 2 presumptions that we have set forth in the policy to lay out for 3 everyone and get all of us on the same page, in terms of the expectations that we have with respect to when we're doing this 4 5 analysis and going through this decision-making process. 6 7 Again, we heard concerns about not wanting to have frequent transitions of management authority, and so we have suggested, 8 9 for these indicators as well, that we use multiyear averages to calculate them, and so what we have proposed is, if in fact we 10 11 see that greater than 75 percent of landings revenue or recreational effort is in another council's jurisdiction, the 12 13 presumption is that that stock, or that fishery, is going to transition to the other council. 14 15 If we see greater than 40 percent and less than 75 percent 16 17 revenue or recreational effort, then it would be --The 18 presumption would be joint management, and then this third 19 bullet -- We would like to have essentially a fishery-20 independent source, or presumption, as part of this process, and 21 we are keenly interested in input of what might be an 22 appropriate fishery-independent metric that we could use in this 23 part of the process. 24 25 Ultimately, if there is decision to move either from one council's jurisdiction to another or to joint management of some 26 kind, we have provided a description of that transition and what 27 28 would be the kinds of factors that should be thought about, and 29 planned for, as well as try to provide some clarity on what our 30 expected timelines, with respect to that, are, and so we have 31 put out, in draft, that we would propose a two-year phase-in for a transition, and, in those cases, the existing FMP would remain 32 33 in place until it's superseded.

34

35 There could be some exceptions. For example, if there was an 36 overfishing determination during a transition, we might have to 37 make some different choices, but the expectation is that, in 38 general, that there would be a two-year phase-in and that the 39 existing FMP would remain in place.

40

41 We also wanted to be clear that, during that two-year transition 42 period, modifications to allocations should not be undertaken by 43 the previous lead council, and then, finally, that last bullet is focused on what are the kinds of areas that everyone should 44 45 be planning for as we are making these transitions, and so it 46 tries to highlight the issues, many of which are ones that you 47 have highlighted to us, including thinking about permitting and 48 allocation issues, needing to balance the adaptability with the

need for stability, the data collection and management, and so you'll see aspects in the draft governance policy that refer to transition of expertise from SSC to SSC, council staff to council staff, and from regional office to regional office and/or from science center to science center, and this would not be solely on the councils, and it would be for NOAA Fisheries as well.

9 As I've mentioned a couple of times, we've tried to incorporate the input that we have received from you all in the development 10 of this draft. I have highlighted a few places, in particular 11 12 the use of the multiyear averages, taking into account the need 13 to transition the council capacity for managing a new fishery that might be moving into your area, and then I also tried to 14 15 highlight the places where we have taken into account the 16 outcomes of the East Coast Scenario Planning exercise, or 17 effort, I should say, and, in particular, as Kiley just 18 highlighted, some of the efforts that have been identified there in thinking about the use of committees and reviewing advisory 19 20 panel structures, and both of those are highlighted as 21 considerations in the draft policy, as part of Step 3. 22

23 Here is our general timeline, and this gives you a little bit of 24 going back in time up until now, and we're currently in May of 25 2023, where we've provided you all with the draft proposed 26 policy, and we are seeking your feedback over the course of this 27 summer, and we have set the deadline to get your comments by November 17 of 2023, and that will give us the time to finalize 28 29 the policy by the summer of 2024, which is the timeline that we 30 told you about at the beginning. 31

32 Obviously, we would appreciate getting comments sooner rather 33 than later, but we completely understand, as we noted earlier 34 today, or as you all noted earlier today, that you would 35 appreciate the opportunity to have a little bit longer to 36 provide us any feedback, and so you can, again, provide those 37 comments by November, and we would plan to update you all in 38 October on the comments that we have received so far, at that point, and so, with that, I am happy to take questions and/or 39 40 welcome discussion.

42 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Kelly. Any questions? 43 Chris.

44

41

8

45 MR. MOORE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thanks, Kelly, for the 46 presentation. I have a questions, but I have a lot of comments, 47 and I will take about, I would say, four or five minutes, and so 48 stay tuned for the final part of this, but I really do

appreciate, Kelly, you sending out the policy for review last 1 2 week. It gave us time to really look at it before the meeting, and deep breaths. I'm not going to make a motion today to this 3 4 particular policy, but, as I said, we do have a number of 5 comments and concerns regarding the draft. 6 7 In addition to the comments that we're going to provide you with 8 today, we appreciate the opportunity to provide additional 9 specific comments prior to that November 17 deadline. 10 11 The CCC has made comments before on climate change and governance, and I think everyone around the table, or most folks 12 around the table, recognize that, and, Bernie, if you could put 13 that slide up, I would appreciate it, and the CCC will be 14 15 sending in a letter that will likely reiterate the views that 16 were stated in our CCC consensus position on council management 17 authority. We approved that back in 2021. 18 19 If we could get that up, I can start reading it, but, basically, 20 the consensus statement reads, in part, that a number of fishery management plans already account for overlap between council 21 22 management areas. For example, the New England Fishery Management Council and the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management 23 24 Council manage two fisheries under joint fishery management 25 plans and cooperate on the management of several other fisheries 26 that overlap the geographic areas of both councils. Similar 27 arrangements exist between the Mid-Atlantic and South Atlantic 28 Councils and the South Atlantic and Gulf Councils. 29 It further states that frequent reassignments of management 30 31 authority could cause disruption in council operations, duplication of effort, Science Center workload bottlenecks, and 32 33 loss of institutional knowledge among the staff, council, and 34 SSC members and others who have acquired specialized knowledge 35 about the management and biology of the stock, through years of 36 involvement with that particular fishery. While major changes 37 in management regimes can be warranted in certain cases, the CCC 38 believes that less-disruptive methods of adapting to climate 39 change be pursued first. 40 As Kiley indicated earlier, the report from the Climate Change 41 and Fisheries Summit is being finalized, and it will 42 be 43 available soon, and we've seen a draft of that, and I appreciate 44 the comments that Janet made earlier this morning about that 45 particular process. 46 47 The NRCC met a couple of weeks ago to consider that report and develop action items for implementation, as Kiley said, 48 and

those action items are significant, and we believe that their 1 implementation will be less disruptive and will address many of 2 3 the issues associated with climate change and fisheries 4 governance on the east coast. 5 In regard to the draft policy, I have a few specific comments 6 7 for today. As I indicated earlier, we plan on sending in more 8 specific comments relative to your questions, Kelly, in our 9 letter later this summer. 10 11 In general, looking at the draft, we think the policy is difficult to follow, and it might benefit from some 12 reorganization. For example, it's not very clear, to us, how 13 and when the governance review, and the subsequent steps, would 14 15 be initiated and who has what role and responsibility within 16 each one of those steps. 17 18 We also think that, when and if this policy is applied, it should be applied when there is clearly a defined management 19 20 problem, not just the changing stock distribution or a fishery that crosses multiple jurisdiction, and, in fact, just as the 21 22 councils have a defined purpose and need when amending an FMP, 23 we think that NMFS should provide a statement of the problem and 24 evidence that a problem exists before initiating the review 25 detailed in the policy. 26 27 We also wonder how this policy would account for fisheries that 28 occur across multiple jurisdictions, and have occurred in that 29 particular arrangement since council management was first 30 initiated, and I ask that because the management unit of most of 31 our species, most of the Mid-Atlantic-Council-managed species, 32 extend outside of the Mid-Atlantic Council area. For example, 33 the management unit for bluefish is Maine through Florida. 34 35 The way that it's written, this draft policy could be used to 36 justify reassignment of management authority for any fishery 37 that crosses jurisdictions, even when the stock hasn**'**t 38 experienced a significant shift in distribution related to 39 climate. 40 41 Another comment is, obviously, careful consideration to the costs and benefits of implementing the policy should be 42 43 considered. The Mid-Atlantic Council has been involved in joint management issues for over thirty years, and we know that joint 44 45 management is generally more cumbersome and less efficient. In 46 the face of climate change, wind development, and all the other 47 issues that we're dealing with on the east coast, the exact 48 opposite is where we need to be.

2 The draft policy could set the east coast councils up for 3 frequent reassignments of management authority, and this would 4 also be a huge burden for staff, and years' worth of 5 institutional knowledge and experience is not easily 6 Time and resources dedicated to the transition transferred. 7 would be better spent on management actions, conducting stakeholder outreach, or addressing other issues, such 8 as 9 habitat and protected resource issues on the east coast. 10 Finally, we should make sure they're not getting out too far 11 ahead of the science, as we think about governance response to 12 13 climate change. The Mid-Atlantic Council is working on a 14 project with Malin Pinsky from Rutgers to develop models to 15 forecast near-term species distributions on the east coast, 16 near-term being from one to ten years. 17 18 In his preliminary report, Malin makes two very important and 19 relevant statements to keep in mind. One is non-climate 20 factors, like fishing pressure and larval dispersal, influence species distribution. The second point is species distributions are highly variable. They often move north to south, and they 21 22 23 are not simply marching up the coast. 24 25 In summary, we have a number of concerns with the draft policy. While we do acknowledge that the 304(f) governance policy may 26 27 have some application in the future, it could be considered as 28 one of the tools in our toolbox to address climate change and 29 governance issues under the right circumstances. However, it 30 shouldn't be considered the only one. We strongly consider that 31 you consider the results of the Scenario Planning Initiative, 32 and the implementation of those action items, before you proceed 33 with the final draft and any implementation of this policy. 34 Thanks. 35 36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Chris, thank you. Any other questions or 37 comments? Dr. Porch. 38 39 DR. PORCH: Thank you. I just wanted to bring up the fact that 40 changing distributions not only can trigger changes in council 41 jurisdictions, but it triggers changes in science jurisdictions, 42 and different regions, and different states, collect different types of data, and they use different assessment techniques, and 43 44 so however this plays out, whenever councils are dealing with 45 it, they're likely going to get different types of advice than 46 they used to get, and I think we have to start thinking about 47 how we respond in light of that.

48

1

115

You know, for instance, with other transboundary stocks, the conventional wisdom is that each management jurisdiction would get a similar fraction of the stock that's in their area, so that, at least if you're consistent, in terms of the extraction rate, then the stock as a whole is protected, but what would that actually look like, when you have, you know, say three council jurisdictions involved?

9 I think it's going to double down on the need not only to standardize data collection, but to have really good surveys, 10 11 and we talked about surveys earlier, where you're using a similar approach, or identical approaches, but also covering the 12 13 full range of the stock, so you can say, as close to real time as possible, where the stock is at any given time. Otherwise, I 14 15 don't see how we're going to be able to manage this stock in an 16 equitable way. Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. I've got Eric and then you, John. 19

17

26

34

43

20 MR. REID: Thank you, Mr. Chair. First, I would like to agree 21 with Madam Coit, and, this morning, she said that the East Coast 22 Scenario Planning work was a fantastic body of work, and I agree 23 with that, and I would really like to hear a lot more about how 24 that action is going to integrate with this, or perhaps 25 supersede this action.

The document itself, to me, is confusing, and I will give you an example of that. The footnote on page 1 of that document --Footnote 1 says this policy does not apply to Atlantic highly migratory species. If you look at Slide 14, there's three tunas on the page, and so that was confusing enough, but I have a lot of comments, but I will be brief, and I am generally speaking about page 3, which is Step 1.

35 a(ii) is request -- One of the reasons for conducting a review 36 is if a council should request, and I am thinking, with the 37 discussion about funding and workload, you could probably wipe that out, because I can't imagine one council that's going to 38 39 ask for any more work at this point, and so certainly funding 40 would have to be in place to support whatever is that you want 41 to do under 304(f), and, if that's not part of the equation, 42 then you should just stop right now.

If you keep going down that page, the phase "included, but not limited to" is mentioned three or four times, and, to me, that's pretty vague, and it's pretty scary, and I would like to see a lot more detail in this document, going forward. It is interesting, to me, that a criteria that indicates a need for

review is to prevent management authority changing, and I 1 2 thought that was an interesting comment. 3 Of course, there is a discussion about changing of fishing 4 effort, and, you know, what about rotational management, which 5 is a key tool in our toolbox, and, you know, there's a Home 6 7 Depot down the street, and I could probably go get a few more 8 tools if you want, but, to me, that's a consideration that 9 shouldn't be ignored. 10 11 Certainly landings are driven by infrastructure, and, although 12 there is a bullet point in the back of this document that talks 13 about predicting where potential new infrastructure will be built, I don't know if that's ever going to happen. 14 It is expensive. It's very expensive, and you can't find land, and 15 16 you've got to be close to the coast, and the landings scare me a 17 little bit, but it's -- You know, fishermen have to go where the 18 fish are going to be packed-out and processed, and nobody likes 19 to put fish on trucks anymore. 20 Lastly, you know, Footnote 5 says that NOAA acknowledges that 21 22 there could be additional circumstances, and I would like to 23 know what those are, and certainly Footnote 6 -- It says there a consideration about addressing state versus federal 24 is 25 landings, and that's a permitting issue, and it also -- It might involve the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, and 26 27 they're not mentioned anywhere in this document. I do understand that they are outside of Magnuson, but they are a key 28 29 partner in what we do on the east coast, and so thank you. 30 31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Eric. John. 32 33 MR. CARMICHAEL: Thanks, and I appreciate Clay bringing up the 34 point of shifting within NMFS, because, as the council on the far side of the jurisdictional boundary between the Northeast 35 36 and the Southeast, that's something we experience with any of 37 our assessments that cross the line, and we just had discussions about cobia and how that stock is shifting to the north and the 38 39 impacts that's having on the things that Clay mentioned, basic 40 data collection. 41 42 A survey done in the Southeast headboat is not really relevant anymore for that stock, if it's moving to the north, and so I 43 think it's an oversight in the document that there is focus on 44 45 the councils' governance without considering the impacts on the 46 agency, both the regional offices and the science centers and 47 how they'll have to be impacted as jurisdiction changes. 48

I mean, I understand, between the Mid-Atlantic and New England, 1 2 you're still within one science center and one regional office, 3 but, if you shift from the South Atlantic to the Mid-Atlantic, 4 you have now changed your entire NMFS infrastructure that's working on this as well, and that's going to be a challenge, and 5 6 so I think that needs to be considered. 7 We too will probably provide comments, and I think there are 8 9 some technical things to raise, and like I, for one, don't think a 15 percent change and a three-year average, particularly in 10 the recreational fisheries in the South Atlantic, is at all an 11 12 indicator of a significant change in a fishery distribution. We 13 could have a change like that just because you get one year of 14 an odd estimate, and that happens to us all the time. 15 16 We get estimates that will be four or five times higher than 17 anything you've seen in the ten years around it, you know, and 18 so I think we've got use great caution in using the recreational 19 data. 20 21 The fishery-dependent data is another challenge, and a point 22 that I've raised before is it's a hard fence between the Northeast and the Southeast in that program, and the surveys are 23

different, and it's a tough challenge to compare the surveys

across lines, and so you're not going to be able to say compare

movement of black sea bass from the South Atlantic stock into

the Mid-Atlantic stock, if our southern stock should decide to go north, because the Mid-Atlantic stock seems to be moving

north, and we don't have fishery-independent data to compare the

movement, because the methods are completely different, and so

that's going to be a pretty significant challenge.

32 33 I don't think that three years is adequate, and I particularly 34 don't think three years that are adjacent is a good moving 35 average. We need to be looking at longer term. If these things 36 are going to be happening, we should be thinking what does a 37 stock look like, and where was it ten or twenty years ago compared to today, and so we're just coming out of, what, a 38 39 three-year La Nina, and that affects the climate, and so, you 40 know, what I read on that is they used to not last that long, 41 and now it seems like some of these climate-related trends are 42 lasting longer, and so that could easily bias us on a three-year 43 average.

44

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

I think seasonality is a concern, and when are we going to look at these fish, and things like Spanish mackerel are having a great time, in the summers, going north, but does that mean that the whole stock is shifting? I'm not sure.

2 I think there should be some consideration of peer review of the 3 outcomes and a clear role for the science and fishery advisors 4 of the councils, and I don't think that giving six months for us to develop a plan is near enough, and I think we need at least a 5 6 year, or maybe even two years, because I don't think this is the 7 kind of thing that we should be taking lightly, because of all As Chris mentioned, we shouldn't be going 8 the consequences. 9 back and forth on this, and so maybe there should be kind of a If you do this, you've got to commit to that plan for 10 sunset. ten years or more, so that it's not the kind of thing we feel 11 like to just jump into because it seems that there's a change, 12 13 and we should really be sure that there's a change. 14

15 With those concerns, I think it's good to have some guidance on 16 how we will determine if jurisdictions should change as the 17 stocks change, and that's really important, and it's just 18 incumbent on us, when we do this, to get the details right and 19 make sure we're not setting ourselves up for kind of a seesawing 20 effect, or overlooking some problems, or putting us in a box 21 where we're now, you know, dealing with this and disrupting 22 businesses and constituents and our processes.

24 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, John. I have Trish and then Mike.

26 MS. MURPHEY: Thank you. I am new to this group, and so I've been kind of -- I guess I'm coming in in the middle of all this 27 28 discussion, but I was looking at with fresh eyes, and one of the 29 things that concerned me was the involvement of stakeholders, 30 and I really didn't pick up on that, and I feel like that's 31 going to be a very important piece in discussions of moving around from councils, and I think that stakeholder input is 32 33 going to be important, and maybe it's just not captured well 34 here, or I'm talking more of an implementation plan, but I think 35 that's going to be an important piece that I think is missing 36 here. 37

38 I agree with John, and I thought this three-year average was 39 awfully short. I mean, at least consider five, but I think, as 40 he said, considering longer terms and comparing to older 41 historical times is probably even better.

42

23

25

1

In reading this, though I think it is inferred that you are going to work closely with the councils, I didn't pick that up as well in this policy, and I think maybe that needs to be clarified more, that interaction between the different councils and NMFS on these discussions and determinations.

119

Talking about new and expanded fisheries, you know, there's 1 2 probably not any data to be able to use to discuss whether an 3 expanded fishery, who it goes to or kind of how it moves, and so I think there's probably going to be data gaps there, 4 on especially new fisheries, and I agree with John too that I don't 5 think six months is long enough, and I think, again, a year, or 6 7 two years, and I think -- I'm thinking the reason it would be 8 elongated would be because of trying to engage your stakeholders 9 to get input and information to make these determinations. 10

11 I guess Tom might have touched on this, and I will just be 12 brief, but budget, and are we going to -- You know, the councils 13 are already hurting for money, and adding additional species --I think John talked about losing institutional knowledge, and I 14 15 think just the capacity may be difficult, and then I think what Clay and John also said about just sharing the data, and the 16 17 compatibility of data, across the regional offices. That's 18 really all that I had to say, and thank you for allowing me to 19 speak. 20

21 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Trish. Mike.
22

23 MR. LUISI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I will be -- I'll try to 24 be brief, and I would first say that I certainly agree with the 25 speakers before me in what they've stated regarding this 26 presentation and regarding the climate governance policy, as we 27 read it and are discussing it now. 28

I would like to focus on, I guess, two aspects, two things that 29 30 I feel like this policy needs some comment on at this point, and 31 one of them is confusion, and so it's been stated that the document is confusing, and I'm not going to contest that, or 32 33 speak to that, but what I would like to say is that I think that 34 this isn't -- The timing of this, along with all of the efforts 35 that have gone into the East Coast Scenario Planning exercise, make this document extremely confusing to the general public and 36 37 the audience for which we have worked for years now, gathering 38 information, working through the scenarios, going through 39 workshops and webinars and virtual meetings and in-person meetings and discussions at our council and discussions at the 40 41 Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission, which hasn't been 42 brought up yet, and I'm going to do that here in a second. 43

I think the overall confusion to our stakeholders, thinking they were offering thought, offering their advice as to how we, on the east coast, may deal with governance and climate change, and then, at the same time, there was a policy directive being developed by NOAA Fisheries that's going to be guiding those decisions that come from that process, and I think it's very confusing, and I hope that we haven't lost, yet again, the faith of our stakeholders, through this complicated array of documents that can often be pretty difficult to read through and understand completely, and so that's my first point.

7 The second point I would like to make is that there are times 8 that I've heard folks say let's just get everyone in the room 9 and we'll figure out how to make it work. Well, it sounds good, but, as a member of the Mid-Atlantic Council, and as the chair 10 11 of the council for the last seven years, our work with the 12 Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission on jointly-managed 13 species, summer flounder, scup, black sea bass, and bluefish, 14 has been very successful, in my opinion.

15

16 However, bringing those two bodies together, the Mid-Atlantic 17 Council and the Atlantic States Commission, isn't easy, and it's 18 not a duplicating -- It's not doubling resources and effort, and 19 it's probably tripling and quadrupling resources and effort that 20 go into making sure that the two management bodies, in this case, are working in sync with one another to generate an 21 22 output, using the best available science and gathering the information for managers within the geographical range of that 23 24 species that we're discussing, and it takes an enormous amount 25 of time to get those two bodies to get in sync. 26

27 Now, if we're talking about geographical range of a species like 28 bluefish, where we now have the New England Council, the Mid-29 Atlantic Council, the South Atlantic Council, and the Atlantic 30 States Marine Fisheries Commission, I feel for the person that -31 - I won't be around by the time that happens, but I feel for the person who is going to have to chair one of those meetings, 32 because the last seven years have been rather tough, trying to 33 34 herd the cats, as they say, to come to a conclusion that makes sense, is reasonable, and is often a compromise between the 35 36 different states, the different regions, and the different 37 stakeholders that we engage with. 38

39 Confusion and coordination and resources are the two things that 40 I wanted to focus on, Mr. Chairman, and that will wrap-up my 41 comment. Thank you.

42

43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Mike. That's all that I had on my 44 list, and is there anyone else that wanted to comment? Janet, 45 go ahead. 46

47 MS. COIT: I just -- I don't think praising the East Coast 48 Scenario planning in any way diminishes from the need for us to

have a policy like this, and we want your feedback, and we can 1 2 improve the policy, but I just wanted to read to you from your 3 summit report, on page 3. 4 5 Participants also discussed when and how changes in management 6 authority should be made. Generally, participants thought that 7 triggers should be used to initiate a review of management 8 authority and that trigger immediate change. 9 10 I think what Kelly is presenting actually aligns with a lot of 11 the work that you've done, and definitely feedback on triggers, 12 what would constitute an appropriate trigger, and your report 13 emphasizes crosspollination, better communications, many of the things that came up, but, when I read your work, it's not 14 15 inconsistent with developing this policy, and I just wanted to 16 make that comment. 17 18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Janet. Kelly. 19 20 MS. DENIT: Thanks, Chair. Thank you, all, for the feedback, and I appreciate that. Just a couple of comments, to clarify, 21 22 and I'm not going to go through everything point-by-point, but, first of all, thanks, Eric, for highlighting that boo-boo in the 23 24 PowerPoint. Sorry that I missed that one in my review. 25 With respect to the Footnote 5 that you talked about, that was 26 mostly focused on if we trigger an overfished or overfishing 27 28 determination, in which case, then, as you all know, we have 29 very specific statutory deadlines, and, therefore, wherever we 30 might be in the process, we might have to adjust and/or stop the 31 process, in order to respond to that. 32 33 To the couple of comments about the timeframe, just to be clear, 34 we were suggesting that a multivear average be used, but, in the 35 policy, we don't specify that it has to be a recent time period, 36 and you could look at 2005 compared to -- You, know, 2005 to 37 2008 compared to 2023 to 2025, like that, and so those were the two couple of things that I did want to make sure that folks 38 understood, and I appreciate the rest of the comments, and we 39 40 certainly will take those into account, and we look forward to 41 receiving your future comments, and I apologize, and I did cut 42 myself off one slide short. 43 44 Please, if you have any additional questions or comments, feel 45 free to email myself or Marian McPherson, who is here, if you have additional questions or comments or want to discuss the 46 47 policy further here over the next couple of days, and please 48 feel free to grab either one of us, and we'll be more than happy 1 to chat. Thanks for all that great feedback.

2

11 12

21

36

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Kelly. I am not seeing any more hands or anyone wanting to discuss more on that, and we'll 4 move forward to our next item on the agenda, and that's Ms. 5 6 Hunt, and she's going to talk to us Stephanie about 7 antiharassment policies and training, and that will be on Tab 9. Stephanie, it looks like your presentation is up. 8 If you're 9 ready, go ahead. 10

UPDATE ON ANTIHARASSMENT POLICIES AND TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES

MS. STEPHANIE HUNT: Hi, everyone. I'm Stephanie Hunt, and I'm 13 Branch Chief in the Office of Sustainable Fisheries. You can 14 15 move to the next slide, which outlines what we are talking 16 about. I wanted to give an update on the harassment prevention 17 policies that you all adopted in October, and I wanted to give 18 you a report-out of the harassment training that we launched in 19 November and discuss a more long-term strategy for harassment 20 training.

You all recall that we developed two model policies for the councils to address allegations of harassment, and we adopted those at the CCC level in October, and you all have been busy adopting them in your individual councils since then, making really good progress, and most of the councils have adopted them, and those who haven't have it on their June agenda to take up.

Another issue that we've discussed is implementing procedures, and we agreed to develop some sort of a toolkit, some email templates and checklists, to help you implement the policies. We've been working on those. We have a couple ready, and we're adding to that, with a couple more, and we hope to get those to you within a month.

37 Now I will move into harassment training, which we launched in November, and it was the first ever launch of harassment 38 39 training for the councils, and we assigned the training to over 450 individuals, council staff, council members, and various 40 advisory body members. I think it was really successful, and 80 41 42 percent of the participants, from across eight councils, 43 completed the training, and that's over 350 people, and that is the vast majority of council, the vast, vast majority of council 44 staff and members took it, and those that didn't -- There were 45 46 some state employees that had taken it previously, and then we 47 had a little bit of trouble with some of the advisory body 48 members.

2 The average time to complete the training was almost two hours 3 for non-supervisors and three for supervisors, and I mention 4 that because it was a really significant commitment. I will 5 note that I did hear about some technical difficulties, and so I 6 can't say that these numbers are 100 percent accurate, but they 7 give you a pretty good sense.

1

8

18

35

9 The next slide is more details of who was trained, and you can see the results in this table. The last column shows you who 10 11 was assigned the training, and different councils took different approaches to that, and we encouraged definitely all staff and 12 13 all council members, but we left it up to the councils, in terms 14 of the advisory body members, whether they assigned it to just 15 leadership or everyone, and we actually didn't have enough spots 16 to actually train all of the advisory body members, and so that 17 led to some of these differing approaches.

You will see the South Atlantic and Mid did an assignment to their advisory body members, and the Caribbean, I think, assigned it to all of their advisory panel members, and the New England and North Pacific assigned it just to their leadership, and so there was some differences there, and, as I said, we were limited to 500 people, and so we sort of were trying to go through iterations and making sure that we didn't go over.

27 The Pacific Council had the highest number of individuals 28 trained, and a lower percentage, because they assigned it to 29 eighty-odd advisory body members, and, similar, the Caribbean 30 assigned it to I think all of their advisory body members. The 31 Gulf and New England Councils had the second and third-highest 32 number of people trained, and the South Atlantic had the highest 33 percentage trained, and a lower N on the total numbers, because 34 the advisory panels weren't on the list.

The Caribbean and the Western Pacific had the longest time to complete the training, two-plus hours for non-supervisors and four hours for supervisors, and so, again, a huge time commitment, and I think -- I mean, I couldn't believe that 80 percent of people completed the training. This is the first time we've done it, and I think we're all really excited about that.

44 So what well? This is our perspective, and we would love to 45 hear your perspective, and we got positive feedback on the 46 training content, and this is the same training that we're 47 required to take at NOAA, and I think it's pretty good. People 48 told us it was relevant and applicable to the council context, and the training platform, Everfi, was really quite easy, from our end, from assigning people the training, getting reminders out, and running reports on who has taken it and who hadn't, and so it allowed us to communicate with the executive directors the status of the assignments.

NOAA paid for this training, and we ended up getting a 7 discounted rate, and so it was only \$10,000, compared to the 8 9 original quota of \$30,000, and we had a shorter timeframe for 10 people to take the training, and it shut off after less than six 11 In terms of other things that I thought went well, months. different models about how councils tried to ensure compliance, 12 13 and the Gulf Council, I'm aware, gave stipends for time for 14 people who completed the training, and so they were frequently 15 asking us for the list of who had completed it and who hadn't, 16 so that they could process those, and I think that -- I've had a 17 lot of conversations with a variety of you, and people seem to have really bought into it. 18

We were getting a lot of requests to get training reports, asking us how it was going, and I will say that at least one council, that I am aware, has adopted into their SOPPs a requirement to take harassment training, and so that was a good signal.

19

26 In terms of challenges, from our perspective, there wasn't a way 27 to require this training, and there was no concrete consequences 28 for not taking the training, and that was a concern as we were 29 getting ready to launch it. Well, what if they don't take it, 30 and, well, we're going to try it and see what happens, and I 31 think, with an 80 percent completion rate, that maybe didn't end 32 up being that big of a problem, but it's out there for future 33 training efforts, but, as I mentioned, the completion rate was 34 different between councils, and then councils took different 35 approaches to who they assigned the training, and I think that 36 was totally fine in our first year. I think, probably in the 37 future, we want to train more advisory body members. 38

39 We had, through the contract, supplementary training that was 40 available, and there was a training on managing bias, and we 41 offered that sort of halfway through. Nobody took advantage of 42 that. 43

In terms of challenges, we had funding last year, and we were able to fund this, and, in the future, we'll need to talk about a funding model, and we don't have funding specifically designated for this. I think kind of a future challenge is it's great to have these policies, and it's great to have training, 1 and those are really first steps, and how do we reinforce the 2 messages from the policies and from the training, and I heard 3 some good examples.

I think the New England Council mentioned that, every year, sort 5 6 of the first AP meeting of the year, they go through the --7 They're planning to go through a slide highlighting the harassment policies, and I think the Pacific Council mentioned 8 9 that, next to the big slide that they'll have up, they have a big slide highlighting the harassment policy, and so I think 10 11 those are great examples. There probably are others that you are all doing, but I think that's something we definitely need 12 13 to keep in mind.

15 The next slide -- I am moving now into sort of future training, 16 what do we do from here to make sure that council spaces are 17 safe, respectful, inclusive, or free from harassment, and so 18 I've done some research, and I will present that here, but this 19 would be a joint strategy, and this would be something that you 20 all would need to -- It would be bought into, and it would need 21 to reflect your priorities. 22

23 I will say that many of you will remember that the company we 24 used, Everfi -- After we found them, and decided to use them, 25 they told us that they had been bought out, and we couldn't use 26 them in the future. The good news is that we can use them now, 27 and we have a government contract, and so they are available, 28 and so the options that I have outlined are based on what I know 29 from them, and this slide just -- You know, I think the harassment prevention training is core. 30

There is other training that could be beneficial for councils to, again, create safe and respectful council environments, and the first three on this slide are available through Everfi, using the contract that we had, and so the other ones would require a different contract, and then, of course, the target audiences here are the same as who we were targeting this year.

39 This is just big picture, trying to wrap our heads around how 40 many people are we talking about, and I needed this information 41 to get some of the quotes, and our council employees and members 42 and state members, and those number are pretty solid. The 43 numbers of advisory body members, APs and SSCs, this is pretty 44 rough, and so we would really need to groundtruth this with you, 45 if we were moving toward that.

46

31

4

14

47 The next slide is a quick, high-level summary of three different 48 options, and then the next slide after that gives you a big more

detail, and I will note, here at the bottom, that I think some 1 of you remind me of this whenever I give this types 2 of presentations, that new council members do get this training, 3 4 during new council member training, and so that is -- That will 5 continue to happen, and I think that's really important. 6 7 In terms of options, and I bounced some of these ideas off of a couple of the executive directors, there would be an option, 8 9 through Everfi, to enter into a three-year contract for kind of an unlimited number of trainees, up to 2,000, and that would 10 11 allow us to offer the harassment training say every two years, 12 on a regular cycle, and then during the -- So it would go to 13 everybody in one year, year-one, or year-two, as it was, and then, the next year, we could offer some of the supplementary 14 15 training, and so that's one option. 16 17 The second option would be to do that same -- Well, to offer the 18 training, or require the training, once every two years, which 19 we would need, and the supplementary training would be 20 available, but only during those years, and I can go into this 21 in the next slide, and it will be more clear, and then the third 22 option would be to provide it every two years, but to different 23 audiences. 24 25 I'm going to go to the next slide to help explain this a little bit better, and so the first option would be available with a 26 27 three-year contract, and these numbers are going to expire on 28 May 31, and they will probably go up. If we enter into a contract with this company, we could have, you know, three years 29 30 of time where we could train everyone on harassment training in 31 say the even years. The next year would be available for 32 supplementary training. If you enter into a three-year 33 contract, you get a bit of a discount. 34 35 assign The second option would be the same assignments, 36 everybody every other year, but there would be no training in the off years, and so that would require us to enter into a 37 38 contract every two years, versus a three-year contract, and it 39 would be slightly more difficult to administer. 40 41 With this option, we wouldn't have a contract in the odd years, 42 and so we wouldn't be able to offer any training during those odd years, and then the third option would be if we wanted to 43 44 cap the numbers of trainees at 250, and we would get a 45 discounted rate, and it would be a little bit more difficult to 46 administer, because this would be a three-year contract, but we 47 would be training different people in different years, and so we 48 would potentially train council staff and members in even years,

and then advisory body members in odd years, and then, if we 1 2 wanted to offer the supplementary training, it would have to occur with the same people in the same year that they take the 3 4 harassment training. 5 6 I think there's some pros and cons to each of these, both in costs and administration challenges, and, in particular -- You know, the first two options give us more flexibility with the 7 8 9 numbers, and, the third option, we'll have to be pretty careful about how who we're assigning training to, because we'll be 10 11 limited to 250 per year. 12 I didn't write it on the slide, but I think, you know -- Well, 13 maybe it's the next slide, and so these are potential discussion 14 15 topics, and I see the time, and we're late in the day, and, you 16 know, I am happy to schedule a call with the executive 17 directors, to get into more details on this, but I think there 18 is a lot to discuss here, and we would love to hear your 19 feedback on the training process, how it went for you, and I 20 gave you my perspective, but I would love to hear your 21 perspective. 22 23 I would love to hear from you about your vision for this type of 24 training in the future, and does it align with what I've 25 outlined, or are we missing anything big? Are there other 26 things that we should be thinking about? 27 Obviously, you know, harassment policies and training are the 28 29 core, and they're the basics, and I'm very happy that we have 30 those underway, but there are lots of other things that we could 31 be thinking about, in terms of council environments and creating 32 inclusive spaces, and then the last thing that I have here --33 Well, I guess we have this idea of incentivizing the training, 34 and, potentially, there would be some way we could have more 35 concrete consequences for not taking it, but, in the absence of 36 that, are there other ideas? I mentioned the Gulf Council 37 offered stipends, and had a very high completion rate, and so 38 that would be something to think more about, and then the last 39 thing I have here is funding. 40 41 We funded this last year, and we would be open to kind of 42 managing the contract, and we can do that fairly easily, if the 43 councils wanted us to do that, and we would also be open to 44 manage a contract yourselves, individually or as a group, and we 45 -- You know, one model could be that we set up the contract, and 46 the councils are paying for it, and we could take the funding

off the top of your grants, and we could use the council funding formula, so that it's more equitable, depending on how big your

47

48

1 council is, and we would also be open to a shared-funding model, 2 if that was something that you wanted to explore. 3

The next slide, and I don't want to forget the next slide, and I 4 forgot to mention that Sandy Soderstrum, our General Counsel 5 from the department who helped us develop these policies, has 6 7 taken a new job, and I know many of you have worked with her before, and her replacement is Alexis Anderson, and I think 8 9 she's on the webinar, if you have any questions for her, but she gave me permission to share her email address, and, if you have 10 11 any questions that you might have followed-up with Sandy on, go 12 ahead and reach out to Alexis, and that's it, and I have a final 13 slide with a picture on it.

15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Stephanie. Any 16 questions for Stephanie? Carrie.

18 **DR. SIMMONS:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to tell 19 Stephanie and Adam and the team, and Sandy, thank you for your 20 help with this. We actually modified our council SOPPs, and 21 your options don't quite align, regarding the two years, or how 22 we've set up our requirements for the training. 23

24 We also, because of the limited slots at the time the training 25 was offered through Everfi, were not able to allow our AP members to complete the training, due to that limitation, but we 26 are requiring that in the future, and so what we're looking at 27 28 is a three-year time period, or at the time of appointment, and 29 so I'm interested in working with you further on ways we could reduce any type of financial burden to make this consistent and 30 31 applicable for everyone, but I appreciate the help that you've 32 given us so far, and the support, and I think it was well 33 received, for the most part, but all the members, council 34 members and staff, and so thank you.

35 36

37

14

17

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John.

38 MR. CARMICHAEL: Thanks, and thanks, Stephanie, for that. I 39 think it was -- I didn't get a whole lot of negative feedback. It was like any sort of training, and you get a little bit, but 40 41 it did take some effort to get everybody to do it, you know, a 42 number of follow-ups and working with you all, and some of them that people got hung-up in progress, and they struggled to get 43 44 it, but you expect a fair amount of that.

45

I think an annual option is better, because I'm thinking of say staff who come on as new hires. You know, we are a council that has our own training, and we require people -- When we onboard

somebody, that's one of the things that they do immediately, is 1 have to take this type of training, and so I think it would be 2 3 unfortunate if we only had it every other year, and someone could potentially work for you for quite a while before they get 4 5 any kind of training. 6 7 We didn't extend it to APs, because we have 350 of them, or something, and I'm just not sure how it would be received, and I 8 9 would be interested in hearing from some of other councils who did ask their AP members to do it, if they did it and how they 10 11 felt about it and that sort of thing. 12 As an alternative, we do have a -- We do orientation for our AP 13 members, and we do some training, and we inform them about the 14 15 council's policies, and we provide them the code of conduct and the standards, you know, that NMFS has provided, and so we make 16 17 sure that they're well aware and they're given the information, 18 and they know -- We made a point to share it with all of our advisors, when we recently changed our handbook and adopted the 19 20 policies and stuff, and so we kind of felt like, from an advisory perspective, that's maybe a better way to go and 21 22 actually get them to pay attention to those things. 23 24 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, John. Tom. 25 MR. NIES: Generally, it was received very well, and I think 26 that was, in large measure, thanks to the effort that Stephanie 27 28 put into getting this program going, Stephanie and others at 29 NMFS Headquarters, and I know she wasn't alone. 30 31 We did have our advisory panel chairs take it, but, because of 32 the numbers of advisors that we have, we didn't have all of our 33 advisors take it, and, as Stephanie said, every year, at the 34 start of the year, the first meeting of advisory panels, we go 35 over this stuff. 36 I would suggest that it might be easiest to have the EDs get 37 together and have the EDs talk about possible future scheduling 38 39 and funding options, and I suspect we're not all on the same 40 cycle for when we appoint AP members and things like that, and 41 so John's suggestion for the annual approach might fit better, 42 but that might be a discussion that we could have in more detail on a phone call, rather than here. 43 44 45 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Miguel. 46 47 MR. ROLON: In our case, I asked the staff to take it, and so 48 they all took it. AP members and other bodies, they were kind of like, do I have to, and I said yes, but there's no way that you can force them to take it, the way that we have now, and so two questions. Would it be possible to have a form that I can use, or any council can use, because I used to do that training myself, with whatever I knew, because I got involved in two cases of harassment, interesting cases, and so those are my questions.

9 I believe that we cannot make it obligatory, at this time, to be the way it is now, but, if that is a possibility, that we can 10 11 have that training to be used by councils, that will help us, 12 because then I can do that every year when we have new people, 13 be it council members or AP members, and, also, we have the 14 issue of the language, and that's something that we can take --If I have a harassment training that I can use, I can translate 15 16 that, and I have a staff member that could do that. Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Miguel. Merrick.

8

17

19

27

20 MR. BURDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Stephanie 21 and everyone else, for the help in pulling all of this together. 22 What I am reflecting on here is -- I think it's captured in the 23 table of the different survey responses that you had shown in 24 your presentation, Stephanie, and I think that captures a lot of 25 my thinking here about how we might move forward with the 26 training.

28 If I look at, you know, the Pacific Council, our strategy -- The 29 Pacific Council's strategy was to essentially try to saturate our membership with the training, and so we had our advisory 30 31 body members take it, and we had staff, and we had council 32 members, and some of the advisory bodies that were sent it have 33 not met for quite some time, and so you see, through that 34 philosophy, a lower completion rate than some of the other 35 councils, and I suspect some of the other councils had a much 36 more deliberate focus. 37

38 One is I'm proud of our council, and everyone took it very 39 seriously, and it led to some really good discussions on the council floor about harassment and things beyond, like the code 40 41 of conduct that we're working on right now, but, moving forward, 42 as we think about training and what sort of needs we want to address, and what sort of coverage we want to address with 43 future training, I think it might be good, as Tom suggested, for 44 45 the EDs to have a discussion, which is what's our philosophy for 46 future training, and do we want to try to provide this to 47 everyone in our council process, or is it really a matter of 48 council members and staff, or is it council members, staff, and advisory body chairs, or is it more than that, and, from there, we'll have, I think, a better count of the type of, or the number of, folks that we think need training and what sort of resources might be needed for that.

6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Merrick. I am not seeing any 7 other hands up. Stephanie, thank then, and, if there's no other 8 questions, then we'll move on to the last portion of our agenda, 9 and that is the public comment. The latest report I have is that we have just three people here, and one person online, and 10 11 so four total, that want to comment. Bernie, I don't know if you all are ready for that, or you need a minute or two to 12 prepare. While you do all of that, I do have to read in a 13 14 statement, and so I'll do that, and then we'll see where we are. 15

16 This is the CCC chair statement for public comment. Good 17 afternoon, everyone. Public input is a vital part of the 18 Council Coordination Committee process, and we will welcome 19 public comment from in-person and virtual attendees. 20

21 Anyone joining us virtually that wishes to provide public 22 comment should have already registered online. Virtual participants that are registered to comment should ensure that 23 24 they are registered for the webinar under the same name they 25 used to register to provide comment. In-person attendees wishing to speak during public comment should sign-in at the 26 registration kiosk located in the back of the meeting room. 27 We 28 accept only one registration per person. Each speaker may be 29 limited to three minutes, based on the total number of public 30 comment registrants and based on the discretion of the chair. 31

32 If you have a cellphone or similar device, we ask that you keep 33 them on silent or vibrating mode during the meeting. Please 34 note that public comment may end before the published agenda 35 item if all registered in-person and virtual participants have 36 completed providing their comments. We're ready to go, Jessica? 37 Thank you. Okay, and so, looking at the list here, the first 38 person is Heather Mann. Heather, please unmute, if you can hear 39 us.

40

41 42

PUBLIC COMMENT

43 MS. HEATHER MANN: Thanks so much, Mr. Chair and council 44 leadership. My name is Heather Mann, and I work with the 45 Midwater Trawlers Cooperative, and I am based in Oregon. MTC 46 represents thirty-two commercial fishing vessels, and we're 47 homeported from Brookings, Oregon all the way up to Kodiak, 48 Alaska, and we prosecute fisheries off the west coast as well as 1 in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska.

3 I am also the leader of the Protect U.S. Fishermen Organization, 4 and it's an informal coalition of more than twenty-five fishing-5 related organizations on the west coast, and we're hoping to 6 expand to include our east coast and Gulf colleagues, who may 7 also have concerns about offshore wind energy.

9 coalition Our includes harvesters, processors, marine scientists, environmentalists, tribes, and the general public, 10 11 and I was in D.C. a few weeks ago, and I brought two fishermen 12 with me, and we discussed our concerns with the offshore wind 13 process with several people. We met with Ms. Coit, legislators from both houses, both sides of the aisle, both coasts even, and 14 15 we met with committee staff, and we had our third meeting with the senior White House advisors on climate and clean energy. 16 17

18 forty-five-minute completely unsatisfying This followed a 19 meeting the previous week with the new Director of BOEM, Liz 20 Klein, and it was clear, at that time, that a presidential time table and the desires of developers, multinational corporations, 21 22 are the driving force behind offshore wind, and the federal 23 government is handing out tens of billions of dollars in tax 24 credits, while simultaneously directly harming fishermen, small 25 businesses, coastal communities that are being forced, against 26 our will, to bear the environmental and economic costs of the 27 rapid industrialization of our ocean. 28

I am coming to you today because, without a major change in this process, managing federal fisheries might become a moot point. Current ocean users, food providers, harvesters of sustainable seafood, which is the lowest carbon footprint protein out there, we're at risk of extinction. It's not just marine mammals and other endangered species.

A lot of you know me, or you know me from over the years, and you know that I'm passionate, but I'm generally not overly dramatic. In twenty-five-plus years of federal fisheries management experience, I have never seen anything like what BOEM is able to get away with, and it's done under the guise of saving the planet.

42

2

8

43 You know, we're not opposed to offshore wind, but we are against 44 creating an environmental and economic disaster while we're 45 trying to address the climate crisis, and so we need the CCC to 46 consider sending a strong message to NMFS to be more aggressive 47 and more involved.

48

For example, why didn't NMFS request the \$62 million for survey 1 2 mitigation, versus \$14 million? NMFS scientists are the ones 3 who identified the thirty-one surveys that will be impacted by 4 offshore wind, and they were the ones that said we need \$2 million per year, per survey, to start mitigating those impacts 5 now, and we need the before and after data, and so we need NMFS 6 7 to also step up and be aggressive, but, most importantly, and 8 finally, we need BOEM to actually listen to the advice and 9 concerns voiced by NMFS and the regional councils.

10

30

34

43

11 You guys are the experts, and NMFS is the experts, and it's not 12 for BOEM to just say, oh we agree, or we disagree, or we changed 13 some wording. You know, our nation's fisheries are the best 14 managed in the world, and we need our leaders to stand up and do 15 everything we can to get BOEM to listen. At the end of the day, 16 BOEM has never rejected an offshore wind energy project, never, 17 regardless of the negative impacts to the environment, marine 18 mammals, birds, fishermen, biological diversity, and to coastal 19 economies, and so we really, really need something to be done. 20

If you haven't experienced any of this year, I would invite you 21 to read the final EIS from Ocean Wind 1, which was released 22 yesterday, and it's chilling. Their own document shows the 23 24 negative impacts that are going to happen, and they're just 25 pushing forward, and so I'm happy to answer questions, and not 26 take up any more of your time, and I'm happy to work with the 27 CCC and anybody who wants to send a stronger message, and 28 there's a whole army of people standing by to help. Thanks so 29 much for the time.

31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mann. Not seeing any 32 questions, we'll move on to the next, and I believe it is in-33 person, and that is Lee Starling.

35 MR. LEE STARLING: Thank you. My name is Lee Starling, and I'm 36 a commercial fisherman. I've been fishing in Key West for 37 forty-five years. You stand in the room of doom, and nothing good has ever come out of this room, and thirty-two years ago 38 39 and the marine sanctuary -- Billy Causey walked in here and told 40 us what was going to happen. He used a word called 41 "exponential". I had to go home and look it up. It means ever-42 expanding. It never stops.

44 You guys always come to us and you say we have the final 45 solution, and this is going to fix the problem, and what it does 46 is it creates more problems. The IFQ program is a perfect 47 example of that. Five years ago, I quit using IFQs. Why? 48 Because my fish markets went and bought IFQ fish, because you

made it too complicated, the whole system. 1 2 Also, we did not get to have input on whether or not we were 3 going to be part of that system and have VMS on our boat. 4 We had a storm coming before that meeting, and so they cancelled 5 6 that meeting, and, when they came back, they told us that you're 7 getting a VMS, whether you like it or not, and that's not fair. We're not the longline industry, and we're not going out and 8 9 getting 20,000 pounds of fish. 10 11 Other things too, and I look around this room, and I see people that I have seen for years, and I see people back here that I 12 13 thought were retired, and they have the same toolchest, and that 14 toolchest has got one tool in it, a hammer, and they don't have 15 a nail puller. They don't have any tools to go in and say, you 16 know what, we made a mistake, and let's try and rectify this. 17 Let's try and make common sense out of it. 18 19 Who would have ever thought that killing gag grouper while they 20 were spawning was a good idea, but, no, you guys had it in a 21 logarithm, and you had a little mathematical formula, and they 22 said let's go make these guys up in Madeira Beach rich, and what 23 happened? Down here in Monroe County -- Whenever you see the 24 rules for Monroe County, there's an asterisk beside it, and the 25 asterisk means whichever rules are more prohibitive. 26 27 That means that whatever rules are more prohibitive, we get it. 28 We get it, and I don't really feel like that my Gulf reef fish 29 permit has that much value, because my rules are different than the rules of some guy that is twenty miles up. When you cross 30 31 the county line, all of a sudden, now you can shoot all the 32 hogfish you want that are fourteen inches, but, no, down here, I 33 only get twenty-five pounds. 34 35 You know there was only seven harvesters of hogfish that were --36 That you guys even looked at, because everybody else's landings 37 were so low, and I was one of those seven people, and that was discriminatory against me. Seven people aren't going to make a 38 big difference in the overall poundage. They're not. 39 The rules 40 should have stayed the same, fourteen inches to the fork, which is exactly what a seafood market wants. A seafood market wants 41 42 a fourteen-inch fish, because of portion control. 43 44 When they fillet that fish, they get a seven-ounce fillet off of 45 it, and that's what they want to put on a plate, and so I want my demarcation line moved back to the northwest channel, as it 46 47 should be, and not twenty miles up in the Gulf, where I don't 48 know what the hell the bottom is like up there. I don't.

2 I am sixty-five years old, and I'm too damn old to go up twenty miles and start looking for bottom. Okay. I am going to stick 3 4 to my notes, because I kind of tend to get -- Sometimes I say 5 what's on my mind, and it ain't exactly polite, but it's the 6 same people, a lot of times, with the same bad ideas, and you 7 never replace them, and no one is ever accountable for them. 8 9 Whose idea was it to move the demarcation line? I know who that person is, and he's sitting right behind me, but he is 10 11 He goes, no, I'm not accountable. accountable? When I 12 suggested it to this organization, and this organization ran 13 with it, and this organization said so, and everybody points the finger at each other and says, oh, they made that rule, but you 14 15 lobbied for it, and you legislated it, and so I ended up getting 16 it, but no one come back and says, oh, you know what, you 17 screwed up. 18 19 The asterisk is ridiculous. Come on. I've Gulf rules, and I've got sanctuary rules, and I've got Southeast Atlantic rules, and 20 21 it's too much. I am the only person in this damn room that --22 Why? Because I'm one of the only fisherman, but I look around here, and I see huge levels of bureaucracy, and I am not 23 24 offended by that. Everybody has a place in society of their 25 job, and I accept that, but you don't fish. You're not out there, you know. You're not out there, and you're allowing 26 27 things to happen, like power chumming, that is creating a huge 28 disproportionate balance catch, harvest, in and what's 29 happening. 30 31 You know, we've got a huge chub problem here. We've got a huge 32 shark problem here, that we never had before, because of 33 techniques that are being used to fish. Okay. I will wrap this 34 up. 35 36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Yes, Mr. Starling. Please, if you don't 37 mind wrapping it up here pretty quick. 38 39 MR. STARLING: Yes, sir. I'm trying to do that right now. You 40 quys need to step back a little bit, and you need to reassess 41 some of these programs and say why did they fail, and why are 42 you taking 80 percent of gag grouper away? Why? Because you killed fish -- You allowed them to kill fish while they were 43 44 spawning, and we need to go back to commonsense rules. 45 46 You know, over the past years, I've had a lot of social 47 biologists -- They come and they talk to you, and they say, 48 well, what's going on, and you know they talk about crew

1

recruitment and things like that and stuff, and, you know, this 1 2 morning, you guys were talking about equity and diversity and 3 stuff like that, and, quite frankly, nobody wants to be a damn fisherman anymore. It seems attractive, but it's not. The job 4 5 sucks, most of the time. 6 You know, yes, there's 10 percent of the time when I'm really 7 happy, but there's 90 percent of the time that it can be a 8 9 struggle, and part of the big problem is that, as a commercial fisherman, with that damn VMS, which I take offense to, and the 10 new one that I just bought cost me \$3,000, and I will get a 11 12 reimbursement on part of it, but you guys keep putting -- The 13 Southeast Atlantic keeps putting so many charter boat licenses 14 out there that I can't compete against them. I've got a charter 15 boat guy, and he comes out here three times a day. 16 17 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Mr. Starling, we'll need to let you wrap it up here pretty quick. There are others that need to testify as 18 19 well. 20 21 MR. STARLING: You need to stop, and you need to look at how 22 much effort you're creating by continuing to hand out charter 23 boat licenses. You need to control them. You did that in the 24 Gulf, but you haven't don't it in the South Atlantic, and if you 25 don't think that these guys without VMS aren't fishing the other 26 side too, you're wrong. It's almost physically impossible to 27 moderate law enforcement, and it's like the mutton snapper 28 spawn. 29 30 You know, you said Riley's was the solution, and you took 31 Riley's, okay, and then you said we're going to reduce the amount of catch you have, and we're going to reduce fish, but, 32 33 at the same time, you increased the --34 35 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Mr. Starling, we need to continue to move on 36 with the public session. 37 38 MR. STARLING: Thank you. You all take care. I didn't even 39 cuss this time. 40 41 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Up next is Marianne Cufone. 42 43 MS. MARIANNE CUFONE: My name is Marianne Cufone, and I am here 44 on behalf of Recirculating Farms, and we're a national non-45 profit organization that promotes equity in local food systems, 46 and I'm also here to talk about one of our projects, the Don't 47 Cage our Oceans Coalition. It's a group of businesses and organizations that are working collaboratively to stop offshore 48

1 finfish aquaculture.

2

I hail from Marathon these days, and so, since you all were 3 close to my backyard, I wanted to stop by and just raise some 4 concerns about offshore finfish aquaculture. I know there are 5 various councils and National Marine Fisheries Service that are 6 7 still allocating resources to offshore finfish aquaculture, and it concerns me and a lot of the people that we work with. 8 9 10 We just recently got back a summary of the aquaculture 11 opportunity areas, and 80 percent of the comments that were 12 submitted were negative, and, also, NMFS recently hired a 13 sociologist to go out and go to the different regions to collect 14 comments and thoughts on offshore aquaculture, with respect to 15 the aquaculture opportunity areas, and so I really -- I just 16 wanted to mention that there are a lot of people that are very 17 concerned about this, and there have been actual areas that have 18 been designated throughout the Gulf region, off of Florida, off 19 of Louisiana, off of Texas, and we think offshore finfish 20 aquaculture is unnecessary, large unwanted, except by those who 21 are interested in the industry, and legally problematic. 22 I just wanted to remind all of you that the 5th Circuit Court of 23 24 Appeals said the National Marine Fisheries Service doesn't have 25 jurisdiction, under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, to permit offshore 26 finfish aquaculture, and I'm just here today to ask the different councils if perhaps you would consider 27 a joint statement on your position on offshore finfish aquaculture. 28 29 Different councils have done different things, and it would be 30 nice to have some kind of coordinated position, and so thanks 31 very much. 32 33 I also just wanted to say, before I leave, that I was disappointed to see a number of people leave before public 34 35 comment, especially National Marine Fisheries Service, and so 36 thanks very much. 37 38 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Ms. Cufone. Up next will be Bob 39 Zales. 40 41 MR. BOB ZALES, II: Bob Zales, II, here representing -- I'm 42 President of the National Association of Charter Boat Operators, and I'm also the Fishery Management Consultant for the Southern 43 44 Offshore Fishing Association, which is the Gulf grouper 45 commercial fishery, and I'm President of our local association, 46 the Panama City Boatmen. 47 48 Several people at this table have known me for a long time. For

those that don't, my family has been in the charter and 1 2 commercial fishing business in Panama City since 1965. I am 3 still operating today, and I just ran a trip on Sunday. 4 5 The issues that I have, most of you all have heard already, and 6 one of them I will talk about marine mammal predation and shark 7 predation. We have been complaining about this problem, in the Gulf and other places throughout this country, for quite a 8 9 while, and the problem is still there. It hasn't been addressed properly, and we're still having issues with sharks eating fish 10 11 that we have after we take customers fishing. 12 When you spend \$3,000 to go fishing on a boat and the sharks get 13 out there, and they'll take a hundred pounds of your fish, and 14 15 the dolphins will get out there, and they will take another 16 hundred pounds of your fish, and customers are not going to 17 continue to come back to fish. 18 19 The trip that I had on Sunday, I had nine ladies on the boat, 20 and one of them said that we would like to see some dolphins, and I said, that ain't going to be a problem, and I said you're 21 22 going to get to legally feed them, because we're going to be out there Spanish mackerel fishing, and that's what they do. I had 23 24 one dolphin follow me through the entire bay of St. Andrew Bay 25 in Panama City, eating ladyfish, blue runners, and Spanish 26 mackerel. You can't get away from them, and it's a problem that 27 is not just located where we are. 28 29 Marine mammals all over the country have this problem, and it 30 needs to be addressed, because it's a safety issue, not only for 31 the animals, but for the people fishing. They jerk rods out of 32 people's hands, and they scare kids when they're out there, 33 because you've got a kid bringing a fish up over the rail, and 34 the dolphin jumps up right there in front of them, and they've 35 got a problem with that. 36 37 Another problem we've got in the Gulf, and I know Ms. Coit understand this too well, is the balance on the councils, and 38 39 you can address that problem in the Gulf in the next couple of 40 weeks, when you make appointments. We have two commercial representatives, one in Alabama and one in Florida, that are 41 42 currently up to be appointed, and we need to address that 43 The Gulf Council has one commercial person sitting problem. there, this man right there, and he's all by himself, and it's 44 45 clearly out of balance and affecting what we do. 46 47 Another issue is disaster funding, and the recent Hurricane Ian 48 funding, and part the problem was with the State of Florida and

how they tried to submit the information to get the approval, 1 2 and things were kind of messed up there, but the big problem 3 remains with the Fisheries Service. 4 Over the years that we have dealing with disasters, whether 5 they're manmade or natural, whatever it is, and they're going to 6 7 continue to come. Hurricanes are going to be coming every year, 8 and manmade disasters are going to happen every year. 9 10 Hurricane Michael hit Panama City, Florida in October of 2018, 11 and I got disaster funding last summer, three-and-a-half years later, and I actually got another check last week. 12 For some reason or another, they held back 23 percent of my total money, 13 which I assume they did for everybody else. Why, I'm still 14 trying to figure out why, but the problem here is, three-and-a-15 16 half years later, I was still in business, and I didn't need the 17 funding. 18 19 It was nice, because I used it for a vacation, but, when you 20 have a disaster, like people down here in the Keys, with their 21 lobster traps, stone crab traps, and up in southwest Florida, 22 these people have no money. They're not getting paid for anything, and we're now, since September, when this storm hit, 23 24 and there's nothing helping these people. 25 26 A lot of those people will leave, and the commercial fishery, 27 the charter fishery, the private recreational fisheries are very 28 important to this country. Working waterfronts are the mainstay of this country. When this country was founded, they were 29 founded by working waterfronts. When people came here, they 30 31 landed on the coast. We're losing working waterfront in the 32 Fort Myers and southwest Florida area. 33 34 We need disaster help. The disaster program, the way it's done, 35 has got to be fixed. The Department of Agriculture has a pretty 36 good system for their farmers, and maybe you all can mirror that, but something needs to be done, so that money can get in 37 the hands of people that need it, and so, other than that --38 39 That will my rant for the day. 40 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Captain Zales. 41 42 43 MR. ZALES: Thank you. 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Well, I'm not seeing anyone on our 45 list for public comment, and so that will bring us to the close 46 47 of our agenda today. We will pick up again -- Well, I guess I 48 should remind everyone about the social tonight here at the

hotel, and it's out here behind the pool, near the beach, and 1 2 that will be from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m. We'll kick-off tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. with the America the Beautiful Initiative, 3 4 and so I will see everyone in the morning. 5 6 DR. SIMMONS: We switched it, and that's in the afternoon now. 7 8 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I'm sorry. We did switch that. We will kick-9 off with the Communications Subcommittee Report. Thank you for 10 that. 11 12 (Whereupon, the meeting recessed on May 23, 2023.) 13 14 _ _ _ 15 16 May 24, 2023 17 18 WEDNESDAY MORNING SESSION 19 20 _ _ _ 21 22 The Council Coordination Committee reconvened at the Marriott Beachside Hotel in Key West, Florida on Wednesday morning, May 23 24 24, 2023, and was called to order by Gulf of Mexico Fishery 25 Management Council Chairman Greg Stunz. 26 27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Good morning, everyone. We'll go ahead and get 28 started. A few things and, first, welcome back. If you weren't 29 here yesterday, or maybe you were online and not following along, we're changing up things just slightly with the agenda, 30 31 to accommodate some travel schedules and things, and so we're going to start this morning with the Communications Subcommittee 32 33 Report, and the original America the Beautiful Initiative was 34 scheduled for that time, and we're going to move that to shortly 35 after lunch, where the communication sections was. 36 37 Otherwise, we'll kind of stick to the agenda. I am just looking around the room, to see if there's any comments or anything 38 39 before we get going, and I'm not seeing anything, and so, with that, Emily, if you're ready with the Communications 40 Subcommittee, we'll pull that up, and that's going to be on Tab 41 42 15, and whenever you're ready, Emily. 43 44 COMMUNICATIONS SUBCOMMITTEE REPORT 45 46 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: Okay. Good morning, everybody. I like this 47 timeslot. I feel like everybody is awake and caffeinated. 48 Today, I'm going to present to you sort of a brief summary, as

brief as I could make it from a bunch of communications folks, of a subgroup meeting we had of the communications folks in all the councils, and this was held February 15 through 17 of this year in Clearwater, Florida.

5

14

36

6 Just to sort of jog your memory, this body, the CCC, tasked us, 7 in October of 2022, with going over sort of four things during 8 looking at different communication tools, this meeting: technologies, and approaches that each of the councils look at 9 and sort of contrasting those things; engaging the public on 10 complex management actions; our advisory panel engagement and 11 12 recruitment processes; and communicating successes and 13 challenges of the councils and the CCC itself.

15 What I will do is just sort of quickly walk you through what we 16 talked about, sort of technically, and give you some of the 17 highlights, but one of the things that I wanted to stop and just 18 acknowledge first was that we all wanted to express incredible 19 gratitude for you guys letting us have this opportunity to meet. 20

I think, as the communications folks for the councils, you know, 21 22 we are often put in front of the public, which is not always a 23 rosy situation, and we are not always received incredibly well, 24 and our roles sort of seem insular every once in a while, right, 25 and it was just so nice, on almost like an emotional level, to 26 be able to meet with the different people who are responsible 27 for that, across the country, and have comrades that deal with the some challenges that we do, and so, beyond sort of the 28 things that I am going to go over, the more technical things 29 that we got into and the learning, I just wanted to let you know 30 31 that there was this amazing emotional camaraderie and connection 32 that really filled everybody's buckets, and we were all very, very appreciative of the opportunity to meet. So that's the 33 34 warm, fuzzy part, and that's partially why we're communications 35 people, I think.

37 I'm going to start with, you know, we sort of broke it down into 38 a number of different things, and I will start with public comment, and one of the things that we did ahead of the meeting 39 40 was we all filled out a pretty long survey about how each council does certain things, and so what we learned here was 41 42 that all of the councils do allow in-person and virtual public 43 comment during council meetings, AP meetings, SSC meetings, and 44 public hearings.

45 46 Now, there is a star there, and that is because the Pacific 47 Council specifically I don't think allows public testimony at 48 SSC meeting, and that was sort of a change. That might be -- Okay. It looks like I'm getting a no, and so maybe that is not communicated properly, but it sounds like we all have kind of adapted to this COVID world, to allow hybrid public comment to happen at all levels of our council process, and I think that's pretty neat.

7 All of the councils also do add the written comments to council briefing materials, but what we realized is that it wasn't 8 9 ubiquitous across all councils that a staff member orally presents a summary of comments before final action is taken. 10 That's one thing I know that the Gulf Council does, and we make 11 12 sure that what we do there is put the comment summary on the 13 record, so that the fishermen that are listening, or the stakeholders that are listening, understand and actually see 14 15 that moment when the council is considering the comments that 16 were given to them. 17

Next, we spoke about social media, and this one was a little bit more controversial, I think, and not all of the councils shared the same perspectives, or the same approaches, and so one of the things that we did, and you'll see this chart will show you the social media platforms that each one of the councils, or that all the councils, engage in, and Facebook and YouTube were the two most popular social media platforms that were used.

We did sort of determine, as a group, that Facebook reaches 26 27 council audiences most effectively, and it does that for two 28 reasons. First, the user demographics of Facebook align with 29 the typical demographics of council audiences, and, cough, 30 cough, and it's typically an older audience that's on Facebook, 31 sort of an older adult productive audience, and things like Instagram, and some of the other platforms, have much younger 32 33 audiences than Facebook, and it just seems to reach our 34 demographic the best.

36 The Facebook app also allows content managers to add weblinks to posts, and that's really important. There's also no character 37 limits, and, if you guys know anything about the council 38 process, it's complicated, and it's hard to summarize the 39 40 council actions in a tweet, and so being able to add a link and 41 being able to sort of take some liberties with the length of 42 your post really also bolsters why Facebook is the appropriate 43 platform, if you were to choose just one.

44

35

Instagram, which is sort of the sister app to Facebook, doesn't allow for direct links in the posts, and it doesn't effectively drive users to council content, but it's pretty, and it a way to get awareness out there.

143

2 The main discussion that we had here is, if done properly, and that's really important to sort of focus on, and, if done 3 4 properly, social media can be an effective mechanism for spreading awareness about the council or sharing council news, 5 6 and I have, in parentheses here, "controlling the narrative". 7 The conversation around this point specifically was there are 8 people on social media that are spreading news about the 9 council. 10 11 Now, the question is whether or not you want it to be you, if

you want that news to be coming straight from the horse's mouth, or you want to be allowing different media outlets, maybe individual stakeholders or advocacy groups, to be spreading the message in your place, and so we did talk about that quite a lot. Then, also, social media is an opportunity to encourage participation in the council process.

19 I say "if done properly", and I say that with meaning, Now, 20 because you can use social media poorly, and we kind of talked 21 about some of the different councils and their approaches and 22 what's been successful and what hasn't. The Western Pacific actually -- It sounds like they started with a social media 23 24 contractor that kind of helped them figure out how and where to 25 engage in social media, and now they use a social media scheduling program, and so it's not something that you have to 26 27 think about on a daily basis, and they can sort of launch things 28 as they come. 29

30 The Caribbean Council estimated that it takes about twenty-five 31 hours a week as a time commitment from a staff member in order 32 to properly run your social media pages. The South Atlantic 33 Council does provide content on their social media pages, but 34 they don't engage in back-and-forth conversations, and so they 35 sort of don't respond to the comments that come out of those 36 forward-facing announcements, and then, us, the Gulf Council, we 37 actually use social media as a primary communication platform. 38 We put a lot of effort and thought into our social media 39 platform, and it is one of our continuously high rates of return 40 on our analytics.

42 We'll move on to websites, and, before I sort of get into what 43 we talked about, about the individual council websites, as you 44 will see on the agenda, Mary is going to come speak after I do 45 about the CCC website, and so this is just going to focus on the 46 council-specific ones.

47

41

1

48 We talked a lot about our websites and different challenges and

who manages the website through the staff, and how many people 1 2 have access, and we kind of had some conversations about that, 3 but sort of the two major points that we came out with for the 4 website was trying to figure out, first of all, the appropriateness of linking to the NOAA institutional repository, 5 6 in addition to hosting final council amendments, and most of us, 7 on our website, host our final council amendments. 8 9 Currently, the North Pacific Council is the only council that is linking to that NOAA institutional repository, and not all of 10 11 the councils sort of supported that approach, and so that was 12 sort of one of the sticking points in the conversation that we 13 had. 14 We also talked a lot about accessibility. You know, there is a 15 huge push for 508 compliance, and we discussed the idea that 16 17 there are these plug-ins that will do a couple of things. They 18 will audit your site, and they will tell you whether it's 19 accessible and tell you what you need to change and make it 20 accessible, but there's also these widgets that will actually 21 allow the user to modify the colors, the fonts, and the contrast 22 on the site, to make it more user-friendly for themselves. 23 24 We also discussed that PDFs should be allowing optical character 25 recognition that would allow text to be searchable and readable 26 by a screen reader. I know that this is really hard, for some 27 of us that have amendments that were like faxed and then scanned 28 and then little written on in hand, and that we still house in 29 that way, and we house our amendments way back in time, but there are ways that we can make sure that that character 30 31 recognition makes it so that all of our website is searchable, 32 and, also, you can use a text reader, if you needed to access 33 the site. 34 35 Next, we moved on to meeting practices, and one of the things 36 that we found, pretty early on in this discussion, is that our meeting formats and audiences vary. They vary a lot across 37 38 councils, and it makes it difficult for us to directly compare 39 how each one of them sets things up and how each one of us 40 structures the way things happen. 41 42 The one sort of major thing that we zeroed-in on here is I think 43 all of our councils are still using like a sign-up form in the 44 back of the room during our council meetings, and we ask the 45 audience to sign-in, but all of us sort of questioned whether 46 this is a legal requirement, and this is separate, by the way, 47 from the public comment sign-up, and if it's a legal requirement, or there is a functional requirement for doing 48

1 this, for having that book in the back of the room and saying, 2 hey, if you're here, sign-up. 3

4 We couldn't figure out, as the communications folks, what we do 5 with those logs, or what those are kept for, and so we just sort 6 of left that open.

7

30

36

8 The next thing that some of us learned, and this was the first 9 time that I had heard about this, is that the Magnuson-Stevens Act actually requires the councils to post the meeting notices 10 11 in places beyond the website, and beyond posting email 12 notification, and you will see this highlighted text that says -13 - You know, it's basically talking about timely notice of the meeting, and it says "except that email notification and website 14 15 postings alone are not sufficient", and this came as a huge 16 surprise to a number of us, because a lot of us have transferred 17 completely to the digital world, and we are solely hosting 18 meetings notices through online press releases, through email 19 but we learned that some other councils, campaigns, 20 understanding that this is a requirement of Magnuson, regularly 21 pay for placements in local newspapers, which was honestly kind 22 of a shock to me. 23

We had no idea, and so I would say that maybe two of all of the councils are going this, and there might be a couple more than that, but I just wanted to bring you guys' attention that, because we've all transferred so headlong into this digital world, and it looks like maybe Magnuson doesn't want us to do that quite yet.

The next thing that we did is we spoke about engaging the public in complex management, and so, clearly, council management decisions are never that simple. You know, it takes us 300 pages to write a one-action document, and how do you relay that to the average stakeholder?

37 What we sort of landed on is that the products produced by each council to communicate during different stages of the management 38 39 process do vary greatly, and they vary because we have different audiences. I would say that it sounds to me like, in the north, 40 41 in the east, and also the northwest, you guys have a very large 42 commercial audience, potentially more engaged, potentially, you 43 know, different ways of reaching them. Down in the Southeast, 44 we have an incredibly large recreational audience, and so that 45 makes the products that we produce totally different. 46

47 We also discussed hosting the federal regulations. In the 48 Southeast, both the South Atlantic Council and the Gulf Council host the regulations using what's called the Fish Rules app, and we've both kind of jumped in headlong, and we developed a commercial app recently, in conjunction with one another, and we host the recreational regulations as well, and now we are both integrating what's called the ATI for Fish Rules, which is sort of the framework of the website for Fish Rules, into our own council website.

9 I will tell you that, both in the South Atlantic and the Gulf, 10 hosting federal regulations drives more traffic, and more 11 audience, to our website than anything else. They serve as a 12 complete primary mechanism for driving people to council staff. 13 However, doing this, hosting these federal regulations, requires 14 dedicated staff time, and it is a huge responsibility. 15

We do put disclaimers on our regulations and say that they are just a summary, and you have to refer to the codified -- To the CFR, in order to get the real regulations. However, it does --You have to be accurate, if you're going to do it, and so this is, again, just like using social media, but, if you think that this is something you want to do, you have to really, really think about it and be intentional about it.

24 The next thing that we did, when we were talking about engaging 25 the public in complex management, was a readability exercise, 26 and so there's this thing called the Flesch-Kincaid scale, and 27 it's actually built into Word. If you guys are interested in 28 looking at it, I can show you offline, and it is based on -- It 29 basically judges your readability score based on your sentence 30 structure, and so whether or not what you are writing is active 31 or passive.

33 You know, I think, as a lot of scientists, we're used to using 34 that passive voice, but, in sort of direct communications, that 35 active voice makes things more readable, more easily digestible, and it also looks at the number of words per sentence and the 36 37 number of syllables that are in those sentences. Based on sort 38 of those two factors, it will spit out a grade level, and it 39 will tell you what reading level you are writing to, and so, as a group, we sort of looked at this scale, and we tried to 40 41 rewrite the introductory paragraph on the Gulf Council webpage, 42 because I thought it would be sort of a fun exercise for us to 43 play with this.

44

32

8

45 We agreed that maybe a seventh-grade reading level would be an 46 appropriate place, you know, an appropriate level, to have on 47 your homepage of your website. I think, when we looked at it, 48 it was something like freshmen year of college age for what it 1 was, and we worked really hard. We probably took forty minutes 2 to do this, and we were just unable to make it happen. We 3 couldn't get it down to a seventh-grade reading level, and I 4 think we got it to somewhere midway in high school.

5

32

40

6 What this showed us was that complex fishery management topics 7 might not always be easy to simplify. You know, we try our best, but it's really difficult to teach somebody a fishery's 8 9 thing without giving them this huge primer in advance, and so, in order to sort of work around this problem, what we all came 10 11 to was the idea that creating simple materials that have links to more complex materials, for more advanced and involved 12 13 audiences, might be the most appropriate way to do this, right, and so you can do that seventh-grade level, but it's not going 14 15 to tell you that much, and so, if you decide to simplify your outreach, you should have a second layer for those folks who are 16 17 more engaged and do a greater understanding of what the fishery 18 stuff is, in order to sort of appropriately communicate to all 19 levels of your audience. 20

Next, we talked about advisory panels. It turns out, and I know you guys discussed this a little bit yesterday, but the majority of our councils do have orientation materials already prepared for their advisory panels.

Half of the councils require members to undergo fisheries background checks, and so I thought that was interesting, just to make sure that those members do not have fisheries violations before they serve, and we discussed a lot of challenges with recruiting, challenges with meeting attendance and engagement at those meetings.

33 We learned that some councils provide daily stipends to their 34 advisory panels, but this is not a very common practice across 35 the councils, and we learned that -- I believe, again, the 36 Pacific Council allows alternate members, and so, if you are an 37 advisory panel member and you can't make it, I think, once or 38 twice in a term, you are allowed to elect somebody to sit to 39 fill that chair, if you're not able to be there.

There are virtual options from all councils now, and they bolster attendance, but not necessarily engagement, and so more people will show up, but whether or not they're, you know, folding their laundry and actually participating in the AP is, you know, sort of left as a question. Then we also heard that some of the councils do invite the AP chairs to report-out directly to the council during the council meeting.

Talking about communicating successes and challenges, the first 1 thing is the idea of communicating successes kind of raised some 2 3 red flags with this group, and it was because we wanted to make sure that we weren't going to alienate audiences. In other 4 words, the council decision is often a success for one group but 5 6 not to another, and so, you know, we talked about the idea that 7 most council communications, or all council communications, are 8 supposed to focus on the unified voice, and so whatever the 9 council votes is what is then communicated, but the idea of calling them successes sort of made us a little bit nervous, 10 11 because they're not always seen as successes by our entire 12 audience.

13

38

43

14 What we did decide was that individual councils should focus on 15 highlighting opportunities for public engagement, the transparency in the council process, engagement in t management process itself, and some of those ancillary, 16 engagement in the 17 or 18 innovative, efforts that our council, and council staff, works on, and, you know, I think we presented yesterday on that coral 19 20 grant, and so talking about some of the good things that we get 21 to do besides just take away fishing rights, and those were 22 things that sort of help engage people in the council process. 23

24 We had a couple of action items here. The first thing we 25 decided is that each council -- These are related to the CCC 26 specifically, because not only did we talk about the individual council successes and challenges, but you guys, as a body, also 27 28 have successes and challenges, and so, when we discussed the 29 CCC, we thought that it would be appropriate for each council to highlight the fishery council website, by sharing it and their 30 31 resources, to their own individual council communication network, and so just find a way to drive your own council 32 33 audience to the fishery council website, because I think that's 34 sort of the next step, right, is you look at your own region, and then you start looking at a national level, and then maybe 35 36 you get higher, at the D.C. level, but we all supported kind of 37 driving our own council audiences to the CCC stuff.

39 We also decided that the host council would develop the press 40 release, with help from other communication counterparts, 41 announcing America the Beautiful, and I think we're going to 42 touch on that this afternoon.

We realize that, since we're hosting this year, that we are ondeck to do that, and I've already got some help from this group, and then the next thing was we talked about, each year, the host council should be taking the lead on developing those press releases that highlight the CCC positions in the future, and

1 then they should be shared across the individual council 2 communication networks. 3 4 For example, I will highlight the America the Beautiful 30 by 5 30, with help from others, but the understanding is that all of the councils will then share that release to your individual 6 7 council audiences. 8 9 That conversation sort of led us to talk about these CCC hosting responsibilities, and it turns out that, when you only have to 10 do this every eight years, you kind of scramble, and you're kind 11 12 of like what we did do eight years ago, and do we have any staff 13 that was still here eight years ago when we did it the last time, and who was the last host, and what did they do, and so what we decided is that we would like to work on developing a 14 15 16 guidance document for the host council. 17 18 This document is not going to be prescriptive, and we don't 19 intend it to be like a you must, you must, you must, but it's 20 just sort of a helpful calendar of events, like, hey, in January, maybe you should start thinking about pulling together 21 22 the agenda for May, and maybe you should have briefing materials by this time, and then also creating a central repository where 23 we're going to have things like the logos, the name tags, just 24 25 some of those resources that the host council could work on. 26 27 A small subgroup of us, Maria from the North Pacific, Sandra from the Pacific, and myself, have already worked on mocking-up 28 29 a very quick version of this, and we've created a folder, so 30 that we can kind of dump things in that, that we separate by 31 year and by issue, and my next step, if you guys support this, would be to take this and bring in the AOs, because my 32 33 understanding is that the Administrative Officers from all the 34 councils had discussed doing this at some point, and I think it 35 would be appropriate to make sure that we pull in travel folks, 36 and all those folks, so that we can kind of have this shared 37 resource, where we can make it so that, every eight years, when 38 you are the host, you're not like just trying to pick up the 39 pieces, that you just have this delivered here's how we can 40 help. 41 42 Then, finally, the subcommittee had such a great time, and we 43 learned so much from one another, that we did want to request that we meet next year, and it turns out that we have a big 44 45 anniversary coming up. In 2026, which seems like it's a long time away, but it's not, we are celebrating the fiftieth 46

anniversary of the councils, and, presumably, we might want to

undertake some sort of effort to celebrate that. That's fifty

47

48

years, and that's a pretty big milestone. 1 2 3 We would like to meet again and sort of talk about what we're going to do for that, and we would also like to consider 4 engaging in some sort of professional development as a group, 5 understanding, you know, like I started, we are very insular, 6 7 and what we do is very unique, and there are all sorts of really neat training opportunities, like the Alan Alda Communicating 8 9 Science Program, and we would really love the opportunity to get together as the council communicators and engage in a training 10 11 like that. 12 13 Before I hand it over to Mary, that's the end of my 14 presentation, and I would be happy to, you know, engage in any 15 sort of discussion or answer any questions that you guys have. 16 17 Thank you, Emily. Do you all have any CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. 18 questions for our communications teams, for Emily? All right. 19 Well, thank you, Emily, and I am not seeing anything. Carrie. 20 21 DR. SIMMONS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to tell 22 everyone that I participated in this meeting, and I'm really 23 proud of all our staff across the nation that participated in this. Everybody came, and were very engaged, and, even though 24 25 I've been in deputy meetings, and executive director meetings, 26 where we've exchanged ideas, sitting in there, I still learned 27 some new things from other councils, and so thank you very much. 28 29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Carrie. David. 30 31 I was just going to echo what Carrie said. MR. WITHERELL: Ι 32 thought the outcomes of this meeting were excellent, and I support them meeting again, either next year or the year after, 33 34 to begin planning for our fiftieth anniversary. 35 36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, David. I am not seeing any more 37 comments. Well then, up next -- John. 38 39 MR. CARMICHAEL: I just wanted to echo -- I heard great feedback 40 from our folks on that meeting, and it looks like it was really 41 a good use of time, and I too would support future meetings of 42 the group. 43 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. Miguel. 45 46 MR. ROLON: Emily, do you need approval of the CCC for the idea of having a guidance for the host councils? I am personally 47 48 interested, because I am hosting next year, and so, if you have 151

that before that time, we would really appreciate it. 1

2

3 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: I would say that that's up you guys. I have to be honest with you that I'm not super familiar with how much I 4 need to wait for your direction in order to do those things, and 5 6 we've already sort of put something together, but certainly, if 7 you want to like formally support that effort, you know, by all 8 means, and don't hold back.

10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom.

11

20

25

30

32

9

12 Related to Miguel's question, are you seeking MR. NIES: approval of a meeting next year? I am just trying to remember 13 14 how many CCC workgroups are meeting next year. I know we have 15 SCS planned, and I think we've got the Habitat Workgroup 16 planned, don't we, or is that later this year? I'm just trying 17 to keep track here. Does anybody recall? I don't remember what 18 all we've got planned for next year, and not that I am going to 19 be at any of them.

21 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom, yes, the Habitat I think is planned, is 22 what I'm hearing, and I think maybe what we could do is 23 brainstorm a little bit and get back maybe when we have a little 24 bit of time, to sort of see what is on the --

26 MR. NIES: But we should probably give them an answer during the 27 workgroup discussion tomorrow. 28

29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes.

31 MR. NIES: Thanks.

33 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I am not seeing any other hands up, and so, 34 Mary, if you want to talk about the webpage, whenever you're 35 ready, and it looks like your presentation is good to go.

36 37 38

43

UPDATE TO THE REGIONAL COUNCILS' WEBSITE

39 MS. MARY SABO: Thank you. Emily did a great job covering the 40 outcomes from our meeting, and I'm going to sort of elaborate on 41 some of the website-related next steps that came out of that 42 meeting.

44 Our group kind of came up with a few -- We did sort of an overall review of the website and identified a few things that 45 we could do to make it a little bit easier to use, and also kind 46 of create new functionality for the site, and so some of those 47 things included just basic reviewing the text, streamlining it, 48

1 making it a little more plain language, adding photos where we 2 can, and we've gotten really good feedback about the joint 3 council meeting calendar that we rolled out last year, and so 4 the group felt that it would be helpful to add that to the 5 homepage, so that people can access it more easily. 6

7 The group agreed on a few changes to the navigation that would 8 reduce redundancy across pages and make it easier for people to 9 find what they're looking for. One of those that you all will probably be interested in is adding a more clear CCC tab on the 10 11 main navigation, with subpages for your meetings, letters, CMOD, 12 SC, and then other subcommittees and workgroups. Then one kind 13 of new feature that the group thought would be useful is adding a tab to the main navigation for issues, and so those would be 14 15 sort of topic-based pages that would highlight different issues, or work products, that the subcommittees and working groups are 16 17 working on. 18

19 Then, finally, the group agreed that the resources tab doesn't 20 really -- It's not the best fit for the site, because the items 21 underneath it would belong better elsewhere, and so I'm going to 22 kind of run through a few changes that we have made, and then 23 also present some proposed pages for the CCC to review and 24 discuss. 25

Before launching into any changes, a subgroup of us, which 26 27 included Emily and then Nick, at the South Atlantic Council, did a short discovery exercise, where we asked a few council 28 29 staffers, non-comms people, and then executive directors to complete a few tasks, find things on the website, and tell us 30 31 how many clicks it took to find them. The idea was kind of to 32 get a baseline, so we could compare before and after, and so, I 33 guess, either fortunately or unfortunately, most people were 34 able to find things pretty easily on the current site, before we 35 made any changes, but we did get some good feedback on things 36 that were confusing or difficult to find. 37

One thing that was eye-opening for us is that the search bar on 38 39 the site was pretty non-functional. Unless you put in the exact name of a document or search term, it would often come up with 40 41 zero results. Some people had difficulty finding CCC letters, 42 and, also, the council meeting calendar is kind of not obvious, if you don't know that it's there, and difficulty finding old 43 44 CCC meetings, and then we found that some of the FMP links on 45 council webpages were broken.

46

47 I am going to just run through a few pages, which have already 48 been revised, and some of them might look -- Some of them were

just rolled out yesterday, and so pages might look a little bit 1 different today, but not -- I tried to make sure that everything 2 would be easily findable still, so it wouldn't be disruptive 3 4 during the meeting. 5 6 On the homepage, we streamlined the text a lot, and we had two 7 kind of pretty heavy paragraphs, previously, and we cut it down to just a couple of sentences, and then we added the council 8 9 meeting calendar to the bottom of the page, and so what you're 10 looking at now is kind of the top-half of the page, and, if you 11 scroll down, you'll see the calendar. 12 13 We also revised the footer and added a new fully functional search bar, and so this one is a Google programable search tool, 14 15 which works pretty much like Google, because we found out, 16 during our discovery exercise, that a lot of people are going to 17 Google to search for stuff on this site, if they don't know where to find it, and we also cut down, or eliminated, most of 18 the -- We had long lists of links in the footer, and so we took 19 20 those out, so the page is just a little bit cleaner. 21 22 We also created a new about the council page, and so you'll find this on the councils' tab on the website, and this just kind of 23 24 includes a lot of the content that's in that two-page overview 25 that we created a couple of years ago, and it's kind of a broad 26 overview of what the councils are, and I kind of thought about 27 it as though -- You know, if somebody searched for "regional fishery management councils", this might be something that comes 28 29 up in the top search results. Lower down on the page, and it's 30 not shown in this screenshot here, we also have links to all the 31 council publications and The Managing our Nation's Fisheries 32 conference materials. 33 34 There are no major changes to the existing content of the 35 individual council pages, but we did add a new section for 36 upcoming meetings on those pages, and so that pulls the meetings 37 from the joint council calendar for that specific calendar, and so it shouldn't be any additional workload for staff to maintain 38 this, and it should just automatically populate with anything 39 that's added to the joint calendar, but it allows someone to see 40 41 just that council's meetings on that page, and we also checked 42 all the FMP links and made sure they were all working correctly. 43 This is a new CCC landing page, and you will find it under the 44 45 Council Coordination Committee tab, and I think it's currently labeled "About the CCC", and this is kind of the landing page 46 47 for everything CCC related, and it has links to meetings, comment letters, CMOD, SCS, subcommittees and working groups, 48

terms of reference, meeting history, and then we added this 1 2 nifty little table, which has been handy a few times at the meeting already, because, several times, people have said, oh, 3 when does so-and-so host, and so it gives you kind of an eight-4 5 year outlook on meeting host duties. 6 7 This is a new page that will house a list of the current subcommittees and working groups, and so these are just little 8 9 accordion tabs that contain short descriptions of each group's 10 function and what they do. In some cases, there are related 11 groups, like the CMOD steering committee, and that description 12 contains a link to the CMOD workshop page. 13 14 No major changes to the CCC meeting page, except that we added 15 this drop-down, where you can find a meeting by year, and that's 16 kind of responsive to the feedback that we got that, if you're looking for a meeting from 2011 or 2012, you might not know that 17 18 you have to keep scrolling down the page, and so that gives you 19 a way to quickly jump to it. 20 21 This is a proposed new section of the site, and it would be kind 22 of added as a new tab on the menu. Because the CCC hasn't approved this concept, or the pages, they're not added to the 23 24 site navigation yet, and all the pages are listed as draft for 25 This kind of came out of the idea that was CCC review. discussed at the last October meeting that we use the website to 26 27 do more to promote CCC positions, or issues, or joint work 28 products, and so I'm presenting this kind of as proof-of-29 concept, to see if this is something that the CCC would like to 30 add to the website and would like us to continue working on. 31 32 Types of content that would be included on these pages are 33 consensus statements, comment letters, subcommittee workgroup 34 reports and work products, and then things like, a couple of 35 years ago, the councils did a series of fact sheets on a few 36 issues, like forage, climate change, and then timelines for FMP 37 development, and so that kind of thing would go on here, and it 38 wouldn't be, you know, any -- We wouldn't want to have long 39 lists of individual council documents or reports on these pages, 40 because that would just be hard to maintain. 41 42 I am just going to do a quick run-through of the five pages that 43 were created for this section, as proof-of-concept, and so MSA 44 reauthorization would go on this page, but we already have that 45 one live, and we've had that for several years, but that would 46 kind of be considered one of the issues. 47 48 Area-based management is one that the CCC has said that they

would like to have a dedicated page for, since we've got the 1 report, and then, also, the GIS work that the group has done, 2 3 and, before I go further, I should mention that, on the meeting 4 page, beneath the link to the presentation, there is a link to 5 the issues landing page, and so, if anybody would like to go and 6 explore the pages on your own, that link is there. This page 7 would contain all the work of the ABM Subcommittee. 8 9 The EEJ page, a similar concept, would mostly focus on the work of the EEJ Working Group, and, again, I tried to think about it, 10 somebody said, "fishery management councils 11 if and, and environmental justice", and searched for that in Google, this 12 13 might be a page that we would want to come up in search results. 14

15 The third one is forage fish, and so this has just a brief kind 16 of background paragraph and then the CCC consensus position and 17 then any councils that made the forage fact sheets a couple of 18 years ago, and those are linked on there.

20 Marine national monuments, I chose this -- This topic was chosen 21 for one of these pages because the CCC has commented so much on 22 this issue, and so we have quite a few letters. There is a 23 resolution, and then, also, a consensus statement on other federal statutes that relates to this, and so it seemed like 24 25 something that we might want to find a way to compile all of that and put it together, so that it's more easily accessible 26 27 for someone. I know that I personally have been asked, several times, for links to CCC letters on this issue, and other 28 resources, and so this would be a handy place to be able to 29 30 direct them.

31

39

19

Then, finally, we have the climate change page, and so this has the CCC position, links to the two SCS workshops that addressed climate-related issues, and the council fact sheets on climate change, and so next steps would be we would welcome any feedback on the website changes as a whole, specifically the concept of the issues pages, any additional topics that the CCC would like to see developed at this time.

The Council Communications Group is going to continue to refine and update the site, as needed, and I would encourage anyone to provide comments, feedback, suggestions, at any time. I got the best compliment on the site this week, which is that Tom Nies said that he actually finds it kind of useful, and so I guess I will leave it there, but I would really appreciate any feedback. Thank you.

47

48 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Mary. Maybe we should just stop

1 right there. There are several folks with hands up. Eric, go 2 ahead.

MR. REID: We probably should. Quit while you're ahead, for 4 Anyway, I disagree with Tom, because I think it's 5 sure. extremely useful, and so sorry, Tom, but I'm going to supersede 6 7 you. I think it's really -- It's a great piece of work, and I commend you, Ms. Sabo, for doing that. I think the calendar is 8 like really the most -- The best thing, because we're all 9 involved in multiple council stuff, and, you know, to try to 10 11 figure out when you even have a hole in the calendar to do 12 something is really helpful, as opposed to going to everybody's 13 website, which my click count is extremely larger than one to three clicks, and I didn't use a computer until COVID hit, and 14 15 so it made it a lot easier for me, and I do appreciate it. 16

17 As far as the issues go, the issues pages, I do like the ones 18 that you have chosen as new, and I think those are very useful 19 as well, and so I would support moving forward with the issues, 20 and so I don't know if you need a motion, or a consensus 21 statement, but I'm happy to make that, Mr. Chair. 22

23 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Well, let's hold that thought for a 24 minute, Eric, and see if there's other comments, and then we can 25 figure out what we need to do. Kitty.

27 MS. SIMONDS: So I do want to congratulate the ladies for a 28 great job. I don't know if you all recall when Terry Leitzell 29 was the head of fisheries, and I can't remember the year, but 30 one of his messages to the councils was that education and outreach was very, very important to the process, because it is 31 complex, and, you know, people won't understand how complex it 32 is, and all they get is what we're working on and then the final 33 34 decision, and so all of this work is really very, very important, and so, you know, I support whatever Eric down there 35 36 said, and so this was in the 1980s, and so that's when we started a newsletter, in the 1980s, and have continued since 37 38 then.

39

26

3

40 Then my other point is you mentioned the fifty-year anniversary 41 next year, and my chairman here is, you know, nudging me here to 42 volunteer to help you with this, because I think I'm the only person that has been here from the beginning, as a staff person, 43 44 and we have published a forty-year history of the council, which 45 includes a background on what was happening at the time. The 46 last time we met in Puerto Rico, I gave extensive remarks about 47 what was happening then and how the councils evolved, and so 48 that's my contribution to help you folks with the fiftieth

1 anniversary. Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Kitty. John. 4 MR. GOURLEY: Actually, if we do the fiftieth anniversary even, 5 6 in the year 2030, Kitty will still be here, and so, you know, that's why she'll -- She'll still be here, and she can take it, 7 and she'll have a historical knowledge, from prehistoric days 8 9 through the current knowledge. Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Not seeing any other hands up, Eric was 12 suggesting a -- I mean, I don't, obviously, hear any opposition, 13 or any negative here, but I'm thinking, Eric, maybe just a really brief motion, in fact kind of maybe towards the second 14 15 bullet there, that we support what's going on and feel free to 16 move forward kind of thing, and I don't know if you would prefer 17 to make that or not. 18 19 MR. REID: I am actually happy to make it, but I'm also happy to wait just a second, until they put it on the screen, so I can 20 21 read it into the record, if you don't mind, Mr. Chair. 22 23 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Sure. We'll wait here for just a second. 24 25 MR. REID: Okay. Thanks. I don't think that I will have to provide any rationale, if I should get a second, Dr. Chair. 26 27 28 MS. MUEHLSTEIN: If I can just -- So these issue pages, what you 29 all noticed is, as you looked at them, there is a link on the 30 meetings material page that you can look at them. I see where 31 this motion is going, and I'm very happy for that, because Mary 32 has put a lot of work into them, and I think it would be great 33 if we can publish them. 34 35 However, I think we still want to leave those pages open to 36 edits, and so what I would like to make sure is -- You know, hearing the support potentially for this is that we also have an 37 opportunity still for -- If you go on those pages, and you want 38 some of the language tweaked, or you want us to -- Please don't 39 40 hesitate to give us feedback on what we put up there, even once 41 it's published. 42 43 MR. REID: Okay. Well, let's see if this works for you. 44 45 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Eric, go ahead, if you want to read that into 46 the record for us, please. 47 48 MR. REID: Okay. Thank you. I move that the CCC supports the

1 modifications to the U.S. Regional Councils website and 2 continued updates and maintenance. 3 4 MR. LUISI: Second. 5 6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. It was seconded by Mike. Okay. I 7 don't see any discussion on this. Go ahead, Marcos. 8 9 MR. HANKE: Did you have a second already? 10 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: We did have a second. Thank you. Seeing no 12 other discussion on this motion, is there any opposition to this 13 motion? Seeing no opposition, the motion carries. Thank you, 14 Eric. 15 16 All right. If there's no other business that needs to come 17 before the Communications Subcommittee, then we will move on in our agenda. Thank you, ladies, for those presentations. 18 Up 19 next, we'll begin our discussions on our International Fisheries 20 Issues, and the first person up for that is Kitty, to discuss 21 the U.N. Marine Biodiversity of Areas Beyond National 22 Jurisdiction. 23 24 INTERNATIONAL FISHERIES ISSUES 25 UNITED NATIONS MARINE BIODIVERSITY OF AREAS BEYOND NATIONAL 26 JURISDICTION (BBNJ) 27 28 MS. SIMONDS: Great. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: That will be Tab 12. 31 32 MS. SIMONDS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The fifth and final session concluded in March of this year and established the first ever 33 34 framework to implement ABMTs or MPAs on the high seas. The 35 ability to create MPAs, however, would be decided by the RFMOs. 36 37 There were two groups of delegations, one made up of developing island states, and we call them SIDS in the Pacific, and 38 developing nations in the global south, and so this group wanted 39 to ensure that they would benefit from activities on the high 40 41 seas by industrialized states like the U.S., the E.U., Japan, 42 and Korea that want to continue freedom on the high seas. 43 44 The developing states wanted a stake in activities like fisheries and seabed mining, and so there's a lengthy decision-45 making process, with lots of criteria to implement those areas. 46 47 A science and technical body, yet to be developed, will review 48 implementation. 159

2 The U.S. supported keeping existing relevant bodies and 3 instruments as the deciding entities. The U.S., and a group of other 4 like-minded countries with fishing, shipping, and interests on the high seas, wanted BBNJ to only recommend ABMT 5 The existing bodies, like the RFMOs, would decide to 6 tools. 7 implement them or not, and so we did not want BBNJ to replace or 8 have authority over RFMOs. There will be a meeting in June to 9 formalize BBNJ. 10 11 There is a growing will to limit fisheries in areas on the high 12 seas where U.S. fisheries now operate, and we agree that there 13 is a need to tackle real threats, like IUU fishing and limit destructive fishing operations, but we also need to make sure 14 that BBNJ does not harm well-managed fisheries like those of the 15 16 U.S. 17 18 Most U.S. waters in the Western Pacific are closed to fishing. 19 We, therefore, rely mostly on the high seas, and so we asked 20 ourselves like what are our choices on where to fish on the high seas, due to climate change, and, for the U.S. purse seiners, 21 22 they would probably end up having to buy more days in Pacific Island nations, and, currently, they pay \$13,000 a day to fish 23 24 in those nations, and, regarding our Hawaiian longline industry, 25 we have no idea what would happen to them, and so our concern always is impacting our underserved territories. Closing or 26 27 limiting the high seas across could reduce the ability, you 28 know, of our -- Especially in American Samoa, where the largest 29 employer is the territory. 30 31 This is a map of foreign fishing around U.S. zones in our 32 Pacific region, and so I just wanted to leave you with that. Foreign fishing surrounds all of our areas there, including, you 33 34 know, Hawaii, where -- This is one of those global watch views, 35 and so, obviously, it changes all the time, but you can see that 36 U.S. territories and the State of Hawaii are surrounded by 37 foreign fishing, and so we have huge concerns, and so I thank 38 you very much, Mr. Chair. 39 40 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Kitty. We'll open that up 41 for questions. All right. Seeing none, thank you, Kitty. That 42 will move us into the next section, which was an Update on the Committee on Fisheries, or COFI, and, Carlos, if you're ready 43 for that, they will pull that up. 44 45 46 COMMITTEE ON FISHERIES (COFI) SUMMARY OVERVIEW 47 48 MR. FARCHETTE: I don't have a -- First, I want to apologize to

1

all of the councils, because I got there a day-and-a-half late. 1 It seems like Murphy's Law was riding on my shoulders all the 2 way and all the way back, and my first flight out of St. Croix 3 4 was cancelled, and that just threw everything completely off, but some of the successes of the U.S. were they achieved gear 5 6 and bait modifications to mitigate impacts on sea turtles 7 proposed by Brazil, Gabon, Egypt, Canada, Turkey, and the E.U. to use circle hooks in shallow-set longlines. 8 This would 9 increase post-release survival. 10

11 Plans were also discussed for future support of impacts from 12 climate change and to build climate-resilient fisheries in the 13 Atlantic Ocean. An agreement was made for a pre-agreed framework to set catch limits and to allow for more effective 14 management of stocks, which includes a TAC for 2023 through 2025 15 16 of 2,726 metric tons of bluefin for the Western Atlantic. ICCAT 17 agreed to expand existing measures designed to combat IUU. 18 Countries are experiencing similar problems, like everyone else, 19 when it comes to capacity for inspections, and that was really 20 highlighted with COVID, where there were no monitors onboard 21 vessels.

There also was a little tiff between Senegal and Gambia over an IUU with a vessel not flagged by one of the countries. Current management measures were adopted, including caps for bigeye and yellowfin, and those numbers are in the proposed tracker that was distributed, and what's up on the screen, and, if anyone wants to go through that, after you pass all the "whereas", it makes for a good read for someone who has been to the sessions.

31 Countries agreed to develop management evaluations aimed at 32 adopting a TAC for North Atlantic swordfish from 2024 onward. 33 In years of negotiations, resulting in allowing retention of 34 South Atlantic shortfin make over the next two years. They must 35 retain dead makos, instead of finning and discarding the 36 carcass, and they also agreed with the release of live makos, and, like I mentioned before, the proposed tracker has a lot 37 38 more extensive information, if anybody wants to take a look at 39 that.

40

22

41 I've got to say that it's a little difficult to keep up with 42 ICCAT when we only attend a meeting every few years, because you 43 miss a lot of what happens throughout the other years, and my 44 hat is off to the U.S. contingent. They worked long hours after the meetings. After 5:00, we had a meeting again from 6:30 to 45 46 8:00 to pre-plan and debrief and strategize for the following 47 days, and they were like ten-hour days. In 2024, Egypt is 48 hosting the next ICCAT, and, tentatively, for 2025, Cote

d'Ivoire will host the meeting. That's all I have. Thank you. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Carlos. Any questions 4 for Carlos regarding COFI? Miguel. 5 6 MR. ROLON: Not a question for Carlos, but, at the last meeting, 7 we decided that the CCC will send somebody to represent us at the FAO meetings, just like this one. If anyone wants to 8 9 volunteer for next year, or can I just send somebody from the CFMC, because our time for hosting the meetings will be next 10 11 year, but we are open for anybody who would like to participate. 12 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John, thoughts on that? 13 14 15 MR. CARMICHAEL: I know that Jessica McCawley, from Florida, was 16 signed up to go at one point, and she didn't, and she has let me 17 know that, if an opportunity came up, where someone else 18 couldn't go that was obligated to, that she would be interested, 19 and so, Miguel, we could touch base, maybe afterwards, and see. 20 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay, and you're proposing that, and maybe we 21 22 can -- I will add that to our list, to just tie up a few loose ends at the end, to see who we can identify, if that works for 23 24 you, Miguel, and we'll come up with someone to send after that. 25 Okay. 26 Well, that -- Since it's, I guess, just about 10:00, and I don't 27 want to start -- The next topic is our Scientific Coordination 28 29 Subcommittee, and I think there will be a lot of discussion 30 there, and so maybe what we'll do here is take a short break, 31 and then that will leave us the rest of the morning to deal with 32 that, and that will take us to lunch, and so why don't we take a 33 break until 10:15 and then meet back here to take up that 34 section. 35 36 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 37 38 Next up is the 7th Scientific Coordination CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 39 Subcommittee Report and the associated presentations, and so this is going to be found on Tab 13, and, as far as the first 40 41 presentation, Diana is going to be doing that one, and it looks 42 like your presentation is up, and so, Diana, whenever you're 43 ready. 44 7TH SCIENTIFIC COORDINATION SUBCOMMITTEE (SCS) REPORT 45 46 47 DR. DIANA STRAM: Thank you. Good morning. I am going to be providing an overview of our SCS7 that we just held in Sitka, 48 162

Alaska, August 15 to 17. This is the proceedings and where 1 2 they're available on our website, and they're also, obviously, available on your agenda, as well as now on the combined CCC 3 4 pages that you just heard about prior to this. 5 6 I want to acknowledge our SCS7 chair, Dr. Anne Hollowed. She 7 did a fantastic job chairing this meeting, as well as working on the proceedings, and she was our long-time NPFMC SSC chair, and 8 9 has since retired, just to give her credit where it's due. 10 11 Our meeting was organized into three different focus sessions, 12 and the first was how to incorporate ecosystem indicators into 13 the stock assessment process, the second was developing information in support of management of interacting species and 14 15 consideration of EBFM, and the third one was how to assess and 16 develop fishing level recommendations for species exhibiting 17 distributional changes. 18 19 The way that we organized this meeting was each focus session 20 had one or two keynote speakers, and then we had a range of case studies from around the different regions, under each of the 21 22 different focus sessions. Following the presentation of the case studies, we then went into breakout sessions. We had a 23 24 series of trigger questions related to each focus session, and 25 we met in breakout sessions with a moderator and rapporteur, 26 addressed different trigger questions, and then combined those 27 all into a summary and reconvened in plenary session, and we did 28 that for all three of these sessions. 29 I would note that, in the proceedings, that you have the full 30 31 text for the keynote speakers for each section and a much larger 32 summary of the breakout session discussions than I will go into 33 today. The case study abstracts are also included in your 34 proceedings, and then there's a section that I will go over in 35 more detail at the end of this presentation on the overall SCS7 36 recommendations. 37 38 Starting with the first session on the ecosystem indicators and 39 the assessment process, we had a number of different themes that we were addressing, and I will just touch on a couple of them, 40 41 because there's a lot of material that's in the proceedings 42 themselves, and so the first theme on the criteria and 43 diagnostics that are needed for acceptance of an indicator into 44 an assessment, we talked about a range of different themes 45 across the groups, the process for selection of an ecosystem 46 indicator, considerations for inclusion of an indicator, and 47 some forecasting challenges. 48

163

1 In general, there are regional frameworks that are including 2 some ecosystem indicators, but there is no national criteria for 3 how to do so, and this is going to be a theme across all of our 4 recommendations. There was strong support for retaining the 5 regional ability to address things regionally, without needing, 6 necessarily, a national criteria. 7

8 With respect to responsiveness and the ability to address 9 environmental anomalies, we had discussions of both -- How you 10 respond is going to depend on both the quality of information as 11 well as the life history of the species. We talked about shortterm responses to environmental events right now, things that 12 13 come up like a marine heat wave immediately that you need to address, without the ability to do this in a large, drawn-out 14 15 modeling process, and many regions are using buffers to address 16 that, or are looking at qualitative risk assessments, but, in 17 the long-term, people are moving towards looking at MSEs, and, 18 again, I would note that the SCS6 focused on MSEs and looking at 19 long-term and how we model moving forward under these different 20 events. 21

The thing that we had a lot of discussion on is how you tease out a short-term from a long-term event, and so a short-term event you might be able to address immediately with those measures, but, looking at a long-term event, when do you make the decision that you are actually in a regime shift, and then you need to move to different biological reference points or how you incorporate those.

30 We also had discussions about how moving to these different 31 reference points and the limitations and the management flexibility. Particularly, we discussed National Standard 1 32 33 Guidelines for rebuilding, and that those rebuilding timeframes 34 might -- The rigidity of it might need to be adjusted, if we 35 move to different regimes and to have to different 36 responsiveness. 37

38 Our second session -- I'm sorry. With respect to adaptivity, if 39 we could just go back for a second, adaptivity in the management framework, and, again, this was us discussing the pros and cons 40 41 of formalizing that kind of process, the indicator incorporation 42 in management advice. Across different regions, we're using 43 ecological and socioeconomic profiles to assemble qualitative 44 information that could be used, and, also, the ESRs that many 45 regions are producing, and these kinds of information are 46 providing SSCs the ability to consider non-traditional ways of 47 setting reference points, but the key here is that we maintain 48 the transparency and that the SSCs can articulate the 1 transparency of how that information is being used in that kind 2 of qualitative manner.

4 Again, there is regional considerations that people wish to 5 retain, and, also, there was a lot expressed, across all of 6 these different sessions, about capacity concerns.

8 The next session, Eva Plaganyi was our keynote speaker, and 9 looking at how we incorporate ecosystem information into fishery 10 management advice. She provided an overview, and we discussed an overview of different MSEs, in particular MICE models, which 11 12 are Models of Intermediate Complexity for Environmental assessment, and how you would use these kinds of models to look 13 at overall ecosystem productivity, and, in terms of ecosystem 14 15 considerations, predator-prey interactions, in order to kind of 16 model an overall ecosystem productivity to look at the reference 17 points for harvest levels. In general, across all regions, 18 while there is work underway, it's in limited use in adjusting 19 reference points at this point. 20

21 also discussed how non-target considerations can be We 22 incorporated with the harvest control rules. Again, in general, these are not being incorporated into harvest control rules. 23 24 There are some examples across regions, but, generally, harvest 25 of non-target species are being addressed through spatialtemporal measures, through bycatch caps, and there are some 26 27 regional examples. 28

29 We have one for stellar sea lion prey species incorporated into our harvest control rules, but, generally, non-target species 30 31 are being addressed in different manners, and then we looked at management framework and system-level considerations, and so, 32 33 again, looking at overall ecosystem productivity from the whole 34 ecosystem that you're managing, in terms of harvest levels, and 35 this is where these ecosystem models could help to incorporate, 36 in a data-rich setting, estimating ecosystem productivity, but 37 it's important for the SSCs to communicate with the stakeholders 38 and the regional managers if you are looking at changing your 39 reference points and your harvest control rules based on 40 ecosystem productivity.

42 One major theme throughout the entire SCS7 was the need for both 43 transparency and increased engagement and communication, in 44 order to retain and hold onto the stakeholder confidence in the 45 management system.

46

41

3

7

47 Our third session was addressing species with different 48 distributions and how we address this, and we had a lot of

discussion about how to address changing fish distributions in 1 2 stock assessments and the survey implications of that. 3 Generally, there has been limited advances to account for distributional shifts outside and beyond the surveys themselves, 4 in order to account for those uncertainties, and so most of our 5 6 surveys -- Some have expanded in response to changing 7 distributions, but, in general, they tend to be fixed, when the 8 populations are moving, and there's a mismatch between the 9 survey, the stock assessment, and where the fishermen are 10 fishing, and so we need to begin to address those, with respect 11 to that. 12

13 We discussed, again, the adaptivity of management framework to addressing these changing distributions, because it does affect 14 15 both the management and the assessment and jurisdictional issues that arise when you are discussing, and you touched on this yesterday, the jurisdictional issues that arise when you have 16 17 18 quotas that are established for certain regions, but the fish 19 are moving into different regions. Again, this stressed much of 20 the discussion about increased coordination and communication. 21

22 Before I moving into the overall findings, we have some recommendations for the future SCS meetings and planning. 23 We had the benefit of council member participation, and our Vice 24 Chair, Mr. Bill Tweit, was able to participate in all of the meeting, including the breakout sessions, and that was really 25 26 helpful, to have that tie-in to council member participation, in 27 28 terms of those discussions that we were having scientifically, 29 as we had a lot of discussions with management implications, and so it was really great to have that kind of a tie-in and not 30 31 just have it only be SSC members. 32

33 We, obviously, had a very long lag in planning for SCS7 and then 34 being able to host it, and we were pretty grateful to be able to 35 host it in-person, and I think communication amongst the regions 36 was greatly enhanced by having that in-person communication. 37

38 We still recommend that there be biennial workshops, and we had 39 discussion of whether or not SCS should happen on an annual 40 basis, but, in general, the participants felt that a biennial basis, which is what it has been prior to COVID, obviously, is 41 42 really still the recommended approach, but that there is really 43 an increased need for off-year communication amongst the SSC members and across the regions, and so that was something that 44 45 came up in every session, and in general in our recommendations, 46 is to find some way to have additional off-year communication in 47 between the SCS meetings. 48

166

Moving into the key findings then, the first one is that 1 2 councils need to start preparing now for increasingly-complex 3 management decisions due to climate change. We know that there is profound fishery implications in the next twenty years, and 4 5 we're already experiencing them now, in many of the regions, and 6 we need pathways to sustain fisheries in this non-stationary 7 environment. We spent a lot of time talking about non-8 stationary environments and how we address that. 9

10 We have competing use of marine systems, abrupt shifts in 11 distribution and abundance, changes in the ecosystem structure and function, and impacts on sectors and communities, as well as 12 13 data collection methodologies, and so we need to be finding equitable management pathways, adaptation pathways, and that's 14 15 challenging, and it is also something that will involve 16 stakeholders and confidence. 17

18 The next is that investment is needed in the development of new 19 data collection and analysis tools that are responsive to 20 changing conditions. Again, looking at adaptation options, but 21 retaining the regional differences and that kind of flexibility, 22 and so we discussed and put forward models with ecosystem linkages that are under development, climate-informed risk 23 assessments, and then performance of management 24 strategy evaluations, and those are longer-term things, and so we have 25 26 short-term fixes that people are addressing right now, but 27 looking longer term, in terms of this broader modeling, suites 28 of models with different levels of complexity. 29

We discussed how data management could be strengthened for 30 31 regional collaboration, both streamlining as well as moving more towards open-source data, and so open-source data flows that can 32 33 be exchanged between regions easily, and then all of this really 34 stressed the fact that we're moving towards interdisciplinary 35 research teams, and, in doing so, we really need to increase our student training and bring forward an interdisciplinary student 36 37 of stock assessment that can work within this field in the 38 future.

39

The third one is that councils need to be -- SSCs and councils 40 41 need to transition towards a more sophisticated toolbox, and so 42 we need to transition from reliance on indicators that are based 43 on observations to looking at informed dynamic simulations of the marine ecosystem that's tuned to observations, and so moving 44 45 from just the observations to moving into these complex models, 46 and we need to begin scenario planning now to avoid reactive 47 responses.

48

1 This calls upon additional flexibility in the management 2 process, and we tend to be kind of siloed, and as well as the 3 diversification of fishing portfolios to address environmental 4 change, whether it's abrupt or long-term, and so we want to 5 create more opportunities for strategic and creative thinking, 6 at both the national and the regional levels. 7

8 Finally, stakeholder engagement is critical for this adaptive 9 management to be successful, and we spent a lot of time discussing stakeholder engagement. It's going to require 10 11 engagement from all stakeholders, and not just fishing participants, but managers and other affected individuals and 12 13 stakeholders, and, as things get more complex, due to the uncertainty in addressing environmental variability, we need to 14 15 up the stakeholders and the scientists for better bring 16 communication and transparency of this, in order for it to be more clearly communicated and have a more inclusive process. 17

Moving forward, a couple of things to consider then, in summary, 19 20 and so we want to begin to be cataloging our regional efforts in 21 addressing climate change and these non-stationary conditions, 22 and how do we begin scenario planning to avoid reactive 23 responses, and how can we learn from other regions, and that was 24 one real theme across SCS7, is we have a lot that we can learn 25 from other regions, that can either help us improve our own 26 regional toolbox or create more creative environments in moving 27 things forward. 28

18

36

We need to be cognizant of how best to communicate among the councils and among the SSCs and effective stakeholder communication across all regions, and so two questions for the CCC about the SCS7 is what are the most important messages that the CCC sees from the SCS7 recommendations, and is there a need for a roadmap and a timeline for integrating various aspects of climate change and implementing changes?

37 With that, I would like to just thank all of the SSC delegates, our chair, Anne Hollowed, all of the moderators and rapporteurs 38 39 that we solicited and volunteered from across the regions. Ιt 40 takes a lot to pull this meeting off, and I would also give a 41 huge kudos to the North Pacific Council staff, both admin and 42 analysts, that came and helped organize this meeting, run this 43 meeting, and help in providing with putting the proceedings together in-house, and, with that, I am happy to take questions. 44 45

46 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Stram, for that 47 informative -- It sounds like you had a very productive meeting, 48 and, with that, I will go ahead and open it up. Tom. 2 MR. NIES: Thank you. It sounds like a really good meeting, and 3 I just have one question about one of the comments in there, 4 really early, and it's a little bit in the weeds, but I wanted 5 to highlight it. I forget which slide it's on, but it says the 6 regional fishery management councils need to consider models 7 with ecosystem linkages.

9 I quess I'm struggling to understand how we do that. I don't know how it happens in your region, but, in our region, we have 10 very little influence on what models the Science Center selects 11 12 in order to do assessments and provide environmental advice, and 13 I will use the poster child, and there have been published papers on Southern New England and Mid-Atlantic winter flounder 14 15 that included, explicitly included, temperature in the models, but, you know, it didn't get entered into our management from 16 17 the assessment process for years, because it wasn't being used 18 by the Science Center. I don't know -- I guess it's more a 19 question, and do the other councils have more success in 20 influencing what models they're provided with?

22 DR. STRAM: Thank you for the question. I think -- At least I 23 can speak for my region, but, in general, that conversation at 24 SCS7 was based a lot on the MSEs that are ongoing, and they are 25 different regions. ongoing in Whether they're being incorporated into management, that's kind of a disconnect that 26 27 at least we find in our region, is that an MSE is occurring, but 28 that is such a long-term process, where are looking at a short 29 term to set harvest levels.

30

21

1

8

31 Looking at these overall ecosystem productivity models that are 32 being developed, we also don't have the ability to say exactly what models we would like to see brought forward from our 33 34 Science Center. We do have some stock assessment models, one 35 sole, yellowfin that incorporates temperature for and 36 catchability, and so that has gone forward, and we also -- As 37 you heard a little bit yesterday, there is an ongoing ACLIM 38 effort, and that's something looking forward to predicting 39 responses across the ecosystem, with different climate forcing 40 and projections moving forward, and so some of that is ongoing, 41 and I guess we're hopeful that the CEFI effort will also be 42 incorporating that kind of information, moving forward, but I 43 think if that helps, and that's sort of the reason why it was 44 characterized that way.

45

46 One session was organized around what ecosystem models are 47 currently being put forward, but recognizing that, across all 48 the regions, we're not using that information yet, and so what we're trying to highlight is, if there are these models coming forward, how do we incorporate that in our region, in addressing both ecosystem productivity and harvest levels, because there's things that are ongoing, and they're not coming into the management process, and so we're trying to highlight that disconnect and how that can go forward.

8 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom, a follow-up?

10 MR. NIES: I will try to be brief, and I would agree with that 11 disconnect, but our -- My experience has been that it's like 12 pushing a rope, that, you know, we try and encourage that stuff 13 to get used, and, you know, it's entirely up to the assessment 14 scientists and the review panels whether they approve it going 15 forward or not. Thanks.

17 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. I'm looking around the room, and 18 are there other questions or comments? Cisco, and then, Bill, 19 I've got you next.

That was a really good presentation, and maybe 21 DR. WERNER: 22 addressing some of the points that Tom brought. I think, in particular, the models that you were talking about, in the 23 Northeast, you know, the WHAM model, the Woods Hole assessment 24 25 model and such, and these models are evolving, in the sense that 26 how they include various components of environment, whether 27 it's, you know, ecosystems directly or proxies of ecosystem, through temperature and such, and I think those are, you know, 28 29 sort of at the front line, or frontend, of research and research 30 to operation. 31

We're trying to, and this is just a brief background on how 32 33 we're trying to integrate these different advances that are 34 coming out from CEFI, which is perhaps on one end of research, 35 to things like WHAM, which is a little bit more applied, and 36 something that we refer to as the Fishery Integrated Modeling 37 System, FIMS, and it's a place where all of the stock assessment 38 folks come together and try to develop a common trunk, if you 39 will, of the modeling approaches, which might make it then easier, for us in developing the models and the councils 40 41 reviewing the models, or asking what's in there, to ask 42 questions about that system, as opposed to a number of different 43 ones being proposed at different rates and such.

44

7

9

16

45 I think this is a -- I think you had it in your slides 46 somewhere, but we're sort of at a turning point, in terms of how 47 we include all of these ecosystem considerations, non-48 stationarity and such, and I think you had a conclusion in there

about all of us needing to figure out how do we take these steps 1 2 together, and I think there's a number of examples that were out 3 there that suggest that we probably do want that roadmap that you pointed to, and how do we develop this roadmap, so that 4 5 these changes, which are, as I said yesterday, not nice to know, 6 but need to know, in terms of how we move forward, and how do we 7 make sure that they're appropriate for actionable advice, you 8 know, to management, or done jointly, and so, again, I think 9 this is a really excellent presentation and call for us to think 10 jointly about how we move forward. Thank you.

11 12

13

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Cisco. Bill.

Thanks, Mr. Chair, and thanks, Diana, for both the 14 MR. TWEIT: 15 presentation, but also your work with Dr. Hollowed in putting 16 together the findings. I've been thinking a lot about these 17 four key findings, as well as --- In fact, if you can go back to 18 the, moving forward, things to consider slide, and I had the privilege of participating in this, and I do, just as an aside, 19 20 want to second that, as folks think about SCS8, ensuring that there's some capacity for a couple of council members to attend 21 22 and participate. 23

24 It helped me get a head start on thinking about these things, 25 but I found the four key findings to be extremely useful, and 26 I've been sort of applying them fairly broadly as I think about 27 next steps on climate change, and hence my question to Kelly, and still my suggestion, that I think the agency should be using 28 29 those as a framework for thinking about how to devote the IRA 30 funds to the portion that's going to address climate change, and 31 I think those four key findings provide a lot of guidance.

32

33 They're a real challenge to us as a council, to us as councils 34 collectively, and those are not going to be easy, and even just 35 relatively simple ones, like increased outreach the to 36 stakeholders, and it's not just a little bit more social messaging, and it's learning how to explain some pretty complex 37 things, learning how to distill science, since we're talking a 38 39 lot more about uncertainty, and terms like "non-stationarity", which is a really major shift for us in how we work, and helping 40 understand that our expectation is that the 41 the public 42 environments that we're managing may no longer fluctuate around 43 instead have essentially an average, but may chaotic and that makes decision-making a lot more 44 fluctuations, 45 difficult.

46

47 Communicating those kinds of things, communicating the 48 vocabulary around uncertainty and risk and all that, is going to 1 take a lot of work, for us as councils, and I think we're going 2 to need the resources. You know, we're already struggling with 3 the resources to do our jobs as we do them now, and none of 4 those key findings make life simpler. 5

6 They all require more resources, and they all essentially move 7 us into a world of greater complexity, and that doesn't mean 8 they're wrong, but it just means they're going to be difficult, 9 I think, and so those always bring me back to some of the 10 suggestions about things to consider.

At least for me, that's then one of the ideas that I had, that I will be introducing as a motion, is it would really behoove the councils to use CCC as an ongoing forum for exchanging information, exchanging perspectives, but also being able to provide some amount of consensus guidance to the agency on our thoughts about both the needs that we have to address climate change as well as the roadmap that we would like to see adopted for addressing climate change.

21 We're not going to be able to do that with the CCC meeting every 22 six months, and that's where workgroups have been really effective for us, and I think workgroups have, in general, 23 24 definitely increased the value of the CCC, and so it struck me 25 that, at least pioneering the idea of a workgroup on climate 26 change, to make sure that we're effective partners in the Ocean 27 Climate Action Plan, to help us track the development of the 28 CEFI, and I came here with some fairly high hopes to understand 29 the CEFI better, and I'm leaving without that. 30

31 There were eleven slides on climate governance and two on the Ocean Climate Action Plan, and one of the two mentions CEFI, and 32 33 that's -- If we don't have a group that is sort of focused on 34 that, between now and October, we're going to walk into October 35 without much understanding of how the agency is really proposing -- You know, we'll each have our own little insights, but we 36 won't have the collective ability to track what the agency is 37 38 really doing over the next six months, and these are really 39 critical months.

40

11

20

41 One of the things that didn't get reflected well in the SCS7 42 presentation is just their sense of urgency. From their 43 perspective, every month that goes by where we're not working on 44 preparing for climate change is a month that we're going to 45 regret later, and so I sort of came away with that sense of 46 urgency as well, and I would like to see the CCC respond to the 47 SCS7 findings with an idea of how to do these things more 48 effectively, cataloging our regional efforts, so we understand 1 what each other is trying.

2

6

45

3 It may not be applicable in our region, and, in fact, there's a 4 good chance it won't be, but maybe, with some tweaking, it might 5 be, or just at least understanding each other's challenges.

7 I would love to have a forum for -- We've heard some about the scenario planning, both on the east coast and the Pacific, and 8 9 we're going to be engaged in it, and it would be good to have a forum for our staff members to talk back and forth a little bit 10 about scenario planning, the coordination and communication 11 12 function that right now workgroups might be best at 13 accomplishing for us between meetings. Exchanging ideas on what's working for messaging to our stakeholders, what's not 14 15 working, and all of those things seem, to me, to sort of cry out for a workgroup. 16 17

18 Hence, the motion that's coming up, and I've heard some 19 concerns, pretty loud and clear, about we're already stretched 20 to the max, and how can we support another workgroup, and I 21 think those are really valid, and I do think we should think 22 about, if we're going to do that, essentially doing it as a conceptual idea, see how it works for a year, and see how it 23 24 does, at least for helping us catalog regional efforts and 25 whether or not it's worthwhile, in terms of the information exchange and for coordinating us and keeping us maybe with a 26 27 common message. 28

29 I would really love to have the October meeting move on from this governance discussion that, frankly, I don't get, and I 30 31 don't understand why we continue to miss each other on that, but 32 it's clear to me that we're spending a lot of our climate change energy talking about governance and not getting anywhere on it 33 34 and not talking about some of the thing that, to me, have 35 promise and that we all should be able to agree on, like the 36 CEFI. 37

I think we'll be more prepared for that if we put a workgroup to work between now and then, and so that's kind of both my thoughts about the SCS7, which, again, I've got those findings pretty much hanging on my office wall, and I encourage a lot of other folks to do that too, and they were pretty profound, and it was clearly a meeting that was worth having, and now I will shut up.

46 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Thank you, Bill. You mentioned the 47 motion, and did you want to -- Is this something that you wanted 48 to bring up after some more discussion or how would you prefer

it? Janet has a comment, and so I don't know if --1 2 3 MR. TWEIT: At your pleasure, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Well, Janet, I know you had a comment, and is 6 it to this? 7 Yes, and I had a comment to that. Do you want to 8 MS. COIT: 9 just hold your motion until the end of this discussion, Bill, and I saw that Kelly had a comment, too. First, Diana, this is 10 11 fantastic. Thank you. 12 13 I also -- I heard from folks after SCS7, a lot of thoughts, and I'm going to spare you all of them and ask you a couple of 14 15 questions, but who were really -- Like for ten years, I was head 16 of the Rhode Island state programs, and I heard from Conor 17 McManus, and I have heard from Jason McNamee, who was the head 18 of the SSC for many years in the Northeast, about the exact things that you're talking about and about their concern about -19 20 - I appreciate your comments, Bill, and their concern that it's 21 so esoteric and ponderous, and like all these processes, and, 22 yet, we're not really grappling with what the scientists and the 23 SSCs are telling us about the changes and the need to be more 24 nimble. 25 It occurs to me that the engagement and the transparency and the 26 27 complexity cut against expedited action, and I'm wondering, and 28 I have a couple of questions, and, one, I'm wondering if we've ever thought about having like the SCS meeting with the CCC 29 30 meeting, or back-to-back, because I think that would be -- I 31 would like to hear from them directly, and I think they would 32 appreciate that, and so that was one question. 33 34 Then, secondly, and this kind of gets to some of what Bill was 35 saying, and that people were frustrated with yesterday, and is 36 there a way, in the shorter term, to be more concrete with how 37 the ecosystem changes, and what our scientists are seeing, can manifest in management decisions, because that's what I heard 38 39 from folks after this meeting, and I think some tangible 40 examples -- I mean, one thing that I think different regions 41 have -- Like snow crab and red crab. 42 Can you maybe give some thoughts, in a way that isn't as, you 43 know, academic, about like how you would see this touching down 44 45 for management decisions, say at the North Pacific Council, if 46 we could be more facile with our listening to the scientists and 47 taking that advice, and then the last part that I will say is 48 there were a lot of conversations yesterday that I was engaged 174

1 with about the lag between peer-reviewed science and what people 2 are observing and seeing in the water and how you fill that with 3 some sort of interim approach.

4

17

5 DR. STRAM: Thank you for those questions. With respect to the 6 first one, about the SCS meeting with the CCC, I think -- I 7 mean, I personally think that's a great idea, and we didn't talk 8 about that specifically, but that's in line with our discussions 9 of the utility of having council members included in this 10 discussion, instead of it being a somewhat -- Not closed, and 11 it's a public meeting, but it being more of a scientific discussion, and having just a council member participate in the 12 13 breakout session, where ideas were being tossed around by region, and being able to put -- Sometimes a reality check, and 14 sometimes just a, well, okay, we're not doing that in our 15 region, is really useful. 16

18 With respect to how we can incorporate some of these things now, 19 I think we had a lot of discussions on that, and it's really the 20 short-term versus long-term. I can only speak with experience 21 from our own region, and we do struggle with this. 22

We have a lot of excellent ecosystem information, and we get our ESRs every year, and we're moving forward with a climate change taskforce to look at these things, and we get our ESRs presented at the same time as our assessment, so we have an idea of what's going on in the environment, but they're not directly linked, and I think what we're trying to say is we're looking for that linkage to occur, and that's going to occur in a longer term.

31 We've tried to, from our region, tried to address those immediate concerns with having these peak meetings, where, in 32 33 the spring, the environmental scientists are pulled together to 34 say, okay, what are we seeing right now, and how can we bring 35 that forward quicker than waiting for these longer-term evaluations, but I think we're still struggling to make that 36 37 real connection, where there's an automatic response, and maybe 38 that's not the best way to be looking at it either. 39

40 The way that we have done it, and it seems like other regions 41 had examples of this as well, is a more qualitative risk 42 assessment of what's happening right now, and would you be 43 adjusting harvest levels for what's happening right now, or are 44 you looking at something right now that is indicative of a 45 regime shift, and teasing that apart I think is a real struggle, 46 because you're trying to respond to what you're seeing on the ground, but you don't know if what you're doing is too slow or 47 48 just an immediate response, when what you need is the longer1 term response, and we're in a different regime shift, and we 2 need different biological reference points, and we need to 3 manage the stock differently.

5 We've had a ton of discussions, in the North Pacific, about what 6 would we have done differently if we knew what was going to 7 happen with snow crab, and we haven't figure that out yet, but those are the discussions that I think we're all having now, is 8 9 it's great to say that we want to do this long-term planning for climate change and fisheries management, but would we have done 10 11 something differently if we had known, a couple of years ago, that snow crab was going to crash, because of environmental 12 conditions, and I don't know, and I think we're all struggling 13 with that. I know that doesn't necessarily get at all of your 14 15 questions, but that's my thoughts.

MS. COIT: Thank you very much, and I think the more cooperation there can be with council members, and what you're talking about, the better.

21 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. Kelly.

4

16

22

31

39

44

MS. DENIT: Thank you. Thank you, Diana. I haven't had a 23 24 chance to read the actual proceedings, and I was wondering if 25 you could talk a little bit more -- Under Number 3, you had a bullet around consider additional flexibility in the management 26 process and the concept of creating more opportunities for 27 28 strategic and creative thinking, and so, for those two, could 29 you maybe just give me a little bit more of what you all were 30 driving at as part of those conversations? Thank you.

32 DR. STRAM: Sure, and thank you for the question. With respect 33 to the additional flexibility in the management process, we had 34 this under a number of our different sessions, and I mentioned 35 earlier that one of the ones that we really talked about was 36 rebuilding timelines, looking at, as we move into different 37 possible regimes, or just the non-stationary and chaotic nature 38 of management, they may not align with some of those guidelines.

40 Specifically, we talked a lot about rebuilding stocks and the 41 kind of specific things that you need to do in terms of doing 42 your Tmin and your Tmax for the rebuilding timelines, and so 43 that was one of the things in the additional flexibility.

45 We also had some discussions in terms of responding to crises, 46 like for example snow crab, and is there some sort of 47 diversification, or management flexibility, that would allow for 48 more on-the-ground changes in the fishery, rather than just 1 moving to a fishery disaster declaration, and we didn't have a 2 lot of answers there, but those are the kinds of things that we 3 were discussing.

5 In terms of opportunities for strategic and creative thinking at 6 the regional and national levels, it's exactly what we're 7 talking about, is how to learn from other regions, and we found, 8 in the breakout sessions as well as in the plenary sessions, 9 while not every region is going to be able to mimic the other 10 region, there's a lot that we can learn by more communication.

12 As Mr. Tweit mentioned, we are beginning our climate scenario planning, possibly, for the North Pacific in the next year, and 13 14 there's a lot we can learn, pros and cons, of how other regions 15 kind of strategic planning have done that and those 16 opportunities, and I think we realized a lot, in the in-person 17 discussions with other regions, that there's a lot going on in 18 other regions that most people don't have time to pay attention to, that we need to, because we don't want to go down a path 19 20 that another region has already found wasn't productive, or we want to take advantage of some ideas that they've put forward 21 22 that are productive.

24 One of our keynote speakers, Eva Plaganyi, was providing an 25 overall example both of the complexity of ecosystem modeling, 26 but also how they brought stakeholders along, because, as things 27 get more complex, it's harder to understand, and then, all of a 28 sudden, everything is opaque to the stakeholders, and so how do 29 we balance those things, and so being able to reach out and have 30 those conversations, and figure out how it's worked in other 31 regions, even in Australia, for them, is really helpful, and getting examples from other regions on the east coast of how 32 33 they've dealt with that too was just really helpful, in terms of 34 conversations.

35

37

4

11

23

36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. Tom, Clay, and then John.

38 MR. NIES: I am going to make a couple of comments, and I will 39 take them in order. I think there's a general issue that SCS7 40 brought up that has probably been true for a while, that we 41 haven't really addressed, and that is trying to find a better 42 way to communicate what happens at the SCS meetings, workshops, 43 to the councils, so that it actually gets used.

44

I think that's probably true whether we're talking about climate change or any of the other subjects that have gone on and been taken up at these workshops over the past years, and so, just as a teaser, if you will, or however you prefer that, when the SCS8 1 motion comes forward, I'm going to make a recommendation on an 2 idea on how to improve that, which is not tied directly to 3 climate change, but just tied to the idea of these workshops. 4

5 The second thing is I think I can see a need for the CCC to 6 coordinate a little bit, or maybe a lot, on the climate change 7 issue, and I do take exception to the comment that we haven't 8 made any progress on governance, and I think that work, 9 primarily led by Chris Moore in the Mid, but also the other councils on the east coast, is really a dramatic step forward 10 11 that's going to see some results over the next year or two, and 12 so I'm not going to let that stand unchallenged.

14 I think the third thing is that -- I mean, I've got to say that I think the idea of a joint SCS workshop with a CCC meeting 15 could prove to be a logistical nightmare. We have a very 16 17 difficult time finding three days when we can have CCC meetings 18 in the fall, and, in starting to do the planning for SCS8 next 19 year, the dates that are available for SCS8 don't line up at all 20 with the CCC meetings that are planned, and I -- You know, I'm 21 not going to -- I just think that we would have to think long 22 and hard about whether we want to go that way. 23

That's different than saying we're going to plant some --"Plant" is probably not the right word, but plant some council members in the room at SCS meetings, which might be a good idea, but I'm not sure it's a good idea to try and have a joint CCC and SCS meeting. Thank you.

30 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Clay.

32 DR. PORCH: Thank you, Chair. I wanted to respond to the point about maybe CEFI maybe not being as clear as one would hope, and 33 I think, in large part, that's because it will look very different in the different regions, and so, for example, with 34 35 36 this SCS meeting, you focused pretty heavily, I think, in the discussions, from what I can tell here, on more data-rich 37 38 environments, where you have a pretty strong signal, and you can 39 correct me if I'm wrong, but that -- The whole idea of using 40 models to integrate across data, rather than using the observations themselves, which makes a lot of sense when the 41 42 data are there.

43

29

31

13

In some regions, we don't even have the data, and so then the emphasis immediately shifts to actually collecting the basic data that we need, and so that's one major difference between some of the regions, and so you mentioned having a more sophisticated toolbox, which I agree with, and you mentioned that that's going to require more resources, and it will require considerably more resources, I agree, and not only collecting some new, different types of data, but bringing different skillsets to the table, and you mentioned the multidisciplinary nature of it.

7 That also means that it's harder to review, because you're talking about even more complicated models, integrating more 8 9 pieces of data, more opportunities for mistakes, and so you will have to bring considerably more resources to the table, and 10 11 maybe some of it we can address through automation, et cetera, 12 but I would submit to you that, for some regions, having a more 13 sophisticated toolbox might actually be simpler at the end that the council might see. You have simple tools that are vetted by 14 15 these more complex models, and that's where you mentioned 16 management strategy evaluations and where they would come in. 17

In many cases, I think you will have these sophisticated models, 18 19 and the management advice isn't directly based on them, but we 20 can run simpler harvest controls through that, and other management strategies, and, if they perform fairly well in a 21 22 simulation environment, as long as you make that as realistic as you can, with all the types of uncertainties you think exist in 23 24 the system -- If it works well in that environment, the odds are 25 that it will work pretty well in the real world. That's where I 26 will stop and ask if you had much discussion of MSE and the role 27 in developing simpler harvest control rules for the councils. 28

29 DR. STRAM: Thank you for the question. We did have those 30 discussions, mostly in the breakout sessions, and we did have a 31 lot of discussion about how, when we're talking about this, 32 we're focusing on data-rich areas, but we know we had a lot of 33 experience and discussions about data-poor areas, and that was 34 part of the coordination and communication. 35

There's a recommendation in there for data collection. For us in the North Pacific, that's just maintaining our current, but, for other regions, they need increased data collection, in order to move forward in this volatile environment, and so that was one of the recommendations.

41

The open source data availability was also related to that, but the MSEs for other regions, and coordination and collaboration amongst other regions, were also directed at how can we use data-rich areas to help inform less-data-rich areas, and where are those tradeoffs, and the roles of MSE versus the roles of just having to respond to something immediately, with more coarse tools, like a buffer, and not necessarily a sophisticated

harvest control rule informed by an MSE that 1 is climate 2 enhanced, but the short-term ability of SSCs to respond to something with a qualitative risk assessment, with a buffering 3 system, but the need for the transparency, to understand why 4 that's occurring, when it's not an automatic thing that's been 5 informed by sophisticated modeling applied to a different 6 7 region, and I'm not sure if that gets to your question. 8

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. John.

10

19

27

11 MR. CARMICHAEL: Thanks, and I appreciate this, and I've been 12 really interested in this group, and involved in a few, and I 13 will say this group has evolved in an interesting way, from just opening up communication, in the beginning, and folks getting to 14 15 know each other, to sharing methods, and dealing with shared 16 challenges, back in the early ABC days and such, to now it's sort of pushing the boundaries of what's there, and I think it's 17 18 really useful in that regard.

It's also good for -- As Clay said, there's a completely different status of these cutting-edge techniques and their ability to be applied across the regions, and the SCS is a really good place for getting that established and letting say groups that maybe are just at the data collection stage see where they might want to be headed, and it helps them decide the data they really need.

I think the group is really useful, in that regard, and it should continue to, you know, push those boundaries, and that's sort of one reason that I don't feel that meeting with us is necessarily a great idea for them.

33 I think, as far as getting this kind of stuff, the climate, and 34 the environmental things, into management, it really just comes 35 down to it's got to make its way into the catch recommendations. 36 That's what we as councils primarily do, and we try to turn the 37 screw on fishing mortality, and that's our primary job, and there's lots of tools in there that may turn that screw, but 38 39 that's really what we're trying to do, and so we may feel that 40 something has an environmental impact on the stock productivity, 41 but, if it's not part of the fishing level recommendations, the 42 council is pretty powerless to respond to it, unless it wants to be more conservative as a result, and that's the only direction 43 44 we can go with the council just applying its collective 45 judgment.

46

47 Otherwise, you can't exceed the ABC of the SSC, and that's where 48 the climate information and the environmental effects have to 1 get into, and, you know, as Clay said, there's lots of ways to 2 do that, and we have to improve the concept before we can get it 3 to the point that it's robust enough to have people, council 4 members, regional administrators, NOAA GC, feel that there's a 5 strong enough record that that can support fishing level 6 recommendation changes. 7

8 I think it's important, on this Number 3, to point out that 9 "sophisticated" need not necessarily mean complex, and, again, 10 echoing the Southeast situation, with our data challenges, and, 11 in a lot of ways, we're trying to say, well, can we come up with somewhat simpler methods that will let us give more timely 12 13 information, across more stocks, than the very complex assessments we do now, that's giving us not much information 14 15 across stocks.

17 Then the last point, I think, on the scenario planning, and one 18 thing that I will comment on that is I don't like the evolution 19 of the SCS, and one good outcome of that is setting up a process 20 that all of us on the Atlantic have an idea for a group where we 21 can get together and start talking about these shared issues, 22 where those of us from this corner here in the Southeast can get 23 together with those from the Northeast region, through the NRCC, 24 which, you know, I've been participating with over the last 25 couple years of planning this, to talk about these issues. 26

I think that's really the first step. You know, if we're going to get to dealing with the stock changes, and any governance issues, it's got to start with those of us impacted communicating, and, much as the SCS started with that, we need to start with that in this group and see where we go in the future.

33 34

35

16

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, John. Merrick.

36 MR. BURDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Diana, for 37 that excellent presentation. I guess my question is sort of along the lines of what Clay was asking. In the summary that 38 39 you provided, if I sort of read between the lines, there's a 40 heavy emphasis on adaptation, and there's a lot of emphasis on 41 models and sort of data-rich environments, and a lot of that 42 implies that, you know, we are in the position of either 43 or quickly responding, and then controlling, directing, 44 different outcomes.

45

46 There's maybe a different way to think about this too, and this 47 gets to my question. If we think about, you know, I guess what 48 we tend to experience on the west coast, of these shocks that happen, what we look for is the stock, or the ecosystem, or a community, to bounce back from that, and that's not necessarily a predictive exercise. Instead, you might think of it as making sure that the attributes of a stock, or attributes of a community, are there, that it can bounce back, and that's something that we do have some control over, but it's not a modeling exercise.

9 If you understand that delineation, I would be curious if you 10 all discussed that at all, and whether it's in here and I just 11 didn't see it, or whether that was part of the discussion, and 12 I'm just curious.

14 Thank you, Merrick, for the question. I think we DR. STRAM: 15 discussed that in some of the breakout sessions, and I would say that it's -- We have discussed that, that overall -- Especially 16 the shock and response part, and, at least from our experience 17 18 in this last year in the North Pacific, we're finding that our 19 harvest control rules aren't robust to those shocks. They are 20 not robust to a change in recruitment that goes really high all 21 of a sudden, and our harvest control rules aren't addressing it, 22 and, if it's high because of the environment, and there's 23 winners and losers in climate change, and I think what we're 24 trying to recommend is that those sort of -- The robustness of 25 the overall system be evaluated.

26

8

13

27 For us in the North Pacific, but in general during the SCS7, we talked about how you delineate between those shocks and a 28 29 longer-term regime change is one problem, and how you address the short-term shock versus the longer-term regime change is 30 31 also -- The longer-term regime change is the part that lends 32 itself to like an MSE, and more sophisticated modeling, that 33 might help inform other areas, as to whether or not there's 34 robustness to the system that you're modeling for those changes 35 long-term in the environment. 36

How we address those short-term changes is less likely to be a 37 38 modeling exercise and more likely to be communication and 39 coordination that we might learn from other regions, as to how 40 did you address this marine heat wave and the effects on those 41 stocks, and then, looking forward, if we're seeing the 42 possibility of a marine heat wave, and we already know how it 43 affected perhaps another region, and how you addressed it, we might be able to learn from that, and so those are the kind of 44 45 conversations that we had. 46

We really weren't trying to focus only on the data-rich areas, and we had lots and lots of discussions about data-poor regions,

what data you need to collect, what data you need to have 1 available, what considerations you need to bring forward in 2 order to address all of these things, and I don't think we had a 3 4 whole lot of answers, and we're just pointing out that these are the kind of considerations, going forward, that all the regions 5 6 need to be thinking about, whether it comes from a modeling exercise or revamping and looking back at your management system 7 8 in your region, but also being able to learn from how these are 9 affecting other regions, and hopefully that kind of gets to your 10 question.

11 12

13

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Merrick. Bill.

14 MR. TWEIT: A couple of additional comments and then, if you're 15 ready, I can put a motion out there. I wanted to comment a 16 little bit more just on the discussion that I heard at the SCS7 17 about data-rich environments, and one of the realizations that I had, as I was listening to it -- You know, I heard scientists 18 19 essentially say that non-stationarity means that the data that 20 we've collected in the past may not be very useful for us in the 21 future, and it may not serve as a good sort of reference point for what our future is going to look like, and so, all of a 22 23 sudden, the data-rich processes become data-poor process, 24 essentially. 25

26 Then sort of the odd irony that if, as a council, you're used to decision-making in a data-poor environment, you may actually be 27 28 better suited. That council may be better suited than my 29 council, that is very used to making decisions based on fairly extensive analyses of a lot of data and all that, 30 and, 31 essentially, it becomes almost a -- You know, from an ironic 32 standpoint, it becomes a bit of an impediment.

33

If you're not comfortable making decisions until you've got solid data, which often characterizes my council, you're actually less adaptive, and I didn't hear the scientists say that, but that's certainly -- As I was sitting there, as a manager, and listening to those discussions, that's the sort of consideration that I was having.

40

41 I think, as you read through the actual proceedings themselves, 42 as Dr. Stram referred to, several of the keynotes, and others, sort of get you thinking a little bit along those lines, and so, 43 again, sometimes we think that it will be so different for 44 45 different regions going into this, but, actually, I think this 46 may be one case where we're both going to -- Both data-rich and 47 data-poor management systems are going to have a lot to learn 48 from each other about how to move forward, and, again, I found 1 quite a bit of irony in that, but also quite a bit of insight in 2 that.

3

14

17

24

30

4 I also wanted to really briefly just apologize to Tom, and I think I put what I was trying to say very poorly, and what I was 5 6 trying to say was I saw little progress in how we're aligning 7 the councils' approach to these governance challenges with the approach that the agency is considering, and it seems like maybe 8 9 we've moved a little bit closer together, but, at least to my unaccustomed ears, I still heard a lot of differences, and 10 sorry, and I didn't word that very well, and I apologize for 11 Mr. Chair, if you're ready, I would be happy to put a 12 that. 13 motion on the table at this point.

15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Sure, Bill. Let's go ahead and do this. Have 16 you sent it?

18 MR. TWEIT: Yes, and it's also been -- A version of this has 19 been circulated to the EDs now, for a little over a day, and 20 it's been worked on some, and I really appreciate the feedback 21 we've gotten so far, and I don't think we've necessarily gotten 22 all of it, or all the thoughts reflected, but I'm hopeful that 23 we can have it brought up.

25 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Bill, while we're waiting to bring that up --26 Marcos, you had your hand up, while we're waiting, and was it 27 outside of this motion, or to this motion, and, since we don't 28 have a motion yet, we might want to take your comment here, 29 while we're waiting for them to find it.

31 MR. HANKE: I was going to make a comment, and sometimes I go to 32 the basics, because I think we get lost in the weeds, getting 33 too complex sometimes, and we lose track of important, concrete 34 requests that Janet addressed to us. 35

One of the points that I want to give is that I totally agree with Clay, and I interact with Clay on the Caribbean Council, and we have multiple species, and a lot of challenges of information to manage, and I think we do a very good job, in the Caribbean, with simpler models and simpler approaches, basically managing indices and the incorporation of those.

43 There is a professor at the University of Mayaguez that worked 44 with the cluster of information that comes out of selected 45 gears, which is an ecological manifestation of the environment 46 into those gears, and, in my opinion as a fisherman, it's super 47 powerful into climate change situations, because you're going to 48 have that complex talking to you, right, and the variation over 1 time.

2

11

3 The other thing that for me is very frustrating over time, and, for many years, that's been the -- It's that, once we talked 4 about ecosystem-based management, I didn't hear one single time 5 6 in this presentation and prior, and I brought up this point 7 before, about the forage species. Those are the first ones that are susceptible to oceanographic changes and to the changes. 8 9 They are short-living, and they are like the red flags that come 10 up, and we don't do anything about that.

12 I think it's super important to highlight the importance of 13 addressing the forage species, and now, with my hat as a fisherman, we identify, when we go out for different species, 14 15 the drivers, forage species drivers, that we use for bait that make us effective as a fisherman, 16 and that signal, that 17 ecological signal, and that ecological knowledge, about the dynamics is what is going to let us really identify the climate 18 19 change changes and explain why one thing is happening or not, 20 and we are not addressing that strong enough. We are missing 21 the trend, in my opinion.

22

23 We can talk about productivity, but we cannot talk about 24 productivity without a forage species discussion. We can talk about shifts, but, if we don't reevaluate the interaction with 25 26 forage species in those shifts, or the new forage that will be 27 available in those shifts, we are losing the trend again, and we're going to be back and back and back, and we will never get 28 29 to something that is feasible if we don't address forage 30 species.

31

39

44

I'm sorry to be so passionate about it, but it's just a frustration that I have, that we don't put the money and the effort to study forage species, and that's super important for the Caribbean, but I think that it's even more important for industrial fisheries that happen on the east coast of the U.S., that those drivers of forage species are even more important than in the Caribbean. Thank you. Thank you for the time.

40 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you, Marcos, for a good point. Thank 41 you. Bill, back to your motion, and it looks like we have it up 42 there, if you want to make that motion, please, and we can deal 43 with that.

45 MR. TWEIT: Thank you. I move that we form a new CCC climate 46 workgroup to develop a common understanding and voice among the 47 councils on current capacity, future needs, and fishery 48 management designs that can respond to climate change, while 1 assisting the councils in coordinating with NOAA on a response 2 to the Ocean Climate Action Plan. With a second, I can describe 3 the proposal in a little bit more detail.

5 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Bill. We have a second from 6 Chris Moore, and so do you want to comment to that, Bill? 7

8 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Chris. MR. TWEIT: The 9 workgroup that we're proposing, we've suggested some initial tasks that I think -- We've suggested four initial tasks, and 10 11 one would be to provide an overview to the CCC on capacities, 12 needs, and lessons learned among the councils, both for assessing risks and taking action to adapt to the changing 13 environments. We can do that potentially through a survey, and 14 15 maybe it would come back to us in October with those proposed 16 survey questions for our approval, before they actually do that. 17

18 The survey would be intended to identify the ways that each 19 council is currently working on climate-resilient -- Developing 20 climate-resilient management frameworks and helping us understand how those efforts could potentially work in synergy, 21 22 both at the individual council level, but also between councils, and how they are making use of existing capacity to accomplish 23 24 that and any areas that could potentially be adapted across 25 councils. 26

To identify some of the tools for risk assessment and uncertainty that each council is considering and whether or not they've been able to achieve a level of confidence among stakeholders in those, and take a look at it from are we able to communicate that well or do we need some more assistance with plain language explanations for what we're doing.

Definitely focus on identifying some of the councils' views on the need for data collection, and that's very responsive to one of the key findings, and then just, ultimately, have the ability to have a lessons learned capacity for the CCC.

39 The second task would be to provide an opportunity, just an 40 ongoing platform, for information exchange and collaboration 41 across regions, and the third would be to provide assistance to 42 the CCC in our communication with the agency on development of 43 goals, guidance, and operational approaches, and fourth is to 44 work with the CCC Legislative Workgroup to build out our working 45 paper existing policy statement on climate change.

46

38

47 We're suggesting that membership should be just a staff person 48 from each council, and we might want to consider, in discussion 1 on this, whether we it would be useful to have a council member 2 or two also serve. 3

4 The process would be largely virtual. If there was a sense that 5 an in-person meeting would be useful, as with other workgroups, and I believe we've sort of assigned, or delegated, that 6 7 decision about in-person meetings to our EDs, but we would 8 primarily rely on virtual meetings, as needed to develop the 9 products in a timely fashion. Workgroup updates would be provided by the workgroup chair and/or staff from the hosting 10 11 council for that year.

13 An initial timeline that we're suggesting for an early set of milestones, and I think the idea would be that next spring we 14 take a look at this and see if this is an effort that we would 15 want to continue, if it's worthwhile, but, at the fall meeting, 16 we would hope to hear from the workgroup, with an outline of 17 18 survey questions for the report, and potentially even some preliminary responses, and then, over the spring, based on 19 20 survey responses, work with the Legislative Workgroup on draft 21 language for an expanded policy statement, and then have a 22 fairly robust discussion at the spring meeting in 2024, at the 23 CCC, based on a final report from the workgroup on capacity and scientific support needs. 24

26 Okay. Thank you, Bill. Obviously, you've CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 27 thought about this motion, and fleshed this out, and we can provide this proposal document as part of the background, and, 28 29 with that, regarding the motion, is there any other discussion related to the motion? Seeing no other discussion, we'll go 30 ahead and bring this to a vote then. Is there any opposition to 31 32 the motion? Seeing no opposition, that motion carries. 33

All right. Well, let's see. Moving on, that was regarding the 7th SCS, and the next item of business for us was to talk about the -- If everyone is fine moving on from Number 7 and moving on to Number 8 here, it was the proposed themes for the SCS8 meeting. I guess, Tom, Rachel Feeney from your staff, is planning to present that, and so if we could pull up that presentation, please.

41 42 43

12

25

OVERVIEW AND PROPOSED THEMES FOR SCS8 MEETING

44 MR. NIES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dr. Feeney is coordinating 45 with our SSC chair to do the plans, and she will give a 46 presentation remotely, and she's not here in the room, but she 47 is online and ready to go.

48

Okay. Give us one minute here and let us 1 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 2 coordinate that. Rachel, it looks like they have your presentation up on the screen, and so, when you're ready, go 3 4 ahead. 5 6 DR. RACHEL FEENEY: Okay. Great. I've been listening attentively to your discussions this morning, and I think the theme that we're proposing will be a great next step and support 7 8 9 the work and carry forward the outcomes of SCS7. 10 11 We met in early May, and I will just cut straight to the chase 12 and present first the theme and then talk through how we got to 13 developing this particular theme proposal, and that is simply 14 applying ABC control rules in a changing environment. 15 As you know, it's a core function of your SSCs to recommend 16 17 catch limits consistent with the Magnuson Act and the control 18 rules that have been established by management plans. However, 19 you know, as we are talking through today, we're contending with 20 varying environmental change, scientific uncertainties, and data 21 limitations. Each council is experiencing them to a varying 22 extent, though there are some cross-cutting concerns, for sure. 23 24 The SCS members have been concerned that it's been quite 25 difficult to reliably and sustainably achieve management goals, like preventing overfishing and rebuilding stocks, through just 26 27 relying on our existing ABC control rules, which calls for 28 continued dialogue and learning on how to be adaptive, adapting 29 the tools in our toolbox, so to speak, to the changes that we're 30 facing. 31 32 We're proposing to explore and discuss and work through these 33 challenges on how to adapt, given all of the dynamics in climate 34 and productivity and recruitment that our ecosystems are We would like to explore the use of alternate 35 experiencing. 36 reference points, or indicators, or indices, in the absence of 37 analytical assessments. 38 39 We would like to explore the stock status determination criteria and how to consider rebuilding plans for stocks that seem to be 40 41 facing directional changes in productivity or their distribution 42 throughout the ecosystem, and we had quite a bit of 43 conversation, in the development of this proposal, about how SSCs can better use the social and economic information about 44 45 fisheries, as well as the expertise that SSCs have within their 46 own bodies, for setting catch recommendations and to have a 47 better understanding of the potential for their recommendations 48 to actually achieve the management goals of the FMP in

consideration and how fisheries and communities can be better 1 adapting to the dynamic conditions we're experiencing. 2 3 4 To come to this theme proposal, we held our first planning meeting, our only planning meeting thus far, in the beginning of 5 May. Prior to that point, we asked all of the SSC SCS members 6 to reach out to their individual SSCs to develop theme ideas, 7 and we put them all on a Google document, so that we could look 8 9 at them together. 10 11 During this May 1 meeting, we had -- We received, in advance, theme ideas from seven of the SSCs, and then we had seven 12 councils represented at this first meeting of the SCS to plan 13 14 the workshop. We went through an exercise where we examined all 15 the ideas that came in from across the nation and sorted them 16 and grouped them to find common ground and themes to move 17 forward with, and we reached consensus on this proposed theme. 18 19 We felt like it would be of broad enough interest to all of the 20 councils, and it was an appropriate follow-up on the SCS7 21 outcomes, focused on, you know, adapting to the changing ecosystem, and, you know, particularly that third focus on how 22 to develop fishing level recommendations for species exhibiting 23 24 distributional changes, that Diana presented to you this 25 morning, and finding pathways for managing in a non-stationary 26 environments. We really hope to develop actionable guidance for 27 how to best support the councils in managing fisheries in our 28 ever-changing system. 29 30 After that discussion at our meeting, we went back and drafted 31 the theme proposal that's in your meeting packet today, and we 32 circulated that, you know, amongst all of the SCS members, and 33 we got good additional feedback from all the SSCs represented on 34 the committee. 35 36 The New England Fishery Management Council is expecting to coordinate and host SCS8, and we are led by the New England SSC 37 Chair, Dr. Lisa Kerr serving as the SCS chair. I will be 38 serving as the staff coordinator for this workshop, and I've 39 already learned a lot from Diana and her careful coordination of 40 41 SCS7, and we'll be really leaning on some of those 42 recommendations for future SCS meetings that she presented this 43 morning, and we're also supported by the Executive Assistant at 44 the New England Council, Ms. Joan O'Leary. 45 46 Moving ahead, we plan to convene, as the SCS, every four to six 47 weeks, to delve further into developing the goals and objectives 48 of the workshop, the specific sub-themes that we'll discuss, you

1 know, the agenda, keynote speakers, presenters, topics, all the 2 logistics that it takes to pull a workshop of this magnitude 3 off. 4

5 In terms of dates and locations, we're leaning, at this point, to hold the workshop within New England, and we have flagged 6 either the last week of August or the first week of September of 7 2024 to convene the workshop, and I know the executive directors 8 9 have been discussing dates, and it would be helpful to come to some conclusion on which of those last -- Either the last week 10 11 in August or the first in September, so that we can move forward with the planning the logistics of the workshop, and I know 12 13 there was some conversation today about aligning with the CCC 14 meeting, and so maybe you can talk about that further, but I think that's all I had to present, and so I'm just opening it up 15 16 for questions and potential approval of this theme. 17

18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Dr. Feeney, and we'll open it 19 up for questions regarding SCS8. All right. Going once. Any 20 comments or suggestions? Tom.

21

26

37

44

22 **MR. NIES:** No comments or suggestions, Mr. Chair, but I have two 23 motions that are related to SCS8, and I am ready to make the 24 first motion, if you're ready to entertain it, which is to 25 approve the theme, if the staff can bring it up on the screen.

27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Tom, give us just a second to pull that 28 up, so we can all see it. 29

30 MR. NIES: I will read it into the record. The motion is the 31 CCC approves the proposed theme for SCS8: "Applying ABC Control 32 Rules in a Changing Environment". The SCS is also asked to 33 recommend how workshop conclusions can be shared with the CCC 34 and councils in a manner that encourages the use of workshop 35 results. This recommendation should be delivered to the CCC at 36 the fall CCC meeting.

38 The first part of the motion is pretty explanatory, and the 39 second part is an attempt to address some of the recommendations 40 in the SCS7 report to improve the communication of workshop 41 results, and that's why that was added in there, and we would 42 ask the SCS to come back with a recommendation in October of how 43 they plan to do that.

45 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Thank you, Tom. Let's see if we can get 46 a second on that. Bill is seconding. All right. Any other 47 discussion on the motion? Tom, do you have anything else that 48 you wanted to --

190

1 2 MR. NIES: I have nothing else to add right now. 3 4 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am seeing no other discussion on this 5 motion. Is there any opposition to this motion? Seeing none, 6 the motion carries. Go ahead, Tom. 7 The second motion is related to the SCS idea, the 8 MR. NIES: 9 formation of the SCS, and our terms of reference on our webpage say that the SCS consists of staff from the councils, and I 10 believe the SSC chair, or their proxy, from each meeting, and 11 there is nothing in our terms of reference that say that there 12 13 are any NOAA Fisheries people that are members of the SCS. 14 15 The practice, for the last few years however, has been that, 16 when planning the workshops, there are at least two or three 17 people, or scientists, I should say, from NMFS Headquarters that 18 participate in the development of the workshop, and so the second motion that I have, which I believe the staff has, is to 19 20 modify the terms of reference so that the SCS is allowed to invite participation of up to three NMFS scientists from 21 22 Headquarters while planning the workshop. All it does is 23 matches our terms of reference to what we've actually been 24 doing. 25 26 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. That sounds good. Bernie, do you all 27 have that? 28 29 MR. NIES: I thought she had it, but maybe I forgot to send it 30 to her. Give me a moment. That's it. I will read it into the 31 The motion is the CCC TOR for the Scientific record. 32 Coordination Subcommittee is modified to read, and the change is in yellow: The SCS will consist of the chairs from each of the 33 34 regional councils' Scientific and Statistical Committees or 35 their respective proxies. The SCS can invite participation by 36 up to three additional NMFS scientists when planning SCS 37 workshops. 38 39 I want to explain the language of the second part a bit. The 40 reason I worded it that way, rather than just saying that 41 there's three NMFS scientists on the SCS, is it's possible that, 42 in the future, the councils, the CCC, may ask the SCS to weighin on issues that we don't want NMFS' input on, because we want 43 44 it to be a CCC input, and not necessarily a NOAA Fisheries 45 issue, and so that's why I worded it this way, that the SCS can invite participation for planning the workshops only. 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Tom. We need a second for

1 that motion. Chris seconds. Any further rationale for the 2 motion, Tom? 3 4 MR. NIES: No. 5 6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Any discussion on the motion? I am 7 seeing no discussion. I will ask if there's any opposition to 8 this motion. Seeing no opposition to the motion, the motion 9 carries. 10 11 MR. NIES: If I might add just one final comment, and it's been the practice, I believe, at all the previous SCS, that the 12 agency provided a significant amount of funding to help host the 13 SCS meetings. We asked, a couple of months ago, whether the 14 agency planned to do that again for this meeting, and I realize 15 that the meeting is a little distance away, and maybe you don't 16 know, but I would just to reiterate that it would help our 17 18 planning if we knew how much funding the agency was willing to 19 commit to this. Thank you. That ends my report, Mr. Chair, and 20 Dr. Feeney's report. 21 22 Thank you, Tom, and I am not seeing CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. anything else. Is there any other business to bring before this 23 24 section regarding the Science Coordination Subcommittee? Well, 25 seeing none, that brings us to the end of that portion of our 26 agenda, and we're actually a little bit early for lunch, which is good, and I think what we'll do is give a little more time 27 28 for maybe an extended lunch, and we'll meet back here, according 29 to the agenda, at 1:30. Then, at that point, hopefully Sam 30 Rauch is available, and we'll take up the America the Beautiful 31 Initiative. See everyone after lunch. 32 33 (Whereupon, the meeting recessed for lunch on May 24, 2023.) 34 35 _ _ _ 36 37 May 24, 2023 38 39 WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION 40 41 _ _ _ 42 43 The Council Coordination Committee reconvened at the Marriott 44 Beachside Hotel in Key West, Florida on Wednesday afternoon, May 45 24, 2023, and was called to order by Gulf of Mexico Fishery 46 Management Council Chairman Greg Stunz. 47 48 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Welcome back from lunch, everyone. We're going

to pick up with the America the Beautiful Initiative that we had on our agenda for earlier, and it was scheduled earlier in the day from now, and the first item on that agenda is the CCC Working Group and Final Report on Area-Based Management, and Eric Reid is going to take us through that presentation, and so, Eric, they will pull that up here in a second. All right. Fric, whenever you're ready.

AMERICA THE BEAUTIFUL INITIATIVE CCC WORKING GROUP FINAL REPORT ON AREA-BASED MANAGEMENT (ABM) AND ABM DASHBOARD

13 MR. REID: Thank you, Dr. Chair. I appreciate it, and thank you 14 to you all for another opportunity to address our subcommittee 15 team's work, and this will be to present the team's final draft 16 for your consideration today.

18 I'm going to start out with a quick review and an update, 19 especially of our final numbers, and then I'm going to turn it 20 over to Ms. Bachman, from the New England Council, to give you a 21 little tour of our interactive dashboard that we developed, and 22 that was during our GIS work, and then, at the end, I'm going to come back to you and ask you some questions, instead of you 23 24 asking me questions, and so they will be easy, I promise, and so 25 do the same, and I would appreciate that. 26

27 Let's start with a review of our task, starting with an 28 incredible team. There was one person from each council: 29 Bachman, Coakley, Fitchett, Froeschke, Griffin, Pugliese, Rolon 30 and Rivera, and Witherell, and, of course, our invaluable 31 assistance from our NOAA partners, and those teammates were 32 Sagar, Haverland, and Lennox.

33

8 9

10

11

12

17

34 Just to remind you of our terms of reference that you gave us in 35 the beginning of our task, one is to assist this group in reacting to 30 by 30, and two is to prepare this report on area-36 37 based management, by evaluating EEZ fishery area closures relative to the 30 by 30 initiative and its goals, discuss pros 38 39 and cons of area-based management and objectives and expected 40 benefits of area-based management tools for diversity of 41 ecosystems under our jurisdiction, and, in my opinion, we have 42 met all of those terms of reference in spades. 43

44 Our third task was to prepare a journal article on area-based 45 measures for marine fisheries in the United States, and the team 46 has a working draft in progress, and the plan is an August 2023 47 submittal of the journal article, and we have tentatively 48 identified *The Marine Fisheries Review* as a good landing point 1 for that report.

3 We've had a few meetings since our October meeting. If you 4 remember, back then, we requested GIS support from this group, and we did get it, and we much appreciate that, and then you can 5 6 see we met in January and February, and our final meeting before today was in April, 7 and the team, the full team, has collectively approved this document that's before you today, and 8 9 I would like to commend the Pacific Marine Fisheries Commission, particularly Brett Holycross and his team, on their work. 10 Thev were a subcontractor, and their ability to digest the data and 11 12 input from all eight councils was pretty impressive, and their 13 product is really, really useful.

15 identified three conservation area Our highlights are we 16 categories that included 648 different areas, in total. They 17 are ecosystem conservation, with currently 56 percent of the EEZ 18 being conserved, year-round fisheries management, with 37 percent, and seasonal fishery management, or other actions, that 19 20 account for 4 percent. The details and the supporting workshops 21 and effectiveness checklists are in Appendix B in your meeting materials, and that's Tab 11(a)(i) and 11(a)(ii), and feel free 22 23 to read them at your leisure.

24

14

2

25 We identified and qualified these conservation areas, and this 26 is by number, by region, and you can see that ecosystem conservation is 531 area, year-round management is sixty-seven, 27 28 and seasonal fishery closures, or other, are fifty, for a total 29 648, and you will note that, throughout this report, of 30 including the GIS maps, that the color-coding for each category 31 carries through that entire document, and so it makes it a 32 little bit easier to reference any one of the 648 that you so 33 desire.

34

35 Okay, and so what's the numbers? The big question is always 36 what's the numbers, and percent by council and criteria, the ecosystem conservation is 56 percent of the EEZ. 37 Year-round 38 fisheries management is 37, and seasonal, or other, management is 4 percent, with a total combined area, without overlap, of 39 40 72.1 percent of our EEZ is conserved in some way under the 41 authority of Magnuson, and that's a pretty impressive number. 42 In the next couple of tables, there are a lot of numbers, and I'll let you digest those as we go along, but you will see how 43 44 it goes. 45

Anyway, year-round by gear, and this is seasonal coverage by gear type, and so what we have is these are the GIS maps that we developed for each council. They are extremely accurate, and 1 extremely detailed, and you can find those in Appendix -- I 2 think it's A, but what I would like to do now is pause for any 3 questions that you may have before I turn it over to Ms. Bachman 4 to walk us through that dashboard tool, and so, Mr. Chair. 5

6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Eric. Any questions? Go ahead, 7 Janet.

9 MS. COIT: Thank you, Eric, and this is such fine work. When 10 you say no overlap in that slide, do you mean that none of these 11 areas overlap, or that that's -- Is that what you mean, or just 12 that that's the percentage that is in its entirety, and there is 13 no double-counting?

15 MR. REID: There was no double-counting, or triple-counting, and 16 I'll give you a good example. Being that you're from Rhode Island, there's a thing called a monument south of us, and the 17 18 monument is overlapped by the New England Coral Conservation 19 Zone, and it is also inclusive of the Mid-Atlantic's tilefish 20 GRAs, and there is some monkfish actions in there, but that area 21 itself is only counted once. I mean, I'm happy to go back and 22 count it three or four or five times, if you like, but, in 23 reality, that's why we used the GIS, and that's why we needed 24 the GIS, to make sure that we only counted everything once. 25

26 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Merrick.

8

14

27

28 MR. BURDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you, Eric, for 29 the talk so far. I am thinking about a definitional issue, as 30 it applies to essentially what we're counting as an area under 31 conservation, or some management of some kind, and maybe you 32 already know what I'm getting at, but, if I look at the Pacific 33 coast, for instance, there is a ribbon of water between our 34 deepwater conservation zone and our EFH areas, and the map would 35 imply that that's not managed, or not conserved, and I think 36 it's a definitional issue, because, in that area, all of the 37 fisheries that we have are managed, but it's not a discrete area 38 that we've defined within regulation, and it's just part of our 39 EEZ, and so do you understand what I'm getting at? I think just 40 adding that clarity might be beneficial for the body here. 41

42 MR. REID: How about if I give you my answer, and you can tell 43 me whether I understood your question, and how's that? I mean, 44 I do appreciate the question about the definition. You know, 45 our very first task was to come up with our own definition of 46 what a conservation area is, because none existed, and that 47 criteria was, if I remember correctly, an established area with 48 defined boundaries, and the second one was that it was well 1 managed by a body that would be identified, and the third 2 criteria was to enhance ecosystem biodiversity and access, 3 including food production.

4

44

5 When we did -- When we went through the work tables and the effectiveness sheets, we did take all the areas under each 6 7 council, and we applied the eight America the Beautiful principles to those areas, and then we had some other criteria, 8 9 and it's all outlined in Appendix B, which is, I think, 11(a)(ii), and, if you want to go in and look at that and see if 10 11 the area that you're talking about was identified or not, and I 12 honestly -- I am looking at the coast right there, and I'm not 13 sure if I see what you're talking about, but we were very clear that, once we got this document to what we thought was a final 14 15 form, and we went through every council representative on our 16 group, and we asked if their area was accurate, and everyone agreed, under penalty of my wrath, I suppose, but that was -- We 17 18 wanted to make sure that everybody, after getting all the GIS work done, and being able to, you know, look at it, and a 19 20 picture is worth more than a couple thousand pages, or words, 21 and we all signed off on it, including your council. Does that 22 help you? 23

MR. BURDEN: Yes. Thank you, and I mostly wanted to just raise the definitional issue, so everyone is clear what they're looking at here, and so maybe just one succinct response is the EEZ itself is not treated as an area, and there are defined areas within the EEZ, is what we're focusing on here.

30 MR. REID: Well, the EEZ itself was defined, because that's 31 always an interesting math problem, but, in order to come up 32 with a percent, and 30 by 30 is a percent, and so we wanted to 33 have a percent, and so we had to calculate the entire EEZ, and 34 then each region was calculated individually, and then the areas 35 within those regions under management were applied for a 36 percent, the simple math of that. 37

38 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Are there other questions for Eric, 39 before we ask Michelle to come up for the rest of this 40 presentation? All right. Seeing none, Eric, we'll move on, and 41 I know you're going to wrap-up the end of this discussion as 42 well, and Michelle Bachman is going to present the remainder of 43 this.

45 MS. MICHELLE BACHMAN: Thank you, Dr. Chairman, and thanks to 46 everyone for having me. I'm very pleased to be here. As Eric 47 noted, I'm a staff member at the New England Council, and I was 48 assigned, about a year ago, to work on this subcommittee, with

1 the other members. 2 3 I think you got -- You know, you just got a little bit of an 4 update on our GIS contract, and you heard, I think at your fall meeting, that we were planning to do this work, and I just echo 5 6 that it was really a pleasure to work with the Pacific Fishery 7 Commission staff on this, and it was really a good 8 collaboration. 9 10 We iterated, through, you know, the first two, and even the third, milestones, over the past months, since September and 11 12 October, in terms of assembling the list of areas, and I think 13 the subcommittee, and also Brett Holycross, were very thorough 14 in making sure that we weren't missing anything, and we kind of 15 double and triple-checked, a number of times. 16 17 There was definitely, you know, some cleanup that needed to 18 happen, and a big thing that we did, that I think is a strength 19 of this work, is adding descriptive metadata about each of the 20 areas, when they were designated, in what fishery management plan, where you could find links to the regulations, which gears 21 22 were restricted in each, all of that sort of information that 23 provides a lot of nuance about what the area is and why it's 24 there. 25 Many of the data files that we started with had some of that, 26 27 and we put them all into one, or Brett put them all into one, 28 format, and we wrote the metadata tables, and he added them to 29 the spatial data, and so now we have one clean dataset with all of that information across all eight councils' management areas. 30 31 32 Then, sort of later in the process, he was able to do all those 33 area calculations that Eric was speaking of, and it's, obviously, important to have good workflows for that, so that 34 35 we're not double-counting, and we're accounting for overlaps within and across the different categories, and I was pleased 36 37 that I didn't have to do that work, and that Brett was able to do that for us, and then the final step, that we just completed 38 a few weeks ago, was to finalize and publish the geodatabase, 39 40 and I can show you, in a couple of slides, where you can get 41 that information, and you can download it. 42 It's a little hard to see on this screen, and I think it might 43 44 be better on your screens, but this is just to give you a sense 45 of we'll have fixed links to both a webmap and a landing page for the spatial data layer, and we link to those in the reports,

link to those through our individual websites, or whatever 197

and we'll link those to the fishery council page, and we can

46

47 48 1 channels, and that will take folks right to this webmap and 2 layer pages. 3

4 They each provide a brief description of the dataset, what the intention was, highlight what the council has contributed to the 5 6 work, and you can either open these datasets directly on your 7 own desktop GIS software, and I'm sure that many folks don't have that, and so you can also do it through a web viewer and 8 9 interact with the data that way. These two pages end up being really similar in this case, because we're just talking about 10 the one dataset per page, and we, obviously, could use the 11 webmap to add in lots of other different spatial data and view 12 13 it together, in kind of a curated way.

15 This is just a little bit of a zoomed-in view. On the left, it 16 shows you, if you were to click through and look at that ArcGIS 17 Online webmap viewer, what you would see, and you would see the different color-coded areas. On the right, it shows you, from 18 my desktop, what you would see if you were using ArcGIS for 19 20 desktop, and it also works in QGIS, and I was testing that out 21 earlier this morning, and, basically, you can zoom-in on your 22 region of interest, and then, if you click on individual layers, it will pull up all that metadata, and I believe the links in the metadata are live, and it will take you to places in the 23 24 25 Code of Federal Regulations or other places that we thought were 26 important to be able to get to to learn more about the area. 27

Then, if you were to download the dataset, you can work with it in your own desktop GIS, or through ArcGIS Online. You can change the symbology or add whatever other data you wanted to show, along with these data, and really customize a presentation of the information, but I think the point is that we have now this kind of clean, comprehensive database of all of these areas across all the regions.

36 I think a request to the subcommittee, from the previous meeting, was to develop a recommendation, I think about kind of 37 38 what to do with these, and so I think, at this point, I will shift over and -- Eric will get into some, I think, final 39 recommendations, but just kind of to make a point about storage 40 41 of the database, and so we, obviously, worked with the Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission as our contractor, and they 42 have a geoportal, and it's essentially an online cloud-based 43 44 storage space, to hold these data. They will host them for us 45 long-term, and they're willing to do that, and then the webmap 46 and the layer link will be a static link that will remain active 47 for us.

48

35

14

I think that's, you know, the simplest, and the subcommittee agreed that it was the simplest and most direct way to be able to share these data. NOAA Fisheries also has a geoportal, which I'm sure that some of you have used, and may have access to, and we can share the links through their geoportal as well, and so that's kind of a recommendation, and Eric will wrap-up more with recommendations towards the end.

9 Then another recommendation that we would like you to provide some input on is whether we want to move in a direction of an 10 11 interactive web application, something kind of enhanced, as 12 compared to just the basic webmap or the basic layer page, and I 13 think this maybe gets to the point that Mr. Burden was making 14 about kind of the caveats, or the nuances, and the fact that, 15 you know, the whole EEZ is managed in some way, and there is 16 really, I think, a story that we were trying to tell with this 17 whole effort, and with our report, that I think we can pull some 18 highlights out of that narrative and showcase a little better 19 through a more interactive application. 20

21 ArcGIS Online has these applications that are pretty easy to 22 build, as I understand, and it's called Experience Builder, and, 23 basically, it creates a webpage that can be customized however 24 you would like, and I put a few examples in a couple of slides, 25 and there's some links to those, and they can look however we would like them to look, in terms of kind of the style and how 26 27 many tabs, or graphics, we might have, and they would be based 28 in webmap, but they could have any text that we wanted to see, 29 and they could have some of those gear restriction summaries, 30 whether those are in tables or more graphically, and we could do 31 separate tabs for all the different individual regions. 32

33 We were originally sort of thinking of more of a dashboard 34 concept as being useful, and that was something that Brett was 35 able to develop for us as a working tool for the subcommittee, 36 but, in talking to him at our last subcommittee meeting, it 37 seemed like this ArcGIS Experience Builder is really the way to 38 go, I think, in terms of kind of a more kind of an appropriate 39 way to showcase these data.

41 Just to kind of compare the different tools that you can use 42 through ArcGIS Online data sharing, the first would be the 43 webmap, which we showed you the screenshot of. It's really 44 basic, and it doesn't provide a lot of explanatory text, but 45 it's a good place to send people if they want to just view the 46 data and download the data.

47

40

8

48 The dashboard, which I will share with you on the next slide, is

kind of a flat view on one screen, and it doesn't necessarily 1 2 have a lot of text there, and I think it's frequently used if 3 you have data that we're updating in real time, and you would 4 want to be able to track, you know, different metrics associated with those data on a screen, and maybe you're responding to an 5 issue, or something live, where you really need that kind of one 6 7 screen, and then the experience concept, as I was saying, is a 8 little more curated and in-depth. It allows for more text. 9

10 Importantly, it can also -- It will scale automatically the 11 interface to whatever device you're using, and so, whether 12 somebody is using a phone or a tablet or a laptop or anything 13 else, it's going to scale automatically, to be able to 14 accommodate kind of however people are approaching it, and that 15 really lets us kind of, I think, tell the story in the way that 16 we want to present it. 17

18 If you go to the next slide, you can see this dashboard concept, 19 and so it's kind of all on one screen. You know, there's really 20 a lot there, and it works great on a large screen, and it might not work so well on a small screen, but some of these types of 21 22 graphical information that we have in this dashboard I think could be adapted well to this ArcGIS Online Experience concept, 23 24 and so we have these figures that are showing you the different 25 area, under different types of conservation categories, the 26 totals, and this is, when you first get into the dashboard, what 27 that nationwide total looks like, and then, if you click 28 through, and I can show you this in a minute, you will get to 29 the individual council regions, and you can investigate Then you will see the summaries change for 30 individual datasets. 31 those individual regions.

I think something more of a website, with more narrative text, that combines a lot of these elements, is what the subcommittee was thinking might be a good way to go, if you would like to see something like this built out.

The next couple of slides are just some examples. ArcGIS Online 38 has a whole gallery of these Experience applications that you 39 40 can kind of look at, and I just pulled a couple that felt 41 somewhat relevant to the work that we do, and you can just -- I 42 think the point of showing these two screenshots is just that they can be very different, and they are individually branded to 43 44 the organization and what they're trying to show, and they're 45 really based in webmaps, but they have lots of opportunities for 46 popups and different ways to explore the data.

47

32

48 This one is showing you fishing sites in Alaska, and, if you go

to the next slide, it's more about marine spatial planning in Ireland, being able to dig into different sector-based activities. This one is a little less fully built out, but it just kind of gives you a sense that they can be very different, in terms of being able to be configured. I think, before I go to the next slide, I was just going to pop over and show you, a little more interactively, the dashboard, if that works.

8

21

9 This is just loading up the dashboard that Brett Holycross built for us that we were working with as a kind of self-check tool as 10 11 we were going through these different regions, and I will jump into New England, just because I'm familiar with our areas, and 12 so it zooms on the New England region. If you go to that 13 portion of the webmap, it highlights which region you're looking 14 15 at, and then you can really see how the different color-coding 16 for the ecosystem conservation areas, in blue, the year-round fishery management areas in orange, the seasonal or other areas 17 18 yellow, and we could, I think, you know, build the in 19 application so that you can toggle these different things on and 20 off.

22 If you click into an individual area, you go right to there, and 23 this is our Eastern Maine Habitat Management Area that we 24 designated in 2018, and, if you scroll through the pop-up, it 25 will give you lots of different information about which council 26 designated it, what the gear restrictions are, how you can find 27 more information, and so all the other councils are similar, and 28 it will take you to their region. That's kind of this 29 dashboard, and I think we can take a lot of these concepts -- It 30 also has static maps that you can download as graphics. 31

Then, just to also show you a layer page and what that looks like, this is just that landing page for the layer, and you can see that here it gives a short description of the layer, and it has links to our report, and then there's this data download link, and so you will download a zipped geodatabase, which you can then load up in your own local GIS software and manipulate how you like.

39 40 You can either open it in your own desktop software, or you can 41 open it in this map viewer, and then it will let you interact 42 with the map, zoom-in on different areas, and kind of explore the metadata for the areas in the same way. Obviously, there's 43 a lot kind of going on with this map, and so it does take a 44 45 minute to load, but then, when you click on an individual area, 46 you will get all that same metadata, and so I think this is 47 probably, you know, a great simple tool for now, and the link is 48 in the presentation, if you did want to explore the dataset that

we have and learn about any of these areas, or share them with 1 2 others, but that kind of more builds out --3 4 ArcGIS Online Experience is I think what we would suggest, in terms of if we wanted a product that shared a little bit more, 5 in terms of kind of a narrative description of the work that we 6 7 did, and that would be a way to go, and so thanks for letting me borrow your computer. I don't know if we want to take any 8 questions about this before we go to your last slide or if you 9 10 want to present the recommendations. 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I think maybe let's -- Because this is a very 12 13 intriguing presentation that you had, and I'm sure there's some 14 questions, and maybe we will take a few questions for you, and 15 then, Eric, if you want to wrap it all up. 16 17 MS. BACHMAN: Sure. 18 19 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Any questions? Maybe not. Maybe just stay there for a minute, because I think this will wrap up pretty 20 21 quickly right here, and so go ahead, Eric, and then if there's 22 any broader questions after that. 23 24 Okay. Thank you, and so, as far as our next steps MR. REID: 25 go, we've already taken at least one, and we've presented the 26 final draft for your approval today, hopefully, and that's one 27 of your tasks, is whether to approve it or not, and I already spoke about the way forward for our journal article. 28 29 As part of our task originally for the subcommittee, it was to 30 31 continue to support this group, in coordination with NOAA Fisheries, on an atlas database and any position statements the 32 33 CCC would like our help on, and that's our ongoing task, and, of course, today, we have the opportunity to perhaps move ahead 34 35 with a GIS contractor and the development of this ArcGIS 36 Experience, which is a new and improved version of what we're 37 displaying as a dashboard now, and so that's -- I quess, really, 38 that's it. 39 40 At this point, I would recommend that the Pacific Marine 41 Fisheries Commission continue to host, store, whatever, the GIS 42 data for its use, and they're willing to do that, at least for the foreseeable future, and that would be my recommendation. 43 44 It's very cost-effective, and they have access to a lot of 45 different outlets for that data. 46 47 I guess my final question would be, if in fact you approve our 48 final draft, what would you like to do with the subcommittee 1 itself? We had a very specific task, and we do have some other 2 things, but whether or not you would like to turn it into a 3 working group, or perhaps keep us on-hand for a little while, 4 and so that's it. That's my ask, and I appreciate the 5 opportunity, and, once again, I would like to thank the team for 6 a really fabulous job. Thank you. 7

8 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I think that's very good work, and so, Eric, it 9 sounds like we've got a couple of items of business that you 10 would like us to address, and so, first, maybe I'll just open 11 that up for any broad discussion or questions or anything. 12 Simon.

13

23

27

36

14 MR. KINNEEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Not a question, but I just 15 wanted to express my appreciation for Eric and Michelle's presentation here, and I think it was very eye-opening, and it's 16 17 great to see all the work that the subcommittee has gotten into 18 and completed, and thank you very much for the work here, and 19 it's a tremendous outcome. When the time is right, I will have 20 a motion that will address at least some of what Eric is getting 21 to, but I will wait and see what other questions and discussion 22 there is first.

24 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Well, I will call you back in just a 25 second for your motion. Is there any other comments or 26 questions? Go ahead, Janet.

MS. COIT: I would also just like to again say that I think this 28 29 is terrific, and the visual I think is really powerful, the 30 spatial representation of these different management regimes, 31 and so I guess I would throw a question to you, Eric, about like 32 what are some of the ways that you see this -- I think the 33 impetus was America the Beautiful, but I think it probably has 34 value well beyond that, and so have you thought about, you know, 35 the ways that this could be useful?

37 Well, it's the summation of all the actions that MR. REID: these councils have done over a very long period of time, and 38 39 it's pretty easy to use, even for me, which is saying something, and, you know, it's extremely accurate, and it's extremely 40 informative. You know, obviously, we did this as -- We were 41 42 tasked by the CCC, and the report is to the CCC, and maybe the 43 question is to the whole body, but, in reality, you know, there 44 are a lot of issues that we're facing, and, when it comes to 45 identifying areas that we have already protected, and gone 46 through and conserved, I suppose is the right term, and sorry, 47 but in the face of other competing interests, and I think that's 48 a pretty substantial tool to justify any position we may have.

Of course, this document can be updated with future actions, whether we add on, and we almost never subtract, but it's a tool that can be used for groups outside of fisheries, to see what has happened, and, in particular, the current administration, and so I guess that's -- Does that work for you? Okay. Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Marcos.

10

8

1

11 MR. HANKE: A question to Eric. During the discussion of this, 12 was there any attempt to list all the families, or the species, 13 or the groups, that are protected under this, that have 14 benefitted for protection out of these areas that we already are 15 protecting, because we see that on other forums, that they do 16 that, the same idea, right, and I think it's important to 17 highlight whatever is already in place.

18

19 Well, I guess it depends on what area you might be MR. REID: 20 talking about, Marcos. There are areas that are protected for biodiversity itself in total, and to go through that list of 21 22 species -- I mean, sorry, but no. The answer is no, because 23 there is everything from corals to king crab, or something else, 24 and that's an exhaustive list, and we were not prepared, or 25 capable, of doing that, but there are other areas that are specific to certain species, or groups of species, in which case 26 27 those have been identified, but some of the areas are too vast 28 to even attempt to do what you're doing, but, as you know, there 29 are areas that are designed to protect particular species, for 30 particular reasons, and those are listed in the -- If you look 31 at the worksheets, some of those actions are listed and what 32 their intent for management was. 33

MR. HANKE: Just a follow-up, and the reason of my question is that, when we talk -- When I hear discussion about 30 by 30, 34 MR. HANKE: 35 36 it's a general discussion, and we are talking not with a general 37 approach here, and there is a lot of them that are designed for 38 a reason, and they have a true core purpose to it, more than 39 just a percentage added to it, as a general mentality or something, and that's what bothers me, and that's the reason why 40 41 I made the question, because I see that we protect a lot, and we 42 protect enough, and there is other tools that can complement what we have, and we don't need to -- That's my opinion, that we 43 44 don't need to do anything, unless it's super justified, to 45 address the area closures anymore. 46

47 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. David, do you have your hand up?
48

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for recognizing me. 1 MR. WITHERELL: I 2 was just going to mention that your habitat workgroup could probably take the GIS information that this subcommittee 3 provided and be able to at least tell you what species have EFH 4 conservation measures associated with them, and so, in other 5 words, we could tell you say the Level 1, or Level 2, FMP 6 species that have EFH in each particular area, but I think 7 Eric's point was that there's a whole lot more to biodiversity 8 9 than just the species that are covered under an FMP, that are 10 being conserved in that area, each area. 11 12 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am not seeing any more hands, and so, 13 Simon, this might be a good time, if you have a motion that you 14 would like to present. 15 16 MR. KINNEEN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. My motion would be that the CCC accepts the report of the ABM Working Group and 17 18 approves development of an interactive webmap application. 19 20 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. Would someone like to second that motion? It's seconded by Tom. Any discussion? Any more 21 22 rationale or any discussion on it? Go ahead, Simon, if you have some rationale. 23 24 25 MR. KINNEEN: I didn't really have a whole lot of other rationale than what I spoke to earlier, and I just agree with 26 27 Eric that it sure seems that the subcommittee met the terms of reference that the CCC put forward, and it sure looks like 28 29 mission accomplished to me. 30 31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes. Good. Okay. Thank you, and, Eric. 32 33 MR. REID: Thank you, Dr. Chair, and so, the other day, I did mention that developing the interactive webmap, and we spoke of 34 35 the ArcGIS, is going to require some funding, but that funding 36 has been secured through the New England Council, and I would 37 like to -- If this goes forward, I would like to thank the council in advance, and they supported the GIS work as well 38 39 initially too, and so --40 41 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Go ahead. 42 43 Thank you. Just a quick comment. In talking to MS. BACHMAN: Brett, we do think that this is an application that could be 44 45 built maybe in a month's timeframe, depending on other work that 46 they're doing, and I think it would require some amount of 47 interaction with the subcommittee members, just to make sure that we're showcasing and highlighting what each council feels 48

is the best information to put out there, but, really, a lot of the content, I think, is already developed, as part of the report, and so it wouldn't be a lot of writing or generation of new content, and it's just kind of showcasing it in a slightly different way, and just so folks are aware that there would be that kind of additional integration with the subcommittee, to make this happen.

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you for that clarification. Not seeing 10 any more hands up, we'll go ahead and dispense with this motion. 11 Is there any opposition to this motion? Seeing none, the motion 12 carries. 13

14 All right. If there is nothing else regarding area-based 15 management, and seeing none here, Sam, we'll move on to your 16 portion of the America the Beautiful Initiative and the 17 fisheries update. Eric.?

19 MR. REID: Sorry, and I didn't mean to interrupt Mr. Rauch, but 20 can I assume, by consent, that it's okay to have the Pacific 21 Commission store the data?

23 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** I'm sorry. That was the other piece of your 24 point that I failed to mention.

26 MR. REID: Maybe we don't need a motion, and maybe just 27 everybody is okay with that, and we'll move on. 28

29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Is there any opposition to that request? 30 Seeing none, it sounds like it's okay, and so thank you. Okay. 31 Now moving on, Sam Rauch, thank you. I know you had some travel 32 issues getting here, and all sorts of things, and so we 33 appreciate you making it down.

34 35 36

18

22

25

FISHERIES UPDATE ON INTERAGENCY EFFORT

37 MR. SAM RAUCH: Thank you, and it is my pleasure to finally be here. I want to apologize for missing yesterday. It was the 38 first day of a CCC meeting that I have missed in seventeen 39 vears. The reason I know that is that, seventeen years ago, it 40 41 was the first day of the Mid-Atlantic's meeting in Philadelphia, 42 and I had actually driven to Philadelphia to attend that meeting, and, in the middle of the night, I had to leave and 43 drive back to D.C., because my youngest son was born that 44 45 morning, and I made it back to D.C., and he turned seventeen yesterday, and so that's not why I missed the meeting. I had to 46 47 testify in front of Capitol Hill, the House Natural Resources 48 Committee, and so I had a command performance, and so that's why

I missed the meeting, but I do apologize for that. 1 2 3 Anyway, it's my pleasure to give the update on the America the Beautiful Initiative, and we've talked several times about that, 4 and it is the underlying initiative that I think influenced the 5 6 presentation of the excellent work that you were just talking 7 about. 8 9 I was going to provide an update on some of where we are on this, and I'm not going to go over the background of the report, 10 11 which we've done several times with this group, but, recently, 12 the White House has made a number of announcements, or has taken 13 a number of relevant actions, to America the Beautiful. 14 15 In March, they hosted a White House Conservation and Action Summit. At that summit, they released The Economic Report of the President, which is broader than just this conservation 16 17 initiative, but the conservation initiative featured prominently 18 19 in that report, and there was a fact sheet that the White House 20 issued at the same time providing new tools to support leaders 21 at every level of government in managing the effects of climate 22 change and building community resilience. 23 24 It delved into different aspects of the federal adaptation 25 strategy, a number of potential policy initiatives that could be taken advantage of, and you may well want to take a look at 26 27 that, and, as I said, it's a much broader report than just this 28 issue, but this issue does figure prominently in it. 29 In addition, as many of you well know, Congress took action, in 30 31 the last Congress, to devote almost \$6 billion on Infrastructure 32 and Inflation Reduction Act funds generically to use in boosting 33 natural infrastructure, restoring wildlife habitat, increasing 34 our resilience to climate change and extreme weather, while 35 strengthening the ability to conduct research and better 36 understand the effects of climate change, and so this is a significant investment that goes across many different areas, 37 38 but it is -- It provides an important source of funding that can 39 be used to advance the goals of the America the Beautiful 40 Initiative. 41 42 Finally, as I know that many of you are aware, the President did direct the Department of Commerce to initiate a process to 43 44 consider designating all waters around the Pacific Remote 45 Islands as a national marine sanctuary, and I think you're going to talk about that more later, but all of that supported the 46 47 President's initiatives. 48

This is a big-ticket item that we have talked about numerous 1 2 times, is what exactly is conservation, and what exactly does 3 the President mean by conserving 30 percent of the land and waters by 2030, and the last formal discussion of that occurred 4 in the America the Beautiful report, which was about a year-and-5 6 a-half ago, which talked about a conservation continuum, a wide 7 range of actions that can figure in and be accounted for as 8 conservation, going from voluntary agreements with landowners to 9 completely protected marine protected areas, and so there's a wide range, and it still is -- There's sort of been no more 10 11 formal announcement giving more precision into what actually will count or how that broad notion will be applied. 12 13

14 We continue to make progress on that, but, as I think I've said 15 several times though, I do not expect, and I still do not 16 expect, that there will be a singular definition. Instead, I think that there will be a list of elements that are the 17 18 hallmarks for a conservation area, or more of a decision tree 19 that can be applied as you accumulate these areas, as opposed to 20 a single uniform, across-the-board, simple definition, and it's 21 very difficult to come up with one. 22

23 The efforts of the CCC was very helpful in the CEQ's thinking, 24 and we don't have the results of the CEQ's thinking, but we have 25 forwarded the work to-date that you have done, that you are 26 finalizing today, and they are very familiar with the work that 27 the councils have done and the thinking that the councils have 28 put into that, and it's been very helpful as they formulate what 29 conservation does and does not mean, and so we're excited to see that report come to a close, and, although the federal efforts 30 31 to define conservation are not done, and I don't have a 32 timeline, we will share that with you as soon as we get that. 33

34 We are looking though at the atlas, and I've talked to you about 35 the atlas before, and so, while the definition of "conservation" 36 is a little bit up in the air, the expectation is that we will have an atlas of all the conservation areas, or the potential 37 38 conservation areas, and that will be the tool that we will use to -- Much like what the CCC just presented, and if you can sort 39 40 of accumulate nationally all the different kinds of inputs and 41 to look at what counts and what does not count.

42

There is a website that DOI, the Department of Interior, is setting up, called conservation.gov, which will include the atlas, when it is done, as well as other information like grant opportunities, upcoming meetings, et cetera, and that website is expected to launch at the end of May. We're getting close to the end of May, and I'm not sure whether they will actually make 1 that, but they are very close to that, and we expect that that 2 will launch and that there will be a beta version of the atlas 3 included in that launch, so that finally you will be able to see 4 some of the ways that the administration is looking at it. 5

6 I don't expect that the beta version will have the functionality 7 to do a calculation of what counts, how close are we to 30 percent, what kinds of things account for 30 percent, but it 8 9 will allow folks to see the atlas platform and show most of the data feeding into it, and we have -- Leading up to that, there 10 11 is another intergovernmental subcommittee called a Measurement 12 Subcommittee, and that's also the team that was developing the atlas, but they're the ones that are working on how best to 13 determine what counts for 30 percent and to look at the 14 15 continuum of conservation actions that they laid out in that 16 report from a year-and-a-half ago. 17

18 The GIS database that you just took final action on, we have --19 Much like Janet said, we thought that that was a very good, 20 credible work, and I think it really does advance the thinking, and it has been very influential, in terms of the White House 21 22 thinking on that, and we offered to provide a quality check against another independent data source, and so the work of the 23 24 councils we wanted to check and make sure that all of that was accurate, so that it could seamlessly sort of flow into the 25 26 atlas, if there was an opportunity to do so, and, when we did 27 that check, we found that the CCC data had very good accuracy. 28

29 There were a couple of issues, which were quite quickly addressed, and so, with the councils permission, we will be 30 using that database as a foundation for submitting areas to the 31 32 atlas, once that becomes clear what that process is and what the 33 criteria are, if any. We've already been working on some 34 example areas that we hope will go into this initial beta version, but, obviously, it's not all of the areas that the CCC 35 36 has identified, but our intention, much like I think the 37 councils' intention, is that this is a tool that can feed into 38 that process, while we're still sort of waiting on what the 39 criteria are in that process.

41 Just a couple of other related, but slightly different topics, 42 and we've briefed you on this before, and the new Marine and Coastal Area-Based Management FACA Committee, and this was --43 44 It's not a direct follow-on to the old MPA FACA Committee, but 45 it is a reconstituted committee. It provides advice to NOAA on 46 science-based approaches to area-based marine protection, 47 conservation and restoration included, but not limited to, 48 actions involving the implementation of the America the

40

1 Beautiful Initiative.

2

13

24

30

36

39

41

43

3 Unlike the MPA Committee, which was -- Which we participated in, but it was being led by the National Ocean Service, our sister 4 agency, and this one is co-led by the National Ocean Service and 5 the National Marine Fisheries Service. Kelly is the NMFS lead, 6 7 or will be the NMFS lead, for the committee, and John Armor, from Sanctuaries, is going to the NOS lead, and Lauren Wenzel, 8 9 from the MPA Center, is going to be supporting through that We're currently processing that package, to get 10 process. 11 everything set up, and we hope to have the first meeting in the summer or the fall of this year. 12

14 Another FACA committee, or another committee that we wanted to 15 highlight, is the Federal Interagency Committee for Outdoor 16 Recreation, or FICOR, and it also was reestablished recently, on 17 July 20 of last year, and the Commerce Department signed an 18 interagency MOU to be an official part of this committee, and 19 the charter and workplan will be developed within 120 and 180 20 days, respectively, and there is an annual rotating chair, with 21 the Parks Service holding the chairmanship in the first year, 22 the National Parks Service, and the principals are going to meet 23 twice a year, and Russ Dunn is our member on that committee.

Then there is, in February, a group of NOAA staff and the Aquarium Conservation Partnership Working Group for America the Beautiful, and they held a workshop to develop a two-year workplan of actions that the conservation partnership can take for the goals of America the Beautiful.

31 The proposed activities largely centered around the three themes 32 of protected areas, indigenous and historically-excluded 33 communities, and engagement, and so that was an effort that we, 34 working with that partnership, started in February, and that is 35 my update, and I'm happy to take questions and comments.

37 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Sam. We'll open the 38 floor to questions. John.

- 40 MR. GOURLEY: Good morning, Sam.
- 42 MR. RAUCH: Good morning, John.

44 MR. GOURLEY: I wanted to ask about the definition for the 30 by 45 30, and I'm trying to put something in my head about what you 46 said, and so it will probably be a broad-based definition that 47 has several criteria that a specific area would need to meet, 48 and so are you looking at having an acceptable conservation

areas that has like three out of five, and is that something 1 2 you're looking at, or does it have to have like Numbers 2 and 4 3 in every one of them, and then maybe one other criteria, and 4 what's your feeling on that? Where are we going on that? 5 6 I feel -- First of all, given that it's not MR. RAUCH: 7 completed yet, and so I do not know what it will be, but my opinion is that it likely will have a list of criteria, but 8 there will be substantial discretion on the part of CEQ and the 9 federal government to decide whether it is 10 or is not conservation, and then, as we go through that process, it will 11 become clear, and we will become more objective, but I do not 12 13 anticipate that there will be mandatory check that you have to 14 meet 2 and 4 or whatever. 15 I think that there will be a list of criteria, and then there 16 17 will be an assessment of how well an area meets that criteria, 18 but I do not know, and that is one of the things that we 19 continue to work through with the administration. 20 21 MR. GOURLEY: Okay. Thank you. 22 23 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Next, I have Eric. 24 25 MR. REID: Thank you, Dr. Chair, and thank you, Mr. Rauch, and so my question is about the original eight principles of America 26 27 the Beautiful, and so are you saying that those eight principles 28 are the guiding principles, but it might be a Principle 1, with 29 fifteen different subcategories, something like that? 30 31 MR. RAUCH: Thank you for the question, and I believe -- I don't 32 know that the principles themselves are going to be the definition, and the definition will need to be -- The criteria 33 34 will need to be developed such that it serves the principles, 35 but we want to make sure that -- I mean, those principles guide the whole process, and that is why we're doing these, is to try 36 37 to meet all those different objectives that the President laid out in that report, and so whatever the criteria are, they need 38 39 to be able to satisfy some of that, but I don't know that 40 there's going to be an area that -- Much like the National 41 Standards, right, and it's hard to satisfy all those criteria at 42 the same time with the same area. 43 44 My view is that there will be some flexibility that you may be 45 principally serving one, and not all eight at the same time, and 46 I cannot conceive of an area that would necessarily serve all 47 eight mandates at the same time, much like it's hard to do that 48 with the standards, also.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom.

1 2

3

4 Thanks, Sam, for those updates, and so, in the DR. FRAZER: presentation, you talked about the atlas, and then DOI would 5 probably maintain that, but I would assume that that's going to 6 7 be a living digital document, right, or resource, and I should have asked this question perhaps in the last presentation, but 8 that would require regular updates, right, and so that means you 9 have to have a continual support stream for the CCC part of it, 10 and so I'm just wondering how -- Who is going to actually be in 11 12 charge of updating the data and making sure that those updates 13 are provided on a regular basis? 14

15 MR. RAUCH: At the moment, and let's just parse out that 16 question, it's a beta version that we expect to be released, and 17 so it's not a final version, and so there clearly is going to be 18 some changes and process to go from the version that gets 19 released, maybe by the end of this month, to a final, workable 20 version. 21

The intent is that it be publicly available and useful, and I think the intent is also that it be updated periodically, so it's not a one-time snapshot, and the President has always said that this is a goal, and it is a continuous process, and so I believe that we will be, as the federal government, updating that atlas continuously.

29 The physical update for that atlas will be an Interior 30 responsibility, I believe, and that's still to be worked out, 31 but they're hosting it, and so, while we would be contributing, 32 the Fisheries Service would be contributing, to it on a frequent 33 basis, they would be the ones doing the actual update of that 34 website.

How often they would do that, what our -- You know, is it going to be an annual thing, a monthly thing, you know, every five years, I don't know, and so I don't know how much effort we would have to undertake once the initial document is done, to keep it up, but that is one of the goals, is to keep it up, but I just don't know the schedule or how much work that would be.

42

35

43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Next is Marcos.

44

48

45 MR. HANKE: I am having a hard time to understand, under a 46 climate change situation, how we're going to create requisites 47 today that is correct for one area, but, in one year, or five 1 just chasing the rabbit and never being precise enough to make 2 sense. That is my question. 3

4 MR. RAUCH: Maybe I will just comment on the flexibility, and one of the things that we've talked about, and one of the 5 advantages of using the council process, is that areas that you 6 7 conserve today may not be the ones that you need to conserve in ten years, because the stock -- You know, one of the things that 8 9 we're conserving is biodiversity, and those are the fish stocks on which we manage, and those stocks may be moving, and so we 10 may need to be able to adjust that, and so there is some tension 11 12 in the various communities, or tension -- There is some debate 13 as to how permanent, or flexible, these areas can be. 14

15 I believe that there needs to be some flexibility, Now, 16 particularly to deal with climate change, because there are 17 areas -- You know, those are going to change, and the whole 18 impact of climate change, and so you need to be able to adapt and shift the areas to account for the effects of climate 19 20 change, and that is one of the things, but there is some debate 21 on that, as to do you lose the requisite degree of permanence 22 status for permanence in management with ephemeral changes. 23

If something is protected one year, and then it's a different area the next year, is that really conservation to the area? I don't have an answer to that, but that is something that we're looking at, is how you look at the flexibility to effect climate change and that means for the permanence of these areas.

30 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Kitty.

32 MS. SIMONDS: Hi, Sam. When the President directed -- Since you mentioned the sanctuary, and this is just a comment, but, when 33 34 the President directed the Secretary of Commerce to begin the 35 sanctuary process, the announcement alluded that this would help 36 achieve his 30 by 30 goals, and so, I mean, I don't think he should have said that, because that was a total insult to our 37 part of the world, which is mostly closed, and so what I'm 38 saying is that, you know, he should try to achieve it somewhere 39 40 else, and so were you all a part of putting this together? I am 41 talking about NMFS. 42

- 43 MR. RAUCH: You being the President's directive to start --
- 45 MS. SIMONDS: Yes.

31

44

46

47 MR. RAUCH: We traditionally do not take positions on sanctuary 48 matters, and that is handled by our sister agency, the Ocean

Service. Fisheries usually does not take positions on things 1 2 like that. 3 MS. SIMONDS: So you all didn't review this announcement that 4 5 went out about 30 by 30, achieving the 30 by 30 goal by closing 6 our part of the world? 7 8 MR. RAUCH: We don't take positions on things like that. 9 10 MS. SIMONDS: Just checking. 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. I am looking around the room. Any other 12 13 hands up? Well, Sam, I'm not seeing any. Thank you for that presentation. We'll move on then to the next item on the 14 15 agenda, and so our next item will be a virtual presentation by Rick Methot, and this will bring us to National Standard 1 and 16 17 the Technical Guidance Status, and so we'll give our group a minute to pull up that presentation and get Rick available, and 18 19 we'll start here in just a second. 20 21 NATIONAL STANDARD 1 - TECHNICAL GUIDANCE STATUS 22 23 DR. RICK METHOT: Very good. Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Rick, we have your presentation up. Can you 26 hear us okay? 27 28 DR. METHOT: I can hear you fine. Can you hear me fine? 29 30 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes, we can, and so go ahead when you're ready. 31 32 DR. METHOT: Excellent. Well, thanks very much for this opportunity, and I wish I was there with you, but this will be 33 how we'll do it today. I'm here to talk about the federal guidance for estimating status determination reference points 34 35 36 and their proxies for the National Standard 1 Guidelines. 37 38 We finally have a document. It's still in draft form, and we've had a substantial amount of reviews internally, and we still 39 have some ongoing, and we feel that's very important for us to 40 41 get good feedback from the councils and their committees, as you 42 read through it, and I'm glad that some of you have already reached out to me to have a direct conversation about this 43 44 document, and we hope to get as many of those in as we can, so 45 that you can provide good comments to us by the end of the summer, or early fall, so that we're well prepared to finish 46 47 this up a few months later. 48

214

I have seen presentations to you in the past, and you've seen 1 many of these slides before, and I will quickly go through them, 2 3 to leave good time for questions at the end, but, here in this 4 document, we do go over the various approaches for going from our population models to reference points, and there's a lot of 5 6 details and a lot of diversity there, because the nature of the fish, their habitats, the fisheries, the amount of data that we've been able to develop over time in various regions, it's 7 8 9 tremendously variable, and so there is a diversity of approaches that have evolved, and, to some degree, they have evolved in a 10 11 bit of a stovepipe over the last twenty or thirty years, and so we do not have, you know, a one-size-fits-all that easily can 12 13 provide the information that we need on reference points. 14

We've been moving in that direction, and this document has been an effort to move us further in that direction, but we do have good coverage of our various approaches to use the available data to provide information on reference points.

20 We cover multiyear approaches briefly, and we talk a good bit 21 about the overfished and approaching an overfished condition, 22 something that we've not covered much in the past, and we go into the development of an overfished determination from a 23 24 approach, and, basically, if we've measured percent SPR 25 something like the biological composition, can we use that for 26 an overfished determination, and we spent a good bit of time on 27 reference points and status determination criteria for changing conditions, and how do we deal with the fact that there are 28 29 shifts in the biology of the animals and other aspects of their 30 productivity, and how do we translate that into reference 31 points, while still having the concept of a reference point in 32 existence?

33

41

Finally, we do touch upon the need for us to increasingly consider the interactions among species as we talk about reference points. It's never been out of consideration, but it's also not been very much invoked as we've developed guidelines and specifications, and so we want to keep moving the bar further towards being able to take into account the interactions among species.

You know, we've seen this before, just this cartoon of how a population works, how the interaction between catch and the abundance of the population in our fishing mortality rates, and so, the steeper the line, the larger fraction of the population we're catching each year, and so there's a direct relationship there, and where that diagonal line intersects the parabola curve is basically the level that we expect to get, and so

there's some level that will give us the maximum, or give us 1 MSY, and fishing harder than that means you're getting less than 2 3 MSY, and so we are overfishing the stock, and so that would be 4 an overfishing condition, in that, as the stock declines further, and it experiences even higher fishing mortality rates 5 6 than FMSY, it can be pushed down below the MSST and be 7 determined to be overfished and in need of a rebuilding plan, 8 and so the calculation of these quantities is the core of what 9 we're doing. 10 11 Again, the document spends a good bit of time talking about the 12 relationship between direct estimation of the fishing mortality 13 rate that will provide MSY and various proxies for it. This has been a topic of much research over the last many years, going 14 15 back into the 1990s, and there were several papers, at that 16 time, that were very important in providing the basis for the 17 proxies that are in place today. 18 19 Basically, we find that the work looking at the performance of 20 populations over time recommends that fishing at a percent SPR

in the range of 30 percent to 60 percent, which means we're fishing hard enough to reduce the population to 30 percent of what it would have been if we weren't fishing to 60 percent of what it would have been without fishing.

We see those ranges out there, and a default to 40 to 45 percent 26 27 is in many FMPs today, and it is the level that is most supported by scientific research, as it advances, and we also 28 29 see proxies for BMSY, which is basically what level of recruitment are we seeing and then how can we use that, combined 30 31 with how hard we are fishing, to make a projection for how large 32 the stock will be if we continued fishing at our -- At what we 33 determine to be our proxy rate. Again, it's clarifying this 34 process of going through these calculations as we can in the 35 document.

36

37 We cover, briefly, the topic of biomass dynamics models, which are more data-limited, yet they are very straightforward to 38 calculate and present essentially that cartoon that I presented 39 40 earlier of how catch interacts with the population, and that is 41 essentially what a biomass dynamics model is doing, and, again, 42 it's simple, and, in its simplicity, it's hard to tell where it 43 might be getting it wrong, and that's why we increasingly are advocating that we move in the direction of age-structured 44 models that allow us to look at the details of just how the 45 46 fisheries are interacting with populations, how we end up with a 47 combination of fisheries, some targeting small fish and some targeting large fish, and they have different impacts on the 48

population, and we can only take that into account well with our age-structured models, yet there still is a role, and a need, for biomass dynamics models, in some circumstances.

Importantly, we spend some time on the data-limited methods, 5 6 here, we see a variety of methods that have evolved, and, 7 especially since the last reauthorization of the act that required annual catch limits for all stocks, and, in doing so, 8 9 we've had a strong demand for some method that we could apply in more situations, and some of these involve catch only, and some 10 11 involve just a trend in abundance, and many of them though 12 involve looking at the biological composition, and, basically, 13 what is the percentage of the population that is young fish 14 versus old fish.

15

16 Can you look at the curve of declining numbers, as you go out to 17 older ages, or larger sizes, and infer, from that declining 18 curve, how much it has declined relative to how much it would 19 have declined if we weren't fishing, or fishing at a lighter 20 level, and that allows us to make inferences about how high a 21 fishing mortality rate the population had been experiencing in 22 order for us to have seen such a composition curve. 23

24 These composition curves, again, are commonly now used in many 25 of our data-limited situations, and the important new aspect 26 that we have in this document is that, even though we've been 27 using these percent SPR kind of methods as a way to gauge how 28 high the fishing mortality rate has been, essentially to be able 29 to make overfishing determinations from that information, and we 30 also see that, because this is fundamentally a measure of the 31 condition of the stock, and not of the fishing rate itself, and 32 because it's fundamentally a measure of the condition of the 33 stock, we see that we can calibrate this to another level and 34 an overfished determination, use it to make in some 35 circumstances.

36

37 All of the data-limited methods are highly susceptible to the 38 degree of assumptions that need to be made. The simpler the model, the more assumptions there are always in it, and we do 39 believe that there is a range of suitable assumptions that can 40 41 be made so that we can use this kind of information to determine 42 whether or not stocks appear to be experiencing an overfished 43 condition, as well as whether or not it is experiencing 44 overfishing.

45

46 There is a number of additional considerations that we have in 47 here, things that so easily get left aside and not considered as 48 we focus on the core questions, but they're out there, and they're things that really do matter. Things at the top are fleet dynamics, spatial complexity, and they're real, and we need to deal with them in our assessments, and they make it challenging and complicated to translate things into simple reference points.

7 We have not spent much time looking at the ramifications of 8 size-selective fishing. Basically, slower-growing fish don't 9 get into the fishery at as young of an age, and so fast-growing 10 fish are getting more fishing mortality rate, and this has 11 consequences. We have not looked much at density dependence and 12 other aspects of life history other than spawner-recruit, and 13 this needs more attention. 14

15 Looking at just the impacts of fishing on spawning biomass, it easily leads us into not looking at the consequences of reducing 16 17 the breadth of the age composition of a population, and, 18 finally, we have gotten better at measuring the reproductive 19 potential of stocks, by taking into account fecundity of 20 animals, and, rather than just looking at a measure of spawning 21 biomass as the total weight of the females, we can do it in 22 terms of reproductive potential more directly, but that has consequences for how we calibrate our reference points, and we 23 24 haven't necessarily carried that all the way through in all 25 conditions, and so we have a number of suggestions on where we 26 could be making more progress. 27

Importantly, updating reference points for prevailing conditions is something that we need attention to, and it can't be a reference point if it's constantly changing, and so there needs to be, you know, conditions under which it changes, but we also need a sense of, you know, just going with the changing times, and, for many aspects of it, we do already.

35 We allow our assessments, and the resultant reference point 36 calculations, to adapt to changing fishing conditions, to adapt 37 to changing biology of the animals, as they grow faster or slower from year to year, and so that is also naturally taken 38 39 into account, but big shifts in things like recruitment, as 40 shown in this picture, or in the natural mortality rate, or even 41 long-term shifts, long-term trends in growth, are things that, 42 by slowly adapting to them, we don't spend as much effort 43 looking at the consequences of this long-term shift, and so we are including, in this document, a recommendation that we look 44 45 further.

46

47 We don't have a specific guidance on how to do it yet, and there 48 needs to be more work along these lines, but we want to look into the possibility of blending our approach, that some aspects of reference points do shift with prevailing conditions, and we basically use a trailing average approach to doing that, but other aspects maintain a focus on the long-term trends of the stock and don't necessarily shift over time.

7 In particular, when we have a control rule, and we have some 8 biomass level below which we are reducing the fishing mortality 9 rate, we think that is a good thing to maintain, so that, even 10 though the new prevailing conditions indicate that there is some 11 new biology, some new fishing rate that could occur, if the stock also has shown a decline below that inflection point, we 12 13 maintain that inflection point, and we allow it to be implemented to reduce the fishing mortality rate below that 14 maximum level, because the stock is at a low level, and we'll 15 not shift the bar down so that we keep maintaining a full 16 17 fishing mortality rate on a declining stock. It needs more 18 work, but it's an idea that is being explored, in some cases, 19 and we think it has merit. 20

21 As I mentioned at the onset, we've not gone very far with taking 22 a multispecies approach to our reference points. There are things that could be done by looking at the whole system at a 23 24 time, and this is where our ecosystem models are taking us, what 25 they're looking into, and it's a very broad and expansive approach, in order to do that, and there are also things that we 26 27 can do more directly, and it's really just a natural extension 28 of our current approach, where we can take into account the 29 predation mortality, called M2, so that, as one species changes 30 in abundance, the impact on other species can be directly 31 calculated.

It does add a level of complexity, but it's increasingly seen as a necessary level of complexity in some circumstances, and so it should never be off the table, but there are a number of cases in which we could move forward with this, and, again, it remains limited, but we do think there is strong merit in moving in this direction.

32

39

40 Just wrapping up, we've updated the technical guidance for 41 limitation of reference points, status determinations, and we've 42 been working on this for a few years, but then we took a lot 43 more years in order to get started on it, and so we had a lot of 44 catching up to do. 45

46 It addresses some old issues as well as some new issues, and we 47 highlight that, despite the challenges and differences, what 48 we've been doing really has been effective and is looked at

worldwide as a very effective system that blends science and 1 2 management and implementation in a way that does achieve sustainable fisheries, and so we are fine-tuning here as we 3 progress, but we do think that there is progress that can be 4 made. Thank you, and I would be glad to answer any questions 5 6 you have. 7 8 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I'm looking around the room. Anything? Seeing none, thank you, Rick. We appreciate the update and that 9 10 presentation. 11 12 DR. METHOT: Very good, and I look forward to the opportunity to 13 talk with the individual councils and your SSCs. 14 15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you. That is going to bring us to 16 about a break, and why don't we take a short break, until about 17 3:15, and then we'll pick up with the rest of the meeting 18 agenda. 19 20 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 21 22 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: If everyone is back, we'll go ahead and get 23 started, and I know it's important to have these sort of hallway 24 discussions and things, and so I allowed a little bit more time 25 on the break, since we're not so pressed today for the agenda and the rest of this afternoon. After public comment, we may 26 27 try to work in a few things here or there, if we can, just to 28 save a little time tomorrow, for those of you that have flights 29 and that sort of thing. 30 31 Bringing us back on the agenda, the next thing to discuss is the 32 discussion on establishing fishing regulations in sanctuaries, and some of you might have seen that there was some discussion, 33 34 as we were putting together this agenda, and it turned out that 35 John Armor wasn't able to be here, for a variety of reasons, for 36 the presentation, and so we decided to put some of this 37 discussion and move that to the October meeting, when we could have a more thorough and meaningful discussion, but there was 38 still, I think, some members that were interested in having a 39 little bit of discussion, and so we've reserved a little bit of 40 41 time for that, and that's the purpose of this agenda item. 42 Unless something has happened otherwise, I don't think there is 43 44 any formal presentations or anything like that, and it was just 45 to kind of open up the floor for discussion, and so, with that, 46 Marc, I see you have your hand up, and would you like to start 47 that off, please? 48

DISCUSSION OF ESTABLISHING FISHING REGULATIONS IN SANCTUARIES

3 MR. GORELNIK: Sure. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Thank you 4 for leaving some time on the agenda for this item, and we, in the Pacific Council, work with our west coast national marine 5 6 sanctuaries, and I think what we all need to acknowledge is that 7 we have shared responsibility with the sanctuaries to sustainably manage the living marine resources in our nation's 8 9 waters, but there needs to be a reasonable process for these sister organizations to work together to achieve the common 10 11 goals with minimum conflict. 12

13 We do have this common goal, and our goals are not mutually 14 exclusive, and we're partners, and so the difference is, at the 15 council level, we have a robust public-stakeholder-driven 16 process, and it complements the sanctuary process, but it's the 17 only process we have that allows the public to weigh-in and 18 participate in the rulemaking, and that does not exist on the 19 sanctuary side, or nothing like it does. 20

21 I will tell you what we at the Pacific Council, because there is 22 a new national marine sanctuary that is being brought online, 23 the Chumash Heritage National Marine Sanctuary, and the documents, the proposal for that sanctuary, indicated there 24 25 would be no proposed fishing regulations, but the sanctuary came to our council and said, what additional fishery regulations do 26 27 you need to serve the purpose of the sanctuary, and our response 28 was we're not aware of any, but, if you believe that our 29 existing regulations are not adequate, we want to work with you to achieve our common goal, and I will note that, at least in 30 31 our council, we have a dedicated seat on our Habitat Committee 32 for a representative of the sanctuaries.

33

1

2

34 I guess, to sort of recap, I think the process for determining 35 fishery regulations in sanctuary waters needs to be а 36 cooperative effort, towards the same goal, and, if sanctuaries 37 feel that the councils are not doing an adequate job, we're 38 ready and willing to work with them. What we don't want to see happen is for the sanctuaries to go off and impose fishery 39 40 regulations without the benefit of the process we have at the 41 council.

42

43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Marc. Other comments? John and 44 then Kitty, or, Kitty, go ahead. 45

46 **MS. SIMONDS:** I would like to start this off, because, as you 47 know, we actually have three sanctuaries sort of in the works in 48 our part of the world, and so they're ongoing, and decisions are 1 going to be made very soon, and so we're going to do this in 2 three parts, and John is going to be first, to talk about the 3 Marianas Trench, and Will be second, to talk about the 4 President's proposal, and then I will just end up with the 5 expansion of Papahānaumokuākea.

6 7

8

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: John, go ahead.

9 MR. GOURLEY: Thank you, Chairman. We're on Item XVI, Existing 10 Process for Eliminating Fishing in Sanctuaries, because that's 11 what is happening. We have a war, out in the Western Pacific, 12 and that war is between the federal government and the 13 underserved indigenous communities of the Marinas archipelago, 14 the American Samoa islands, Hawaii, and then the Pacific Remote 15 Islands, which, obviously, doesn't have anybody living on there. 16

17 The island fishing communities are losing this battle. Whv? 18 Because the Western Pacific territories are politically neutered. There is no voting member in Congress, and no member 19 20 at all in the Senate. We don't vote for President, and our 21 population levels are smaller than medium-sized cities in the 22 U.S., and, a lot of times, our people in the islands don't really know how to address Federal Register announcements, how 23 24 to deal with public hearings, and, most of the time, the public 25 hearings of the federal government on the islands are not tailored to local culture, and a lot of the people in the 26 27 islands just don't go. 28

29 Unfortunately, NOAA is getting a black eye from these sanctuary 30 guys, and ONMS is not trusted, and it is carried through to all 31 of NOAA, quite honestly. 32

The war that's going on right now is two phases. The first phase occurred from 2009 to 2016, with sitting Presidents in creating blue legacies unilaterally, through the Antiquities Act, and they took away our fishing rights in over 50 percent of the U.S. EEZ in the Western Pacific, under the guise of conservation.

40 The second assault started around 2020 and is currently in full 41 attack mode, with the conversion of existing monuments into 42 national marine sanctuaries. As Kitty mentioned, we've got 43 three active sanctuary conversions ongoing in the Western 44 Pacific, the Marinas Trench, the Papa, and then the Pacific 45 Remote Islands. Every one of those sanctuaries is no fishing, 46 no fishing, no fishing, and what's going on?

47

39

48 We've already lost 50 percent, and how much more waters does the

federal government, and/or ONMS, want to take away from us? 1 We've got no future in fishing, and the Marinas Trench Monument, 2 3 according to the nomination package and the map they had in there, wanted to close down 57 percent of the Marinas 4 5 archipelago to fishing, and the existing PRA that will be taken 6 away will -- They want to close it completely off, and when is 7 enough enough? 8 9 As I said, I think yesterday or the day before, we're going to be out of business as a fishery council, and NMFS is going to be 10 11 out of business, and so the Marinas Trench, and then when I said 12 -- When I redid the agenda item to Existing Process for 13 Elimination, I cannot believe what is going on with the 14 sanctuaries process. 15 16 I read the website, and I look at the process, and they are not 17 following the process. One of the criteria which ONMS is trying 18 to use in creating sanctuaries, and I don't know if the Pacific 19 and North Pacific can chime-in on that, but one of the most 20 important things is to get grassroots support. It's community 21 comes together, and they create a nomination package, and it's 22 sent to ONMS. 23 24 The Marinas Trench completely cut out of the people of Guam in 25 the nomination package, and that's two-thirds of the population 26 of the Mariana, and then they came in and ONMS said, oh, yes, we 27 want it, and the Pacific Remote Islands is the same problem, and 28 they met with a lot of resistance when they came out to the 29 Marinas. 30 31 You know, they're wondering, the people are wondering, what the hell is going on. ONMS has a public hearing, and they have a 32 33 question-and-answer, and then they cut it short. They cut the 34 questions and answers short in order to get comments, and, well, 35 what the heck is the comments going to be when the people don't 36 know what's going on? 37 38 Quite honestly, we are really fed up with this, because we're getting sanctuaries forced down our throat. The Marina Trench, 39 40 we asked for an additional public hearing, which they gave us, they didn't bother coming out. They didn't bother 41 but 42 coordinating with us, and they let the proponents deliver the 43 propaganda, and the last deadline for the second public hearing 44 was April 25 in the year 2022. That was the five-year review, 45 and nothing has been done for over a year. We asked them 46 several times, and they are studying it. 47 48 We read that as they're going to force a sanctuary on us whether

we like it or not, and you can go in, and we did. We printed 1 2 out all the comments on the two public comment periods, and 3 there is overwhelming opposition to this sanctuary. 4 There are questions, or, I mean, there are comments that are in 5 6 support, but they're great, and they're form letters that have a 7 name, no signature, no village, no address, no nothing, and it's 8 just a name. This is how the proponents are trying to support 9 this. 10 11 There's no public outreach, and there's misleading information, and so, basically, the approach currently being used by the 12 13 federal government in undermining potential future fishing 14 activities is called tyrannical conservation, and we need the 15 support of NMFS to maintain existing MSA management regimes in 16 these proposed sanctuaries where monument boundaries are 17 expanded. 18 19 If you want to put a sanctuary overlaid on a monument, but 20 what's happening in every one of these things is they are expanding the boundaries, and, of course, in the expansion 21 22 areas, which is currently being managed by the MSA, no fishing, 23 and so I would like to see NMFS be the adult in the room and 24 help ONMS to become a little bit more sensitive to the future 25 needs of the territories, and that's it. 26 27 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, John. Will. 28 MR. SWORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have some questions on the 29 30 proposed sanctuary. You know, does economic disaster in 31 American Samoa contribute to America the Beautiful? Does an 32 acronym like EEJ mean equity and environmental justice or 33 economic extinction jargon? 34 35 You know, Abraham Lincoln said, in 1856, that actions speak louder than words, and I'm reminded of another acronym, EELE, 36 economic extinction level event, and that's what we're facing in 37 38 American Samoa. 39 40 Yesterday, we discussed an evaluation system for how we're doing 41 on EEJ for NOAA's efforts, and I didn't give a grade, and so I 42 want to go through with you in regard to all of this, and let's 43 start with the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which states that, and I 44 quote, "Pacific insular areas contain unique historical 45 cultural, legal, political, geographical value, which makes 46 fisheries resources important in sustaining their economic 47 growth." 48

224

This gives National Marine Fisheries a statutory obligation to 1 ensure that vitality of sustainable fisheries that are beholden 2 to the numerous National Standards that reduce negative impacts 3 4 in their actions. On the other hand, another NOAA office, which 5 is National Marine Sanctuaries, seems pitted against the 6 obligations of National Marine Fisheries, by proposing а 7 sanctuary, a feckless act that could mean the demise of our 8 already sustainable economy, all at the expense of underserved 9 American Samoa. 10

If you put this evaluation for NOAA in the context of EEJ, and 11 12 if you look at this -- I refer to Tab 2b our or handout today, before this CCC meeting, and Number 1 is prioritize and identify 13 equitable treatment and meaningful involvement of underserved 14 15 communities, and so American Samoa embodies the Number 3 16 fisheries as a priority in the U.S. and territories, if you compare it to all the other fisheries, but it is a very 17 impoverished and underserved fishing community, where 60 percent 18 of our population is under the U.S. poverty level, and that is 19 20 certainly underserved.

22 We're not involved in the effort that John said to start the effort to cut our economic throat, and we're asked to rubber-23 24 stamp the closure of a major fishing ground like the PRAs, and 25 this starts the process of dying economically for us. This event will make living conditions much worse for our already 26 poor people, and it will hurt nearby countries. A lot of our 27 28 workers come from nearby countries, and so where is the equity 29 and environmental justice? You're not serving our community 30 with this sanctuary.

21

31

41

We provide equitable delivery of services, Number 2, and the 32 33 Western Pacific already has 53 percent of its EEZ under monument 34 fishing closure, far surpassing the 30 by 30 President Biden initiative, while the rest of the U.S. and territories are far 35 below this number, and why the discrimination? Is it because 36 37 we're poor Samoans, or different skin color, or underrepresented 38 in political circles? Does our geographic separation, our out-39 of-sight-out-of-mind, make us expendable for small political 40 trophies?

42 Current actions indicate there is still an obvious prejudice 43 here. The Western Pacific carries a disproportionate burden 44 here, and, if you don't see it, we certainly need more homes for 45 the blind in our country. Again, NOAA should fight harder to 46 deliver on its obligation to EEJ and to the Magnuson-Stevens 47 Act. It needs to provide an equitable level of service to our 48 underserved community. It's failing here.

2 Number 3, we discussed prioritizing EEJ and our mission and 3 demonstrate progress. I think NOAA is digressing, and not progressing. NMFS, through the WCPFC, has limited the high seas 4 fishing to 558 days to be fished in the EEZ. They cut fishing 5 6 days and prevented us from privileges entitled to the small 7 island development, like we are, and we are a small island developing state, forcing the U.S.-owned purse seiners, 8 who 9 supply our canneries, to fish in our EEZ waters, and this proposed PRA sanctuary would close off this area as well, and so 10 11 where do we fish? How do we keep our canneries supplied? 12 13 There is no place to fish economically, and this will result in 14 an economic extinction level for American Samoa, and so why do I 15 think this will happen? 16 17 Well, according to an interview in the Hawaii-based Civil Beat, the Pacific Remote Island Coalition, or PRIC, who initiated the 18 request, said, and I quote, "Loss of a cannery is a small price 19 to pay to combat climate change." You tell that to the poor 20 21 souls that have no jobs. That is not serving the community very 22 well. 23 24 I also want to remind everyone that on May 16, just last week, 25 2023, we had testimony to the House Committee on Natural Resources entitled "Preserving U.S. Interests in the IndoPacific 26 27 and Examining How U.S. Engagement Counters Chinese Influence in 28 the Region". 29 30 Dr. Peter Watson, President and CEO of the Dwight Group, LLC, 31 summarized this issue best. I'm sure that all can see the irony in the development, and, yes, he's talking about NOAA, saying 32 33 that we're going to take your 558 high seas fishing days and no 34 longer allow them to be fished in the high seas, but only in the 35 U.S. EEZ, and, oh, by the way, we're closing the remaining 36 waters in the EEZ, and, oh, sorry. 37 This is unacceptable. The U.S. Pacific fishing fleets that are 38 operating in and around American Samoa are a strategic asset as 39 40 well as an important driver of American Samoa's economy, and the 41 bottom line is people who do not live there, and who will not 42 suffer the consequences of their actions, have decided to kill our economy and our culture. Without a thriving economy, we 43 44 cannot practice our culture, and that's the bottom line. 45 46 This is the definition of going backwards. NOAA is going 47 backwards, and not just meeting EEJ guidelines, but it's also 48 not meeting its obligations to the Magnuson-Stevens Act to

1

1 protect areas, insular areas, for economic growth, and it's a
2 fail. It's a failure.
3

4 I will go back to where I started. Actions speak louder than 5 words. Otherwise, we will be accused of hypocrisy. I hope and 6 pray to God almighty that NOAA's management team and our 7 government does the right thing in the Western Pacific and 8 protects the underprivileged. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Will. Kitty.

12 MS. SIMONDS: Okay, and so I am talking about the proposed sanctuary in the monument extension area of Papa, and we just 13 call it "Papa", and it's just too long of a name, and so this is 14 15 the fifty-two to a hundred miles, and so the council has 16 proposed fishing permits and reporting for non-commercial and 17 indigenous fishing practices, and the council proposed a cost 18 recovery of \$15,000 for fuel, bait, ice, and food, in addition 19 to barter and trade.

The response from ONMS was that the cost recovery does not meet their goals and objectives, and so the council's final action included cost recovery through the permit and application process, and the monument board, the Office of Hawaiian affairs, the council, and others would review the application, provide their comments to the NMFS Regional Administrator, who would approve or disapprove that part of the application.

29 We expect to receive a response to this final action of the council from ONMS anytime now, and so I met with John Armor, 30 31 actually several times over the last month or two, and Kristina 32 Kekuewa a few weeks ago, and asked them which goal and objective 33 did our cost recovery request not meet. They did not have an 34 answer. They looked at each other, and looked at me, and they 35 would get back to me, and so I'm assuming that, in their 36 response, they will find, or identify, something within those 37 general goals and objectives that will show that we did not meet 38 them.

40 Again, to repeat what Will and John have said, our hope is that 41 NMFS supports the council's request, so that the NOAA decision 42 will be in our favor. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

43

39

9

11

20

44 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Thank you, Kitty. We have several 45 people, and I just want to say one thing and recognize -- We all 46 recognize the storm that's going through Guam right now, or 47 actually last night, and hopefully everyone comes out of that 48 safely, and I just wanted to make sure that this team 1 acknowledges that, Kitty, and so, with that, Marcos.

3 MR. HANKE: Yesterday, when we discussed EEJ, I didn't have time to address it, because I was respectful to the time, and what I 4 am experiencing internally right now is I speak barely English, 5 6 for the way that I want to express and to be clear, and I don't 7 think that I will be able to, because, if I feel the way that I feel, I cannot imagine what the fishermen in Hawaii and in 8 9 Puerto Rico sometimes feel when the documents are not in Spanish or in a language that is appropriate for them to talk about it 10 11 and to be clear.

13 The majority of the nation see the islands as an aquarium to go on vacation, and, for us, this is the resource that we depend on 14 15 and live on, especially the people from Hawaii, and I have 16 experienced that, and they have a very strong connection, Mr. 17 Chairman, with the ocean, and they respect the way it should be, and they're smart enough to take advantage of that and to create 18 19 systems and tools of management that benefit long-term the best 20 use of the resource in Puerto Rico and in the Pacific Islands. 21

22 None of you guys experience the boundaries that we have, that we 23 don't have the political representation, or the political voice, 24 and, at this moment in time, we have more than ever, but it's 25 not even close to enough, and, for me, it's very funny -- It's 26 very interesting to see that, for some NGOs and people that want 27 to see the aquarium working, beautiful fishes and so on, that are untouched, that, on one side of their mouth, they pursue 28 29 that, and they communicate really nice, and they have -- If they 30 don't protest, and if they don't look for their rights, and, the 31 moment that they look for their rights and the best use of the 32 resource, they are excluded from the conversation, and they are 33 not important anymore, and we start to get into the underserved 34 discussion again, created by those same people that advocated 35 for rights for the underserved communities. 36

37 I think that we can do a much better job than what we are doing as a nation, and you are taking from a person that, in all my 38 time on the council, I have tried to be a bridge man between 39 different and diverse points, and, like you see, sometimes I am 40 41 not very specific on my points, because I am trying to juggle in 42 English, but I guarantee you that my intentions are the best, and I consider every single point of every industry, big or 43 44 small or medium, artisanal and so on, and this is what I expect 45 from this group, and I get from this group, and this is what I 46 expect from the high level of NOAA and National Marine Fisheries 47 Service.

48

2

12

I am leaving the council, and I am seeing the same requests from 1 the Pacific Islands, and we are not taking advantage of their 2 capacity of managing their resource and creating tools that are 3 4 effective for their needs. We are excluding them from that, and that is wrong. Imagine your job, and imagine if a similar 5 6 situation happened to you guys, and that's not fair. I need to 7 say that, and I can sleep well tonight. Thank you for your 8 time.

10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Marcos. Marc.

12 MR. GORELNIK: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, and, if there is 13 no further discussion, I would like to offer a motion.

15 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Go ahead, Marc. I don't see any other hands
16 up.
17

18 MR. GORELNIK: All right. Well, first, I want to acknowledge 19 the comments made by the Western Pacific and the Caribbean 20 Councils, and I think we should all take those to heart. Those 21 are significant issues, but I have a motion that I propose, and 22 I don't know if we're going to get it up on the screen or not, 23 and I think it's been submitted.

24

9

11

14

25 I move that the CCC submit a letter to the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries and the National Marine Fisheries Service 26 27 that acknowledges a shared responsibility to conserve and 28 sustainably manage the nation's living marine resources. 29 Accordingly, there should be a reasonable process that allows both the council and sanctuary to carry out their missions and 30 31 achieve their objectives with minimum conflict. This letter 32 should encompass the following major points: the missions of sanctuaries and the councils are not identical, but both have 33 34 the common goal of supporting healthy, diverse, and abundant 35 living marine resources; fishing and sanctuaries are not 36 mutually exclusive and can be compatible when the goals and 37 objectives do not disqualify fishing at the outset; the councils and sanctuaries are partners in marine conservation, and the 38 39 councils have a robust public stakeholder-driven regulatory 40 process that can complement the sanctuary process, and, to the 41 extent fishery activities need to be address, and to avoid 42 conflict or discord, sanctuaries should work constructively with the councils to support and utilize the existing management 43 44 process; if sanctuaries believe that a council is not adequately 45 conserving resources in an established or proposed sanctuary, 46 sanctuaries should bring information and rationale to the councils, so that the councils can act accordingly; the process 47 for determining fishing regulations in sanctuary waters should 48

229

be clarified for each region, and, in some regions, councils are 1 2 consulted by sanctuaries, and there is an integration of 3 sanctuary staff into the council process, and, in other regions, this is not the case, and a misalignment of sanctuary and 4 5 council efforts often occurs. That is my motion. 6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Marc. We're getting that up on the 7 board there, so everyone can see. Eric, are you seconding that 8 9 motion? Okay. Give us just a second to get it up on the board, so we can make sure that everybody clearly sees it. If you all 10 11 hang on for just a second, they're just doing a minor edit here. Okay, Marc, and I know that was a long motion, but does that on 12 13 the board capture your motion? It's seconded by Eric. 14 15 MR. GORELNIK: I believe it does. Thank you. 16 17 Okay. Any more rationale that you have for CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 18 that motion, before I open it up for discussion? 19 20 MR. GORELNIK: I think we covered it during our earlier 21 discussion. 22 23 Any other discussion regarding the CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. motion? Seeing none, we'll call a vote. 24 Is there anv 25 opposition to this motion? Seeing none, the motion carries. 26 Janet, go ahead. 27 28 MS. COIT: I just want to thank you all for your comments, and I 29 totally agree, Will, that actions speak louder than words. We 30 also have, you know, statutes and a sister agency, and so it's 31 not just a -- But definitely these are points that we can make, and I can't -- I am not bilingual, and I can't even imagine 32 33 doing a meeting on emotional, difficult, complicated things not 34 in my -- Not in English, and so I have empathy for you, Marcos, 35 and you are so effective at bridge building. 36 37 I am thrilled also that I'm going to be able to go to American Samoa and see, you know, with my own eyes, and so I think that 38 will make me more effective at communicating on some of these 39 issues, but I just wanted to acknowledge the important points 40 41 that you made and say that we heard them, and we will work on 42 this. 43 Thank you, Janet. Bill, sorry, and I didn't 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 45 see that your hand was up. Go ahead. 46 47 MR. TWEIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I have a question actually for Janet maybe. As I was listening to the Western Pacific 48 230

1 representatives and Caribbean representatives talking about 2 this, I was wondering -- I weas thinking about the NMFS policy 3 for EEJ and the councils' role in that, and I'm just wondering 4 if -- Do we know, at all, whether the sanctuaries program has a 5 similar policy regarding EEJ, and any sort of similar policy 6 about how it affects -- How councils, in particular, or affected 7 residents of sanctuary areas, will be treated under that?

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Sam.

10

8

11 The NMFS policy is nested within the Presidential MR. RAUCH: 12 mandates and some very generic strategic guidance from the department. There is no other branch within NOAA that has a 13 14 similar policy yet, and we are actually giving a presentation to NOAA, and NOAA is looking at us as the leader, and I would 15 expect, in the coming months, that other elements of NOAA will have similar policies, and so, right now, there is not one, but 16 17 18 we really are being looked at as the model for things that NOAA 19 could adopt, but they have not adopted anything like it yet.

21 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Sam. Bill, go ahead.

22

20

23 MR. TWEIT: Maybe a follow-up suggestion then, and my 24 understanding is that the sanctuary representatives are 25 anticipating being here in October to discuss some sanctuary-26 related issues, and it seems to me that maybe conveying an 27 expectation to them that it would be good to get an update on 28 their development of their EEJ, as well as sharing the NMFS EEJ 29 with them, and also sharing some of the comments, so that they 30 come sort of prepped to that, and I don't know, and maybe I'm 31 being a little forward on that, but it seems to me that that 32 might be a constructive element of conversation in October. 33

34 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Bill, that's a great discussion, and I will 35 work with our team here, who will be hosting that meeting and 36 putting together the agenda, and, obviously, today was just to 37 get sort of that discussion started, since we couldn't have the 38 full discussion, and then we certainly, obviously, will continue 39 that in October then.

40

41 Okay. I'm not seeing anyone else's hands up, and, as far as 42 where we are today, we're a little bit ahead of schedule, which is good, and so we have some announcements and recognitions, as 43 well as public comment, but that should not take that long, and 44 45 so, the interest of maybe moving us ahead a little bit for tomorrow, I think there is one or two items from tomorrow's 46 47 agenda that we could take up now that might save a little time, 48 and the first one was some legislative updates, and so, if

1 you're available and prepared for that, this might be a good 2 time to take us through that.

3 4 5

LEGISLATIVE OUTLOOK

6 MR. DAVE WHALEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For those of you 7 that I haven't met, and I think I've met most everybody, but my name is Dave Whaley, and I'm a consultant for the CCC. I spent 8 9 more than thirty years on Capitol Hill, working for two different House committees, and I covered fishery and ocean 10 issues for twenty of those years, and I worked on the last two 11 12 Magnuson reauthorizations, and so just to give you a little 13 background on who I am.

14

15 I always start my presentation with a quick Civics 101, since 16 it's been a long time since we had high school civics, and I've 17 been told that most people don't need to hear that anymore, and so I'm going to go through it real quickly, but the first slide 18 19 is just who the House of Representatives is. The two key take-20 aways are the last two provisions, or the last two lines, and 21 the House Natural Resources Committee is the committee in the 22 House that has jurisdictions over all fisheries and the ocean 23 issues. They have jurisdiction over half of NOAA, and I will 24 come back to that in a little bit. 25

The second one, because of some of the discussions that we've had this week about the debt limit, I just wanted to point out again that, under the Constitution, all revenue measures have to come from the House, and they cannot start in the Senate, and so, again, we'll come back to that in a little bit, but I just wanted to point that out, real quickly.

Again, this is the Senate, and I won't go through all of that, but the key take-away is that the key committee for oceans and fisheries issues is the Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee.

One real quick note is the House committees and Senate 38 committees do not match up their jurisdictions at all, and so 39 40 you will notice that the Senate committee, as well as doing 41 Department of Commerce issues, also has the entire Department of 42 Transportation, and the reason I bring that up is, every five 43 years, Congress does an aviation authorization, and whichever committee is involved in that -- It sucks a lot of air out of 44 45 the room for other issues, and, unfortunately, this year is an 46 aviation issue, and the Senate Commerce Committee will be 47 dealing with that, and so I don't expect a lot of committee 48 hearings or attention to fishery and ocean issues, but that

could change. 1 2 3 For those of you who want a reminder on how Congress works, and 4 does anybody remember Schoolhouse Rock? Well, if you go to the internet and look up "Schoolhouse Rock", and I'm just a bill on 5 6 Capitol Hill, and it's actually a very good reminder on how the 7 legislative process works, and you'll be singing the little tune 8 for a while. 9 10 Having said that, I'm not going to talk a lot about Civics 101, and I've had a couple of questions, the last couple of times 11 12 that I've done this, and so, just real quickly, people often 13 don't know the difference between Authorizing and Appropriating 14 Committees. 15 16 Authorizing Committees tell agencies what they can or have to 17 do, and Appropriating Committees then fund the agencies, and so, for instance, the House Natural Resources Committee can tell 18 19 NOAA that you can build five new fishery research vessels. You 20 then have to go to the appropriators and get money, and so, the last time we authorized fishery research vessels, and I can't 21 22 remember whether we did three or five, but, the first year, the 23 appropriators gave you enough money for half of one, and so, 24 just to be clear, one tells you what to do and one gives you 25 money to do what you're told to do. 26 27 Authorizing Committees, there are generally three words we use 28 when we're telling an agency what they can or must do, and the 29 Secretary may do something, which means, if they want to, they 30 can, and they don't have to. Since Congress said they should, 31 or may, it kind of indicates they want them to, but they don't 32 have to. If it says the Secretary shall, that means they have 33 to do it. Whether they get new money from the appropriators or 34 not, they have to, and so, if we tell the Secretary that you 35 have to build five new fishery research vessels, and the 36 appropriators don't give them money, they have to find new money 37 or take money from somebody else. 38 39 The third one, which is rarely used, is the Secretary shall, 40 subject to appropriations, which means we want you to do it, but 41 we understand that there are budget limitations. We still want 42 you to do it, and so just a little clarification there for all 43 of you. 44 45 We just finished up the 117th Congress. Each Congress lasts for two years, and we just started, in January, the 118th Congress, 46 47 and so I'm going to go over a couple of things that happened in 48 the last two years.

2 In fisheries management, we talk a lot about trends, and the 3 trends, in the last couple of years, have been towards single-4 species or single-gear-type legislation. Most of them didn't pass on their own, and so the second trend is, at the very end 5 6 of the Congress, a whole lot of things got packaged up. There 7 were two bills that were over 4,000 pages long, and included in 8 both of those were a number of fishery and ocean provisions. 9

10 The first one here was the National Defense Authorization Act, 11 the NDAA, and this is considered a must-pass bill. Every year, 12 Congress passes an NDAA bill, without fail, and so, at the end 13 of the Congress, when this bill is going through, everybody 14 tries to get their pet projects on there, and everybody tries to 15 get their bills on there, and so, last year, there were a number 16 of fishery and ocean provisions. 17

18 There's а list here, and you can see shark finning, 19 reauthorization of the Coral Reef Conservation Act, and there 20 was a study on blue economy. There was some language dealing 21 with regional ocean partnerships, national ocean the 22 exploration, and there were a couple of provisions dealing with 23 marine mammals, mostly on research, and there was a provision on 24 ocean soundscape monitoring. There were a couple of small Coast 25 Guard fishing provisions, and there was a little bit of language 26 on red snapper, and, again, this was a 4,000-page bill that 27 included all these things. 28

29 The second one that I'm going to talk about was the Consolidated 30 Appropriations Act. As you know, Congress has a hard time 31 passing appropriation bills, and so they wait until the end of 32 the year, and they package up a whole bunch of them, and they're usually late, and so, last year, when they did that, they 33 34 included the west coast driftnet ban, the Fishery Resource 35 Disaster Improvement Act, the Alaska Salmon Research Taskforce 36 Act, and there was a provision dealing with North Atlantic right 37 whale protections and a limitation on that protection language. 38

39 We've talked a little bit about this throughout the week, and 40 another one of the big packages was the Inflation Reduction Act. 41 You can see, on the slide, all of these things were -- All of 42 these things that are listed there were authorizations for the 43 Secretary of Commerce to use the money for.

44

1

45 Of particular interest, there was a specific sentence that 46 talked about marine fishery and marine mammal stock assessments. 47 I think there was an intention there that Congress realizes that 48 there's not been a lot of money for surveys and assessments, and here's an add-on that maybe the agency can use for some supplemental surveys. As we've mentioned several times, there was \$2.6 billion for NOAA, and we're hoping that some of that money can be used for stock assessments.

6 Now we're in the 118th Congress. As I mentioned, it just started 7 in January. For those of you that I haven't talked to before, 8 when a Congress ends, any bill that was introduced that has not 9 become law goes away. In order for the new Congress to consider 10 it, it has to be reintroduced, and so it's like, at the end of 11 class, they go up and erase the blackboard, and we start over 12 the next day.

13

27

A couple of changes in the 118th Congress, and I'm sure you all 14 15 know this, but the Senate has remained in control of the 16 democrats. The House of Representatives flipped though, and so 17 now the House is controlled by the republicans, and why is that 18 important? Whoever is in the majority in the full chamber is in control of all the committees, and that means they get to chair 19 20 the committee, and that means they get to set the budget, and it means they get more staff, and it means they decide what 21 22 hearings are held, and it means they decide how many witnesses 23 are given to anybody, and it means that they decide what bills 24 move, and so a change in the House means the republicans are in 25 control, and they have some very different priorities than the 26 last chairman, and so a little bit more on that later.

The other thing that I wanted to point out is there are really slim margins in both the House and the Senate. You would think that, if they wanted to get things done, they would, because of the tight margins, work well together, but that has not been the case so far, if you read the newspaper. I will leave it at that.

One other point on the slim margins, and, at one point a couple of months ago, there were three senators in the hospital at one time. Had they all been in the same party, that would have made a real difference in how the Senate could have operated, and so, with these slim margins, there are some weird things going on. 40

41 You may have noticed, on the debt limit, the speaker needed to 42 get that through, and, as I've mentioned, the House has to do all the revenue measures, and so it was up to the speaker to get 43 that through the House, and he could not lose five people from 44 his own party in getting that through, and so you may have 45 46 noticed that, as the discussions were going on about what was 47 going to be in the House bill, they kept adding things to get 48 somebody else to vote yes. They ended up losing four 1 republicans, and, had they lost one more, it wouldn't have 2 passed the House, and so those slim margins make a difference on 3 some of the big things. 4

I worked for the House of Representatives, and so I'm going to 5 6 start telling you about the House first. As I mentioned, the 7 House Natural Resources Committee is the committee of jurisdiction for all fishery and ocean issues, and they renamed 8 9 the subcommittee this year, and it's a little bit different. It's now the Subcommittee on Water, Wildlife, and Fisheries. 10 Ιt 11 used to be the Water, Oceans, and Wildlife Subcommittee. There's a little difference, a little different priorities. 12

13

24

33

40

14 The new chairman is Bruce Westerman from Arkansas. For those of 15 you who don't know, that's not a coastal state, and the ranking 16 democrat is Congressman Grijalva from Arizona, again not a coastal state, and these two were ranking and chair last time, 17 and they just flipped seats, and so they've been around for a 18 19 while, and they understand the issues, and they're familiar to 20 the regulator community. The subcommittee chair is Congressman Bentz from Oregon, a coastal state. Yay. 21 The subcommittee 22 ranking member is Jared Huffman from California. Yay, another 23 coastal person.

25 The House Natural Resources Committee has a very broad jurisdiction. They have all the wet side of NOAA, and they have 26 almost all the Department of Interior, and they have all western 27 28 water issues, and they have all Native American issues, and they have all insular affairs issues. They have mining, oil and gas, 29 and some forestry issues, and so, as you can see, they've got a 30 31 big pie, and, unfortunately, fisheries is not a big part of that 32 pie.

As I mentioned, control and jurisdiction over NOAA is split between two House committees, and the House Natural Resources Committee has jurisdiction over the wet side, and the House Science Committee, Science, Space, and Technology Committee, has jurisdiction over the dry side, and the reason I bring that up I will get to in one of the last slides.

41 The reason I put this slide up there is any of the names that 42 are in red are not coastal members. Anybody in blue is a coastal member, and it's a little hard to see, but you can see 43 that there's a lot of red on there, and the reason is because 44 45 the House Natural Resources Committee has such a wide 46 jurisdiction, especially over western issues, that there are a 47 lot of western members who are on the committee who have varying 48 degrees of interest in NOAA.

2 There are eight coastal members on the republican side, and 3 there are ten on the democrat side. You may notice, on the full 4 committee list, there are two vacancies on the democrat side, 5 and we don't know when those will be filled. There's a limit on 6 how many committees members can serve on, and so sometimes we 7 have to wait and see if somebody will get a waiver to sit on the 8 committee. 9 10 You will notice, on the subcommittee, it's a little bit better, 11 and there's a little bit more blue, and that's a good thing. We 12 have more coastal members. Fifteen of the twenty-seven members are coastal members, and so that's a good thing. 13 14 15 Just to clarify, and a couple of people were looking at this the other day, and there's some what I will call judgmental language 16 17 on the next couple of slides, and these are not my words. This is taken directly from the oversight plan for the 118th Congress 18 19 of the Natural Resources Committee, and so you'll see that they 20 want to do a budget and spending review, and that happened vesterday, and that's where Sam was. 21 22 23 It wasn't just on NOAA, and, in fact, NOAA was a rather small 24 part of the hearing, and it was dealing with the Bureau of 25 Reclamation's budget, Fish and Wildlife Service budget, NOAA's 26 and the budget of the Power and Marketing budget, 27 Administrations, and so a good bit of focus on west coast and 28 California water issues. 29 Let me also say these are taken in order from the oversight 30 31 plan, and I don't know if it means that is the priority list, 32 but this is the way they appear in the oversight plan, and so 33 you'll see that Endangered Species Act oversight is next, and they have on here Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and 34 35 Management Act, and I've been told this is oversight only and 36 that there is very little interest in doing a reauthorization 37 act this year or next year. 38 Having said that, I've heard at least two members on the 39 40 democrat side who are interested in introducing legislation. I 41 have not seen any yet, but there are at least a couple of people 42 interested in introducing bills. 43 44 We just talked about America the Beautiful, and there is a plan 45 to do oversight over the 30 by 30 initiative, and I suspect, looking at the language, where it talks about the Biden 46 47 administration's arbitrary 30 by 30 goals, that this is not 48 going to be a hearing where they're supportive of the

1

initiative, and that's just a guess. We can't go an entire 1 Congress without talking about red snapper, and so the committee 2 3 will be talking about red snapper again. 4 An interesting issue that may come up under this page is protecting salmon from sealions and how do you deal with two 5 6 7 protected critters that are eating each other, and, obviously, that's a very parochial issue for the west coast, but it's 8 something that has some bearing potentially in other areas, 9 maybe down here in the Gulf, where I know there's a lot of 10 porpoise predation on things, and I don't know if they're eating 11 12 endangered species or not. 13 14 The next one is an issue that we've talked about a good bit, 15 offshore wind, and there was a -- I am using air quotes here, 16 but a congressional hearing in New Jersey on offshore wind, and 17 it was not a congressionally or committee-sanctioned hearing, 18 but they ran it as if it were a committee hearing, and I thought 19 it was pretty well done, and they had some really interesting 20 testimony, but, because it was not an official congressional 21 hearing, they've now asked the Resources Committee to do an 22 official hearing, and so we may see that coming before long. 23 24 There are a couple of members on the committee who are 25 interested in aquaculture, including the chairman, who is from 26 Arkansas, and I suspect that they will be looking more at 27 onshore aquaculture than offshore, but offshore may come up. 28 29 Public access and management within the National Wildlife Refuge 30 System, obviously, that's not a NOAA issue, but the reason I put 31 that on here, or left it on here, was, when I was still on the hill, we did a review of all of the wildlife refuges that Fish 32 33 and Wildlife Service manages, and I think commercial fishing was 34 only allowed in one, and so a very different mindset of how to 35 manage activities within the wildlife refuges and within 36 sanctuaries. 37 38 MMPA, obviously, is another issue that may come up, and it was 39 listed in the oversight plan, and I'm assuming there are some 40 members who have issues with that. I don't know if it will come 41 up under this one, but there's a lot of talk about speed 42 restrictions, because of whales, and it came up, Sam, twice 43 yesterday, I believe. 44 45 MS. COIT: There is a hearing likely in early June on that 46 topic. 47

48 MR. WHALEY: I'm sorry?

1 2 MS. COIT: There's a hearing likely on June 6 on that topic. 3 4 Interesting. Thank you. You bring up an MR. WHALEY: interesting point of sometimes I send out notes the day before 5 there's a hearing. Unless somebody is asked to be a witness, 6 7 they often don't release information about hearings until fortyeight hours before the hearing takes place. At least the 8 9 committee rules under the House Natural Resources only require forty-eight hours' notice, and so, if you're a witness, they 10 give you a little more time. If you're not a witness, you may 11 12 not know until two days before, and so, if I'm giving you not 13 much notice on some of these hearings, I apologize. 14 15 I noted earlier that all revenue measures have to originate in 16 the House, and that includes the debt limit bill that we've 17 talked about a lot, and it also includes annual appropriation bills. This is the House Appropriations Committee sub-committee 18 19 that deals with NOAA issues, and you will notice there is one 20 coastal member, and that's it, and so there are other members 21 from coastal states, but there's only one who comes from a 22 coastal district, and that's not helpful. 23 24 Generally, both the House and the Senate Appropriations Committee do a hearing, big-picture hearing, on Department of 25 The House Appropriations Committee has done theirs, 26 Commerce. 27 and it was on April 18. They have not scheduled one on NOAA that I have seen yet, but generally they do. 28 29 30 Let's go to the Senate. Let's go across the Capitol. The 31 Senate Commerce, Science, and Transportation Committee, as I 32 mentioned, is the committee that has jurisdiction over ocean and 33 fisheries issues, and, again, as I noted earlier, the 34 jurisdiction does not match up with the House Natural Resources 35 Committee, and it's unfortunate, but that's just the way it is. 36 37 As I mentioned, the democrats have stayed in control, and so the 38 chair, Maria Cantwell from Washington, remains as chair. There 39 is a new ranking member though, and it's Senator Ted Cruz from 40 Texas, and so he's new in that position. The subcommittee that 41 has jurisdiction is the Subcommittee on Oceans, Fisheries, 42 Climate Change, and Manufacturing. It's a very odd combination. The chair is Senator Baldwin from Wisconsin, and the ranking 43 44 member is Senator Sullivan from Alaska, and so, if you consider 45 the Great Lakes to be coastal, we do have two coastal members in 46 charge of the subcommittee then. 47 48 Again, this is color-coded, and you will notice a lot of red on

This committee used to be more coastal this one as well. 1 2 dominated over the years, and it has become more inland members, 3 and the days of Senator Inouye and Senator Stevens are gone. 4 One interesting thing to note in the Senate that's a little 5 6 different from the House is senators can serve both on the 7 Authorizing and Appropriating Committee, and so, in theory, they could create a program in the morning and in the afternoon go 8 9 over the earlier committee and fund it. That doesn't happen much anymore, but it used to happen quite a bit, but, in the 10 11 House, there cannot be an overlap. You cannot serve on both an Authorizing and an Appropriation Committee. 12 A total of nine members are coastal, and that's not great, but it's a little bit 13 14 better than the House. This is the subcommittee that has 15 jurisdiction, and six of eleven are from coastal states, and 16 it's a little bit better, but not great. 17 18 This is the Senate Appropriations Committee, and this is a lot better than the House. We've got eleven of seventeen that are 19 20 coastal members, and so this is a little bit better, but, again, revenue measures have to start in the House, and so the House 21 22 gets first shot at the appropriations, and the Senate usually 23 reacts to that, and so we're in good shape when the Senate is 24 reacting, as far as coastal members, but not great in the House. 25 26 I mentioned the Appropriating Committees normally do a big-27 picture hearing on Commerce and then do a NOAA hearing. The 28 Senate Commerce Committee has done a hearing on the Commerce 29 budget, and it was on April 26. 30 31 Just talking to people on the hill, here's a list of other 32 issues that I've heard people are interested in doing hearings on. This is in no particular order, and this is not in an order 33 34 of what I think is going to be a priority for the committee, but 35 these are just some of the issues that have come up. 36 37 Climate change, obviously, is a continuing issue for a lot of members, and the blue economy -- You may remember that, under 38 39 the last administration, there was a small group that was put together to look at new economy and blue economy. They put out 40 41 a report, and the new administration came in, and apparently 42 blue economy is still a priority, and so, putting aside party 43 differences, there is still an interest in talking about the 44 blue economy. 45 46 It's a little unclear how fisheries fits into the blue economy, 47 and it's been around for a long time, and a lot of what is being 48 discussed is new blue economy, what are new uses of the ocean,

and so that's one thing to keep an eye on, is how do we continue 1 2 to talk to people about how important commercial fisheries are 3 to the blue economy that we have now. 4 Offshore wind, obviously, is a big issue, and whale mitigation 5 6 speed restrictions is going to be a big issue, and I don't know 7 if the Senate has anything scheduled on this, but I know the House, as we've talked about, is very interested. 8 There are 9 going to be a lot of regional fisheries that come up, and the three that I've listed are primarily I've heard about from House 10 committee members, and California salmon, red snapper, and North 11 12 Pacific salmon. Those are issues that may come up in the near 13 future. 14 15 I've been told that the House Natural Resources Committee is very interested in doing a hearing on sanctuaries, MPAs, and 16 17 monuments. I don't have a schedule yet, but I've heard soon, 18 and so keep that in mind. Aquaculture, as I mentioned, might be an issue for the chairman, but probably onshore rather than 19 20 offshore, and we've talked a little bit about the debt limit. 21 22 I meant to ask Brian before he left, and there's been some 23 question about what happens if we don't get an agreement on the 24 debt limit, whether the agencies and councils continue to pay 25 their bills or not, and it's different from a government shutdown. Sam, I don't know if you know. I don't know, but, at 26 27 this point, the House has passed a debt limit ceiling, a bill to 28 lift the debt limit ceiling, and there was -- There are some 29 provisions about doing recessions on some already appropriated programs, and the IRA money was on that list, but it only listed 30

31 specific sections of the IRA, and I don't think the NOAA 32 provisions were a part of that, but they are looking at other 33 obligated funds, and so, NOAA, spend your money quick. 34

We are told that the drop-dead date for an agreement is June 1, and so we have about a week. I know that negotiations are continuing, and I don't know how close they are to an agreement, but I think there's hope, from both sides of the negotiating table, to get something done.

The last one I'm going to note on this one is CHOW, and this is the Capitol Hill Oceans Week, and it's a conference that is sponsored by the National Marine Sanctuary Foundation, and, this year, it's going to be June 6 through 8, and the topic of it is the connection between ocean and climate change, and so most of the speakers are going to be talking about that issue.

47

48 It gets a fair amount of play on Capitol Hill, and so it's going

to be virtual, and you may want to pay attention to it, just to 1 2 give you a flavor of what people are saying to the hill on the 3 issue of climate change and oceans. 4 I've saved the best for last. There's been a lot of talk 5 already this year about making NOAA an independent agency, or 6 7 doing a NOAA organic act, or taking pieces away from NOAA, and I 8 will go through a couple of these. 9 10 On April 11, the House Natural Resources Committee did a hearing 11 primarily on California water issues, but one of the bills that was part of the topic of the hearing was the Federally 12 Integrated Species Habitat Act, or FISH Act. Included in that 13 14 act would be a transfer of all anadromous and catadromous 15 species from NOAA to Fish and Wildlife Service. 16 17 To give you my own view on that, it's interesting that, several times, they've had hearings on this issue, and, generally, 18 they've had -- When they've had the hearing on the issue, they 19 20 have also head a hearing on bills about how much they hate the 21 Fish and Wildlife Service's management of grizzly bears or 22 wolves or prairie chickens, and then let's transfer fish to them, and so there's a little bit of inconsistency. 23 24 25 I mentioned that committee jurisdictions don't match up, and 26 Fish and Wildlife Service, on the Senate side, is under the 27 Environment and Public Works, EPW, and not the Senate Commerce 28 Committee, and so it's a proposal that, if the Natural Resources 29 Committee were to follow through on it, it would not go to the 30 Senate Commerce Committee. 31 32 On April 18, just a week after that hearing, the House Science, Space, and Technology Committee had a hearing on a discussion 33 34 draft to create an independent agency for NOAA, to take it out 35 of the Department of Commerce and make it an independent agency. 36 One provision within that bill would be a study by the National 37 Academy of Public Administrators to transfer all or part of ESA 38 and MMPA functions from NOAA and transfer them to the Department 39 of Interior, presumably also the Fish and Wildlife Service. 40 41 This is just a study, and it's not even an introduced bill yet, 42 and it's only a draft, but there are some who think that the chairman of this committee is retiring, and he's looking for a 43 44 legacy issue, and that he wants to create an independent agency 45 for NOAA as his legacy. 46 47 As I mentioned earlier on in today's discussion, the House 48 Science Committee and the House Natural Resources split

jurisdiction over NOAA, and so, if NOAA were to be made an 1 independent agency, both committee would have to agree. At this 2 3 point, the House Natural Resources has absolutely no interest in 4 going through with that. 5 Having said that, the Senate Commerce Committee -- There is 6 7 apparently a great interest, at least at the chairman's level, of doing a NOAA Organic Act, and so discussions on how to create 8 a NOAA Organic Act, and/or make it an independent agency, are 9 going to be discussions that we may be having, and so I just 10 11 wanted to raise that. 12 With that, I will answer any questions, but let me throw out one 13 more thing that I have heard. Every time that I'm on the hill, 14 15 or talk to somebody on the hill, they tell me they can't find 16 staff, and so, if anybody knows any young, eager person who is willing to work long hours for low pay, there are opportunities, 17 18 and so thank you, and I'm happy to answer any questions. 19 20 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okav. Dave, thank you for that thorough 21 summary. I will open up the floor for questions for Dave. No 22 questions? Seeing none, all right. Thank you, Dave. 23 24 MR. WHALEY: Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom. 27 28 MR. NIES: Mr. Chair, with your permission, I would like to 29 offer a motion to nominate a person to be the chair of the 30 Legislative Workgroup. 31 32 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Go ahead, Tom. 33 34 MR. NIES: All right. The motion is I would like the CCC to 35 accept Dr. Carrie Simmons as chair of the Legislative Workgroup. 36 37 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Chris seconds that. I think we need to put that motion on the board. Tom, just to verify, and if you would 38 39 please look at your motion on the board. 40 41 MR. NIES: Yes, that is my motion, that the CCC appoints Dr. 42 Carrie Simmons as chair of the Legislative Workgroup. 43 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, and we had a second by 45 Chris. Any opposition to this motion? Seeing none, congrats, 46 Carrie. 47 48 DR. SIMMONS: Thank you.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND RECOGNITIONS

4 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. A few other just small items of business here, and then we'll proceed with public comment in a minute, 5 6 and we just have some announcements and recognitions. We'll 7 start off first with recognizing some folks leaving their council positions from the North Pacific, and that is Simon 8 9 Kinneen. Thank you. Thank you for your service, Simon. Dave, would you like to say something, or Bill? Go ahead. 10

1 2

3

11

30

36

41

I can say something, and I'm a little further away 12 MR. TWEIT: 13 from Simon than David is. I think, certainly at the North Pacific, but I think also the CCC is really going to miss Simon. 14 15 He has got just a very steady hand on the tiller, and he's had a 16 really clear vision of where he wanted the council to go over 17 time, during his entire tenure, but really laying the foundation 18 for going into the future as well. 19

20 He's representing really, at least in my view, the changing 21 structure of the North Pacific Council fisheries, and Simon is 22 really representative of the capabilities and the vision of, in 23 particular, the native corporations, the CDQ groups, that are 24 succeeding probably beyond anything that Congress ever really 25 envisioned, but certainly Congress would have been thrilled, or the original designers of the programs would have been thrilled, 26 27 to see it working this well, and the energy, the creativity that 28 they're bringing into the process, and Simon has really sort of 29 encapsulated it.

31 It's been a real pleasure for me, as Vice Chair, to be working 32 with Simon, and we're going to miss him a lot, but definitely 33 know that the CEQ group needs him back, needs his full attention 34 back, and Simon probably needs his life back, and so he deserves 35 it, but you're a good friend and a really great chair.

37 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you for those comments, Bill, and, Simon, 38 thank you for your service. (Applause) Up next, from the 39 Caribbean, finishing out his term, is Marcos, and so, Marcos, 40 thank you for your service. Miguel.

42 MR. ROLON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and just to say a few words 43 about Marcos, and I met Marcos a long time ago, when this 44 Brazilian fellow came to our meeting, and we didn't know what to 45 do about him, and his father is from Brazil, and his mother is 46 from Puerto Rico, and one day this crazy young guy took a plane 47 from Brazil and landed in Puerto Rico. 48

244

He became a marine biologist and a fisherman, and he has been in the charter boat business for more than thirty-three years, and, for some reason, he kept wanting to be part of the council, and so he has served for six terms, and, for those of you who are thinking about the math, that's eighteen years, and he still wants to be part of the council.

8 I consider Marcos a good friend, and I met his family a long 9 time ago, and he has two daughters, an excellent family, and one of them wanted to be a ballerina, like her sister, and a chef 10 and a fisherman, like her father, and so that gives you an idea 11 of how he is appreciated in his family and the friends that he 12 13 has, and so, Marcos, I am really glad that you have spent all these years with us, and hopefully you will be in touch with the 14 15 council, and certainly your contribution on the CCC has been 16 outstanding, especially the last emotional one that you made 17 today, because it's very difficult to translate in words what 18 you feel about things that happen.

20 Everybody around this table is involved, but the whole 21 conglomerate of people who manage fisheries is sometimes very 22 difficult to realize that, every time that we take a decision, we hurt people, sometimes, and so, Marcos, thank you very much 23 for your years of serving as a council member 24 and your 25 chairmanship and participating on the CCC. Thank you, Mr. 26 Chairman.

28 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Marcos, thank you. (Applause). All right. 29 Moving over to the Western Pacific, John, that brings us to you, 30 ending your term, and thank you very much for your service, and 31 so, Will, go ahead.

33 MR. SWORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Well, I will tell you that 34 John -- He lives 8,000 miles from me in the CNMI, and he's a 35 brother from another mama, but, on the other hand, he was born 36 8,000 miles in the other direction, and he was born in Virginia, 37 and he trained at Virginia Commonwealth University in Richmond 38 and at Texas A&M in Corpus Christi.

40 He could have been on one of you all's councils on the east 41 coast, with his knowledge and his drawl, but, when asked to sing 42 country songs, he would rather change his drawl, and John is a 43 long-time resident of CNMI and is a NEPA specialist in marine 44 and terrestrial subjects, but, when asked about tuna species 45 gender, he says, in this day and age, who cares, at long as it 46 tastes good?

47

7

19

27

32

39

48 Mr. Gourley is also a visionary that married a Chinese lady and

bought a house in China, knowing that the Chinese are going to 1 take over the world, after stealing the fish in our sanctuaries. 2 3 4 John, for nine years, has always brought energy, levity, humor, and mostly passion to heavy topics and discussion in our 5 council, and I want to thank you, John, very much, and we'll 6 7 miss you, and we wish you well. Lelei faiva, as we say in Samoa, and the translation means "good fishing". 8 Thank you, 9 John. (Applause) 10 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, John. Well, up next is me, 12 and so I'm ending my term in the Gulf Council, and so it's been 13 a fun ride. Tom. 14 It has been a fun ride, and so, I mean, Greg has 15 DR. FRAZER: been a fixture on the council since 2014. About two weeks from 16 17 now, he will be at his last council meeting, but Greg has really 18 contributed in a very substantial way to many of the successes realized by the council, and he certainly will be missed, not 19 20 just by me, but by all the council members. 21 22 During his tenure on the council, Greg served as chair of the Data Collection, Artificial Reef, Migratory Species, Red Drum, 23 Sustainable Fisheries, and Outreach and Education Committees. 24 25 Thank you for your service there, and, That's a lot. as 26 everybody recognizes now, most recently, he has served as Vice 27 Chair and Chair, and so, during the day, he's got a regular job, 28 just like many of us, right, and he serves as the Senior 29 Executive Director of the Harte Research Institute for Gulf of 30 Mexico Studies at Texas A&M, and he somehow manages to maintain 31 a very active and highly regarded research program. 32 33 Greg's ecologically-oriented research program is quite broad, 34 but he and his colleagues have placed a notable emphasis on 35 sportfish, and it has certainly served him well in his role of 36 the council, and so I think, as many of the folks know in this 37 room, Greg was a principal investigator for the Great Red 38 Snapper Count, and that was a huge, multidisciplinary, collaborative effort, and kudos to you for getting that done. 39 Additionally, I would like to say that the Gulf recognizes your 40 many contributions, and we're going to miss you a lot. 41 42 (Applause) 43 That concludes our outgoing council members, 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 45 and next is executive directors, and that's Tom Nies, for all of his years of service in the New England Council, and so, Tom, we 46 47 appreciate that, and so I think Carrie is going to say a few 48 words.

2 DR. SIMMONS: All right, and so I have a few things to say about 3 Tom, and I will let the others fill in the gaps. Contributions 4 that Tom has made, Tom Nies, to fisheries span multiple decades 5 and multiple agencies. Tom graduated from the U.S. Coast Guard 6 Academy in 1976 with a Bachelor of Science in Mathematics. 7 Exciting stuff. He also holds a Master's in Business 8 Administration and Leadership from Franklin Pierce University. 9 10 He spent twenty-one years with the U.S. Coast Guard, focusing on 11 at-sea law enforcement. There, he logged over ten years of at-12 sea duty, including ship duty in Alaska, and that culminated 13 with an appointment as a commanding officer of the Boston U.S. 14 Coast Guard Cutter Spenser. That was in Boston, Massachusetts. 15 16 His land-based assignments at the Coast Guard included a stint 17 at the Fisheries Law Enforcement Branch at the Coast Guard 18 Headquarters in Washington, D.C., and he later served as the 19 Admiral's Representative for the first Coast Guard District Law 20 Enforcement Division at the New England Council, and he attended 21 the New England Council meetings. 22 23 Tom has worked for the New England Council since 1997, and they picked him up from there, and he has served as the Executive 24 25 Director since 2013. Prior to taking the helm, he served as an 26 analyst on the council, the New England Council, and one of 27 Tom's chief accomplishments in the Northeast for fisheries 28 management includes managing the multiyear transition to the 29 sector catch share program in the New England groundfish 30 fishery. Tom has worked tirelessly to improve staff operations, 31 get needed scientific support, and effective implementation of 32 council management actions. 33 34 Tom has been an active and effective member of the CCC. He has 35 served as the chair and vice chair of the Legislative Committee, 36 which now he has tasked me with, and he also served on the CMOD 37 Steering Committee, and he has provided assistance to the CCC 38 Area-Based Management Subcommittee, and so now on to the good 39 stuff. 40 41 Tom enjoys sailing, playing the guitar, and spending time with 42 his grandchildren, which we hope he can spend more time doing in the coming years, during his retirement, and so it's going to be 43 44 difficult to replace Tom on the New England Council, to fill his 45 shoes, and so I know that's going to be difficult for you all. 46 47 We'll miss his direct, simple, and straightforward approach to 48 fisheries issues at this table, and that no-nonsense, dry sense

1

1 of humor as well, not to mention your candid ability to 2 challenge the agency on various fishery issues and activities, 3 and so we appreciate that.

5 To demonstrate Tom Nies' readiness to relax and start his 6 retirement, I have captured a photograph from the first evening 7 of this meeting, and so now you can put that up, Bernie, and so 8 we honor and congratulate Tom Nies on his career achievements, 9 and so thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Eric.

12 13 MR. REID: Thank you, Dr. Simmons, and thank you, Dr. Chair, and I don't have anything prepared, and thank god you picked up that 14 15 detail, but I suppose, you know, what could be said about Tom is 16 he did a pretty good job, and it wasn't bad, but the reality of 17 it is that he's been a fantastic person to me, and to the staff 18 and other council members, and he's a little cranky sometimes, but he challenges me to be better than I was five minutes ago, 19 20 and that's a 24/7 progress, and so, you know, what I said --21 When we heard about Tom leaving, I said he has more respect than 22 anybody I've ever met, from Gloucester to Guam, and that's what I meant, and so I will leave it to the rest of you, who might 23 24 know Tom better than me, but he's going to be hard to replace. 25 Big shoes. Snowshoes. Big shoes, and so thanks a lot, Tom, and 26 good luck to you. (Applause)

28 MR. WITHERELL: The North Pacific Council has a couple of gifts 29 for retiring Executive Director Tom Nies, as well as we've heard 30 a rumor that Ms. Kitty Simonds is also going to retire, and so 31 that's the rumor, and we have a couple of gifts, and I'm going 32 to start with Kitty, if that's okay.

33 34

35

27

4

10

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Do you want to come up here, Dave?

36 MR. WITHERELL: No, and I'm fine here, but thanks. The first is, in September of 2021, Kitty told me that this CCC meeting, 37 in 2021, in October, was going to be her last CCC meeting. 38 Well, I panicked, and I quickly ordered up a CCC plaque of 39 recognition for Kitty, and it says, "October 2021". Now, I was 40 41 very gullible, and I'm still gullible to think that she might 42 retire now, and so, Kitty, when you finally retire, you can take this plaque, and you can put a little duct tape over the date 43 44 and write in whatever date, whether it's 2030, as Mr. Gourley 45 said, but you're welcome to do that, and congratulations. 46

47 I have one more thing, and we all know how much you like to put 48 pressure on the National Marine Fisheries Service, and I think that's something that you're going to miss. I call it pressure, but I think there might be some other terms for that, and so what we have is a special clamp for you, so that you can continue to put pressure on NMFS in your retirement, or put the screws to NMFS, whatever you think, and so you're welcome, Kitty.

8 For Tom, we have a gift just to remind you of how important 9 fisheries have been in New England, in the history of New England, and just a little history lesson. As you know, 10 11 Gloucester was probably the biggest seaport in the United States 12 for fisheries in the late 1800s, and, with the connection of the 13 railroads in the mid-1800s, it took a very mammoth foresight by a man named Frankie Davis, and he realized that he could sell, 14 15 by U.S. Mail, salted fish, that was harvested in Gloucester at the time, anywhere in the country by U.S. Mail. 16 17

18 One way they would package it would be in boxes, and this is a box from that time period that would be five pounds of salted 19 cod that would be shipped to your house, and, at that time, in 20 21 the 1800s, it would have been about a dollar, and I found, 22 online, an order blank for Frankie Davis salted fish from 1920, and the company was still open, and it was \$2.00, and, if you 23 24 think about inflation, that's worth about \$40.00 now, which 25 seems about right for a pound of codfish salted, but, when 26 somebody would order this, of course, there would be some instructions, a little piece of paper with instructions, on how 27 28 to, you know, soak your fish and how to use in some recipes. 29

I looked, and there are very few examples, if any, of that type 30 31 of paperwork left, but I did discover that there's a printing 32 plate from that time that has all of that information that would 33 be used to make prints of those recipes, and so I didn't -- I 34 couldn't locate, in Anchorage, Alaska, a printing press that was 35 made at that point to make a printout, but you can hold this up 36 to a mirror and find the recipes and try to make them, and 37 there's some interesting ones, like codfish cakes and cod balls and some other things, and you might want to try them yourself, 38 39 if you get your hands on some salt cod, or decide to make it 40 yourself.

42 If you find no use for these, you can donate them to the Cape 43 Ann Museum, and I'm sure they would be happy to have them, and 44 so thank you for your service, Tom, and we appreciate it. 45 You've been a great friend of mine for the last ten years. 46 (Applause)

47

41

7

48 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom, if you wouldn't mind coming up here for a

1 second, we have a few more things that we wanted to give you.
2 Okay. Thank you, everyone, and congratulations, and thanks for
3 the service to all of you. We really appreciate that.
4

Next, we have just one short item of business, and that's Public 5 6 Comment. At my last notification, we had two people that would 7 like to provide public comment this afternoon, and I read our public comment statement into the record yesterday, and so we 8 9 don't need to do that again, and I would just remind everyone that each speaker is limited to three minutes, and we will 10 proceed with that, and then that should wrap us up for the day, 11 12 and so it looks like we're ready, and our first public comment 13 is from Gib Brogan.

PUBLIC COMMENT

17 MR. GIB BROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gib Brogan, and I'm a 18 Campaign Director at Oceana. First off, let me thank you for 19 providing an opportunity for public comment. I have been to a 20 number of CCC meetings, and this has been, by far, the most 21 inclusive of the public and interested parties, and so having 22 this opportunity to talk -- We very much appreciate it. 23

The reason I'm here today is actually a very good tie-in, after the retirements and the term-off with the council members, and, at lunchtime, we distributed a packet to all of you that we looked at the turnover on the councils, and, after twenty years in front of the councils across the country, myself, and my Oceana colleagues, looked at things that the councils have done right.

32 Most of the time, Oceana is here talking to the councils, in front of a lot of your councils, telling you what you're doing 33 34 wrong, and we do that very well, but, this time around, we 35 wanted to highlight some things that the councils have done 36 right and use those as examples, because, as we see retirements, 37 and see the council members term-off, a lot of the things, a lot of the tools, get lost, and that institutional knowledge is not 38 39 there, and it's really interesting, and we appreciate what the 40 CCC is doing, as far as council member education to pass these 41 things on, how to make the councils better, so we don't have 42 that drain on those resources.

43

31

14 15

16

We put these together from around the country on habitat and bycatch successes, things that have happened on the Pacific, the North Pacific, New England, Mid-Atlantic as examples of what other councils can do, and we encourage the council, or the CCC, to continue the sharing of information.

2 There are a lot of tools that are out there that have been used, and it might have been fifteen years ago, but we want those to 3 4 stay in play, because they're still useful, and we encourage the councils to keep up with the council education, sharing these 5 6 ideas, and, during the comments about Tom Nies, I am not looking 7 forward to the New England Council without Tom as the firm hand 8 on the tiller, telling the council, giving the council, ideas on how to solve problems, but a lot of what the council does is 9 solving problems, and knowing what tools are out there is an 10 11 important thing, and so we wanted to get these out there, share them with the councils, and we're going to send them to all of 12 13 your members, as things that they can think about when tackling habitat and bycatch problems, but we appreciate the time, and, 14 15 again, thank you for the opportunity to comment. Thanks.

1

16

17 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Mr. Brogan. Up next we have Bob 18 Zales. 19

20 MR. ZALES: Bob Zales, II. Thank you all again for the public 21 testimony. A couple of things, real quick. Number one, when it 22 comes to sanctuaries and fishery management, we're not going to be able to support that. We contend that Congress gave 23 24 authority to manage fish to the Fisheries Service and the 25 councils, and fishing is confusing enough already, when you've got state regulations, and you have federal regulations, and you 26 27 have got HMS regulations, and you've got bag limits and seasons and size limits, quotas, the whole bit, and it's difficult to 28 29 do, and you add another entity of sanctuaries, and you've got 30 another set of regulations that you've got to try to play with 31 and understand, and it's difficult to do. 32

33 When it comes to HMS, and this is big bee in my bonnet, and the 34 council has heard this, the Gulf Council has heard this, from us 35 for a while now, and, fortunately, at the last council meeting, 36 they passed a motion to hopefully address this, and this is 37 permitting for the private recreational fleet. I don't know so 38 much on the west coast, but I can tell you, on the Gulf, and on 39 the east coast, every vessel that fishes in the EEZ, with the 40 exception of private rec, must have a permit. Every vessel that 41 fishes for HMS, including private rec, must have an HMS permit. 42

When it comes to all the data, and all the issues, with discards and discard mortality, we have a completely unaccountable sector, on the private rec side, that can go do whatever they want, whenever they want, however many they want, and the whole bit, and we need to get this addressed, because the rest of us are accountable. They know pretty much how many commercial

1 people are there, how many for-hire and charters are there, and 2 they know what we do and when we do it and how we do it. 3 We're reporting what we do, and it's come to the point now that, 4 when it comes to the private rec sector, they need to be held 5 6 accountable, and we need to know how many of them are fishing in 7 the EEZ. If you don't want to fish in the EEZ, don't get a 8 permit, but, if you want to fish out there, do like everybody 9 else and get a permit. 10 11 The 30 by 30 thing that's been going on now, I was one of the original appointees to the MPA FACA, back in 2000, and, in that 12 13 position, and that thing, and it was most diverse group of people that ever sat together around a table, and, I mean, you 14 15 had everybody there, and I was the one true dumbass there, 16 because I didn't have a PhD or a college education, and 17 everybody else was way up. 18 19 I learned a whole lot from that process, and the key thing that 20 we all did is we all worked together, and we came to a 21 consensus, and we passed the first information about the MPAs, 22 on how to identify them and where they were and how they worked 23 and the whole bit. MPAs, and closed areas, probably can work in 24 some cases, but I'm going to give you an example for the Gulf of 25 Mexico, where we are. 26 27 Some of you all know, and some of you don't, but gag grouper has 28 been now listed as overfished and undergoing overfishing, and the last stock assessment for that fishery, here recently, 29 indicated that that fishery has been overfished and undergoing 30 31 overfishing for the past thirty years. In 2014, the Fisheries 32 Service did a worldwide notification that the MSA worked, 33 because the gag grouper fishery in the Gulf of Mexico was 34 completely rebuilt, and not just past overfishing and undergoing 35 overfishing, but it was completely rebuilt. 36 37 Something has happened, in that period of time, where we've got a problem with that fishery, and the reason why I say that is 38 because, in 2000, Madison-Swanson and Steamboat Lumps were 39 40 created, and we've had the forty-fathom break that's been set up 41 as closed seasonally, and we've had several other areas shut 42 down, all for the purpose of enhancing the gag grouper stock. 43 Apparently it hasn't worked, and so what has happened, why it 44 45 hasn't worked, we don't know, but, if you set an area aside and protect it, and you've got a goal and objectives for it to do, 46 47 if it ain't doing it, the only thing you're doing is restricting 48 access and opportunity to fishermen, and so those things need to

be considered in what you all do, and so thank you very much. 1 2 Thank you, Captain Zales. Well, that will 3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: bring us to a conclusion for today, with public testimony, and 4 we have a quick announcement, before everybody breaks, and we'll 5 6 pick up again in the morning, at 9:00. Since we finished the 7 legislative briefing from Mr. Whaley, we'll start with the 8 Endangered Species Act discussion. 9 10 For those of you that are going to be attending the sunset cruise this evening, you need to be at the dock by 6:00, at 11 least, promptly at 6:30, and meet at 5:30 in the lobby, if 12 you're interested, and we've arranged for taxi rides over there, 13 and I think there's a small charge for that, and so that's -- If 14 15 you're interested in that, Kathy, with the Gulf Council, has 16 sent around an email with all those pertinent details, and so 17 you might want to look at that before you leave. With that, unless there is anything else from anyone, we'll adjourn for 18 19 today and pick up at 9:00 a.m. tomorrow. All right. Thanks, 20 evervone. 21 22 (Whereupon, the meeting recessed on May 24, 2023.) 23 24 25 26 May 25, 2023 27 28 THURSDAY MORNING SESSION 29 30 _ _ _ 31 32 The Council Coordination Committee reconvened at the Marriott Beachside Hotel in Key West, Florida on Thursday morning, May 33 25, 2023, and was called to order by Gulf of Mexico Fishery 34 35 Management Council Chairman Greg Stunz. 36 37 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: We'll go ahead and get going, and welcome to the last day of the CCC meeting. We are starting on time today, 38 promptly, for people's travel and air flights and all that kind 39 40 of thing. Yesterday, we completed the legislative outlook, with 41 Dave Whaley, and so we'll start with our second agenda item, 42 which is Integration of the Endangered Species, and first up for this is Kitty is going to give a report on the CCC working 43 Before we do that, Kitty, John wanted to mention 44 group. 45 something, real quick, before we get started. 46 47 MR. GOURLEY: Good morning, and thank you, Chairman. I just 48 wanted to let you all know that the video that was shown before

the meeting started was taken yesterday in Pago Pago, American 1 Samoa, and it was the opposition to the proposed Pacific Remote 2 3 Islands Sanctuary that would take over and take away the fishing rights of territorial fishermen along in those island areas, and 4 it was twenty-five minutes of the video, and so I wanted to let 5 6 you know what it was and why it was shown, because this follows 7 the conversation and discussion that we had yesterday, leading to a motion about the outcry, the public outcry, against the 8 9 movement of sanctuaries that close down fishing areas going on 10 in the Western Pacific. Thank you, Chairman.

11 12

13

18

21

30

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, John. Carrie.

14 **DR. SIMMONS:** Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so the video, I believe, 15 is about twenty-five minutes, and so staff is going to send 16 around a link, so folks can watch it on their own, if they would 17 like, and so thank you.

19 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Carrie. With that, we'll start with 20 Kitty.

22 INTEGRATION OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT - MAGNUSON-STEVENS ACT
23

MS. SIMONDS: Thank you. In May of 2022, the CCC formed a working group to consider potential changes to the ESA policy directive addressing issues that you all identified through the May 2021 and January 2022 meetings. The working group consists of one staff member from each council, and you can see their names there.

31 The group developed a redline version of the ESA policy directive, which you reviewed at our October meeting last year, 32 33 and so what we heard at that meeting was that NMFS didn't plan to reopen the policy directive changes until the complete region-specific discussions started that they were planning, and 34 35 36 so, in response, the CCC recommended that NMFS review the 37 redline version and implement the changes drafted by the working group as soon as possible, prior to the regional coordination 38 39 effort, and, in a letter communicating our recommendations to 40 Sam, the EDs requested a meeting with NMFS to discuss the 41 redline changes, once NMFS completed its detailed review. 42

We met with NMFS staff in February of this year, and Sam, again, reiterated that they won't be changing the policy directive until the regional discussions are completed, and he provided a schedule for those meetings, and some have happened before the CCC meeting, and the rest after, and Sam did indicate that NMFS plans to brings the changes to the October CCC meeting this year.

1

2

7

14

24

30

3 Since the last meeting, the working group coordinated the joint 4 response to the ESA questionnaire, in prep for the regional 5 meetings, through which the CCC's recommendations and redline 6 changes were again highlighted.

8 Four of the councils have had their regional meetings, with the 9 remaining meetings scheduled through August, and the group 10 reconvened on May 15 to review the meeting highlights to-date 11 and to discuss overall takeaways for CCC's consideration. The 12 group compiled key highlights from each regional meeting, and 13 that report is included in your briefing material.

15 The group remains focused on the importance of addressing 16 changes to the policy directive, as outlined in the redline 17 recommendations that you all had last October. However, they do recognize that regional meetings can help to kickstart early 18 19 regional coordination and regular communication, as needed, and 20 so we noted that scheduling of these meetings have spanned over 21 a six-month period, and they believe that they have been largely 22 duplicative with material that was covered in the 2022 working 23 group report and in NMFS' questionnaire.

The group did not see a strong connection between discussions at the regional meetings and the specific changes to the policy directive that we continue to support, and so, in February, the agency committed to bringing back draft policy directive changes to our October meeting.

As these were not discussed at the regional meetings, the group indicated that it would be helpful for NMFS to provide any specific changes, with sufficient time for the CCC ESA Working Group to review, before the October CCC meeting.

36 In your briefing material, there is a report of the CCC working 37 group and the four regional meetings, and there are some 38 highlights in there, and so the report shows that the councils, and the regions, in their meetings, have said that things have 39 40 generally worked well and that -- There is agreement on the 41 process and what were the successes and that the process works 42 well when terms and conditions and reasonable and prudent 43 measures require PRD, SFD, and councils to coordinate the 44 feasibility of these measures for future implementation. 45

46 The Pacific Council said that framework actions are important, 47 because it's difficult and time consuming, most of the time, to 48 deal with ESA bi-ops and the like, and so they said that their

next steps with the region weren't clear at that time. 1 2 The Gulf Council said that they agreed that the working 3 relationship is effective, and active communication is underway 4 ensure coordination to resolve differences 5 to and/or misunderstandings in the process, and, at some of the meetings, 6 7 the councils talked about perhaps including some of these, or some language, in their ROAs, because each of the councils and 8 the regions deal different with their ROAs, and, again, it was 9 like early coordination, and, for the Gulf, they have, which is 10 interesting, an interdisciplinary planning team that is made up 11 of council staff, regional staff, General Council, and Science 12 13 Center staff, and so I thought that was helpful. 14 15 Then the South Atlantic Council said the working relationship is 16 beneficial, and they felt that it was important to update the document to reflect current council practices, and they were 17 18 going to be meeting on a regular basis, and they have decided to 19 meet monthly, together with the regions, the PR staff, and the 20 council staff, and they suggested that council members be 21 trained on protected species, which I think there is a section 22 in the annual training including the consultations, and so MMPA 23 and, of course, the integration agreement. 24 25 Then, for the North Pacific, they said that their current working relationship is effective, and active communication is 26 27 underway to ensure early coordination and to resolve 28 differences. 29 They haven't had a major bi-op since 2016, and their ROA 30 31 contains general principles of NMFS and council roles having to do with protected species, and, again, they talked about the 32 33 region and the center and the councils to be proactive, with 34 early coordination, and setting expectations and timeline were 35 important dialogue, and so the relationship is positive, and the 36 staff will continue to support the CCC's working group changes 37 to the national guidance in the policy directive, because they believe that these changes that we have proposed would result in 38 practical outcomes in their region and provide appropriate 39 40 That is my report, Mr. Chairman, and if you would quidance. 41 like to hear from Sam, and I will leave you with that. 42 43 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Kitty. Yes, I think that's a good 44 recommendation, and, Sam, you were scheduled for a brief update 45 too, and so maybe if you did that, and then we could open the

46 47

48 MR. RAUCH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and my update largely

floor for questions, after we have all the information.

1 mirrors what Kitty presented, and I think she accurately 2 presented the state of play here, in terms of the schedule. We 3 have seen the policy directive recommendations that you all gave 4 us, and many of those we agree with, and some of them are going 5 to be more problematic to work through, and it is not clear to 6 me that all of the -- I am very pleased to hear that, in many 7 regions, the process is working well.

9 I know that there are some areas where there are still some 10 difficulties, and it's not clear to me that those policy changes 11 address those difficulties, and that's one of the reasons that 12 we wanted to have these one-on-one discussions, because the 13 issues may be different than what the policy addresses, but we have committed to coming back, at the fall meeting, with a 14 15 revised policy that accepts what we can accept and provides a 16 rationale for other things and maybe have some other ideas in 17 there than what the CCC has proposed.

19 We will try to get it to you as early as possible, and I cannot 20 commit at this time, because I've not talked to the working 21 group about whether we could do it ahead of time, but I 22 understand the value that we could do that. 23

24 We have had about half, a little over half, of our regional 25 meetings, or regional council meetings, and we have one more scheduled for June 6, I believe, and then a couple more that 26 27 still need to be scheduled, and so we're trying to get through 28 those, and it does help us get a perspective as to what it's 29 doing, how relations are going, and it allows our national team 30 to look across the council-region pairs and to provide some 31 recommendations, or at least understand where there are differences, and are these significant or not, and maybe there 32 33 are some things that are going on in one area that we could 34 share with another area that might resolve a problem without a 35 need for a policy change.

36

8

18

37 We think that this is a good process to go through, and we're 38 not done yet, but all of that is going to lead into what is 39 changes in the policy directive, but we were also trying to 40 commit to, policy directive or not, to generally improve the 41 relationship and to clarify things that are causing difficulties 42 because of uncertainty, and so we're trying to do all that, and we do expect to come back in the fall with a policy directive, 43 44 with changes to that directive, and to begin discussions, and 45 those will be draft changes, and so this will not be final, but 46 to indicate draft changes for your consideration, where we've 47 taken your suggestions, where we've altered them or not, and to begin that process, and so that's my report, and I'm happy to 48

take questions.

3 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Sam. Okay. With that, we'll open 4 up the floor for comments or questions for either Kitty or Sam. 5 Kitty.

7 MS. SIMONDS: Since no one is making a comment, I think all is 8 well. However, Sam, I simply can't leave until we complete 9 this, and so you're going to see me around --

11 MR. RAUCH: I will complete it tomorrow. It will be on your 12 desk in the morning.

13 14 15

21 22

23

31

10

1 2

MS. SIMONDS: This is my baby, remember.

16 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Okay. Not seeing any other hands up, I think 17 we'll go ahead and move on then in the agenda, and that brings 18 us to the Marine Resource Education Program, and Ms. O'Brien has 19 a presentation for that. Lauren, it looks like they have your 20 presentation up, and go ahead when you're ready.

MARINE RESOURCE EDUCATION PROGRAM

MS. LAUREN O'BRIEN: Thank you. Good morning, everybody. My name is Lauren O'Brien, and I know many of you in the room, and I'm meeting a few of you this week for the first time in-person, and it's really lovely to be here. I know that this program has been talked about at the CCC before, and so it might be new to some of you, familiar to many of you, or a refresher to many of you as well.

32 Just to introduce a little bit about who I am and why I'm here for MREP, I actually attended the program before working for the 33 34 program, back in 2018, and I was really enamored by the impact that I witnessed around the room and how it brought people 35 36 together, and it really empowered, for lack of a better term, 37 and you're going to hear that word a lot, but it truly empowered folks at the table to feel like they could then go and engage in 38 39 the system that is really complex and downright frustrating for 40 a lot of folks who are meant, legally, to be engaged in the 41 program, or in the process, and so I was enamored, and I stepped 42 in, and I have been a part of this program for five years, but the program has been around for a heck of a lot longer, due in 43 44 large part to many of you sitting around the table and others 45 who aren't here today.

46

47 The Marine Resource Education Program is by and for fishermen 48 from the get-go, and so who better to introduce the program than 1 a number of fishermen, and so this is a video that we put 2 together in the west coast region to demonstrate why this 3 program exists and a bit about the impact that it has on that 4 region, and so, while you will see some folks from the west 5 coast region, from the Pacific Council region, we feel it really 6 demonstrates the ethos of what the program brings to all of the 7 other regions that we operate in.

9 (Whereupon, a video was presented.) 10

11 So you heard some voices from the west coast of MS. O'BRIEN: 12 folks who had participated in the program and the frustrations 13 that they had coming into it, and this is largely the reason why it was started back in 2001, and so it's been around for a 14 15 couple of decades now, and it was started by Mary Beth Tooley and John Williamson, and a little fun fact is my parents names' 16 17 are Mary Beth and John, and so I find it kind of ironic that the program that I manage now was started by a Mary Beth and a John, 18 19 and different people, but the same names. 20

The frustration was the same, and they came together, and they 21 22 talked with a lot of different fishermen and stakeholders in the 23 region, and also with some key partners at the council and at 24 the Regional Office and the Science Center to figure out a 25 curricula, to bring fishermen in the door, in this session, or 26 series of sessions, at the time, to educate them and empower 27 them on how they can use their voice to engage with the laws, 28 engage with the data, understand what the numbers actually mean. 29

30 I put here the history of MREP, and this is a very high level of 31 how it's evolved to where it is today, and not to get into the 32 weeds in the history, but just to share with you some of the 33 steps along the way that have brought it to be this national 34 program, as opposed to a regional program. Though I sit at the 35 Gulf of Maine Research Institute in Maine, and I am a white 36 woman from Maine coming to these other regions, and I can't pretend to, or imagine that I ever will, truly understand what 37 it means to sit in the shoes that many of you do, and the people 38 39 that you represent do, and so we developed this steering committee concept, which has been really pivotal in enabling us 40 41 to mold this program to serve the needs of the region.

42

8

It first started in 2012 in the Southeast, when we first expanded into another region, and it has proved really valuable for us, and I kind of joke sometimes that I have one boss in Maine, at the Gulf of Maine Research Institute, but I have a hundred or more bosses around the country, and they're all fishermen, and that's truly how we operate, myself and my team.

1 2 We have shifted the model a bit here and there. In Puerto Rico, 3 we have a Spanish-only workshop, recognizing that the Puerto 4 Ricans speak Spanish, and so we bring in presenters who speak Spanish and who from Puerto Rico to serve the needs of that 5 6 region as well, and, this past year, we delivered the first 7 workshop in the North Pacific and added some new critical elements to address the diversity and the needs that are 8 9 demanded in that region for those stakeholders in the process. 10 11 I did want to paint a little picture of what the steering committee is, just because this leadership capacity is really 12 13 valuable, and we'll talk a little bit more later about the 14 impacts of this and the audience that the program serves in each of the regions, but the steering committee really helps to 15 16 define the curricula, and so the topics as well as defining who 17 those participants ought to be at the workshops, and, you know, 18 every year we come together, and we meet to refine the topics, 19 to make sure that it is regionally relevant and is timely for 20 the participants, specifically to get engaged in this federal 21 fisheries management process. 22 23 Also, the steering committees are about fifteen to twenty-four, in some cases, people, and the regions are much larger than 24 25 that, but the number of different communities that exist within 26 a given council region, and a given MRIP region, in some cases a

couple of council region, and a given mult region, in some cases a couple of council regions, is far greater than that, and so I do rely on my steering committees, as well as additional advisors who can support me, you know, periodically making phone calls, to try and do our best to meet the diversity and representation of the given region.

33 Here, it's kind of hard to see, but this is a breakdown of what 34 that steering committee looks like, and, like I said, we meet 35 once a year for an in-person one-day, or sometimes two-day, 36 meeting, to discuss the different issues, to discuss the curricula, refine that, talk about outreach strategy, talk about 37 who ought to be at the workshops and how to -- How to make it a 38 39 valuable program for them and not just how to reach them and to 40 get them to apply, but how to make sure that the topics that we 41 have on the table are of value to them.

42

32

Then we have a planning team, which is a smaller group, a little bit more nimble, that meets periodically, about once a month leading up to the workshops, to continue to refine those curricula, and so I just really want to emphasize how collaborative this process is and how it is not driven by me, and it is not driven by NOAA or the council, and it is driven by

a group of industry stakeholders, with partnership from myself 1 2 and from NOAA and the council. 3 4 Then a reason, or a role, that we play, and so I, again, sit at the Gulf of Maine Research Institute, and we are a non-profit, 5 and we get funding from the federal government to run this 6 7 program, and they partner with us, but we really do support the 8 program by and for the industry, and we take a lot of pride in 9 our role as this neutral convener of leaders, and so getting all of that input and then distilling it down to the core objective 10 11 aspects of fisheries management to present in a curricula. 12 13 I take a lot of pride in that, and my staff takes a lot of pride in that, and it is something that we've been doing now since 14 15 2003, and so we feel like we've got a decent grasp on it, but we 16 always welcome feedback on how we can do it better, both at a 17 national level and within a given region. 18 19 The group of people that you see up here -- This is our national 20 steering committee, a group that came together first virtually 21 in 2020, and then for the first time in-person in 2022, and, in 22 recognizing the growth of the program, and recognizing that 23 staff turnover might happen, and there was a push to create a 24 little bit more durability, to make sure that this program 25 remains by and for industry, and also enable cross-pollination 26 of ideas across the regions, to meet the needs of fishermen, who 27 often, you know, have very different issues, but have similar 28 issues, and can find value in how things are being done in 29 another area and part of the country, 30 31 Like I mentioned, the program is by and for fishermen, and it 32 has been historically, and we have also opened the doors to some 33 other stakeholders and other associated industry members, and we 34 really strive to bring a diversity of participants to every 35 meeting, to enable discussion not just from presenter to 36 participants, but among the whole cohort, to build relationships 37 and enable more collaborative stewardship of the resource beyond the workshop itself, and, when I say "diverse", diversity could 38 39 mean a variety of things. 40

41 We have looked at gear types, sectors, and age is not listed on there, but experience level, and what it looks like is different 42 in each region, just by nature of the different communities and 43 44 cultures that exist within a region, and so, while I put these 45 different categories up here of diversity, this is, again, and I 46 will continue to reiterate this, something that is decided upon 47 by the region and by the steering committee leaders, and so 48 talking to them to make sure that they are helping us determine who the key informants, the leaders of those communities, are, so that we have this ripple effect, where we are bringing in thirty or thirty-five or forty participants for a workshop, and then they can go back to their communities and share the information that they have learned, and it's not just a small cohort, but it has a larger effect.

8 I've been talking a lot about the impact and the collaborative 9 process, but we do have some really core pillars that are true 10 across all the regions, while it is molded to the regional 11 needs, and so it is a neutral and objective education on the 12 federal fisheries management process and the science that goes 13 into that process. 14

15 We put together a curricula that provides knowledge and tools 16 and also enables opportunities for building connections with 17 people that you might go to later to ask more questions, to dive 18 in deeper, or to collaborate on a project with, with the ultimate goal of empowering these participants, these fisheries 19 20 stakeholders, to use their voice effectively, kind of addressing 21 that frustration that you heard at the beginning there that many 22 people often feel.

24 The fisheries science component, really, at its core, it's how a 25 stock assessment works and what the numbers that come out of those stock assessments mean, and we dive into uncertainty, 26 oceanography, data collection, a lot of different topics, and I 27 28 could have expanded on this, and some of the regions have 29 additional topics, and some of them cut out some of these 30 topics, or shorten those discussions, and so it absolutely 31 malleable, and I know that the science is largely the same, but 32 there are different things, and different elements, that each 33 region is focusing on at a given moment.

35 Then, on the management side, we go into a lot of the laws, and at the core is this fisheries management council process, and we 36 37 talk about negotiation, and so that's one of the tools in the 38 toolbox that we provide for participants, and one of the more fun aspects of this workshop, and I should have said of the 39 40 science workshop, is we do hands-on activities and site visits, 41 and we do a mock council exercise at the management workshop, 42 where folks are able to actually sit in the seat and play out 43 the role of being on a council.

44

34

23

The impact, and so we put all of this together into the regions, and what does it actually do, and we, as a national steering committee, talked for a bit about how we might represent the impact of MREP, and it's a difficult thing to do, because so

much of it is in feel of the workshop, and it is in the mood 1 2 shift and the likelihood of engagement, but that's a hard thing 3 to track. 4 5 We did get funding to do an impact analysis project, a study across all of the regions, to assess what the actual impact has 6 been in building trust and in building engagement, and so that 7 is ongoing right now, and we don't have results of that, but 8 9 hopefully I can give that to you all in the next year or so, but 10 I wanted to share some of the quotes. 11 12 These are quotes that came from the evaluations that we provide 13 participants at the end of the workshops, and it really demonstrates, I think, a little bit of the feel that you get, 14 15 and, in a few minutes, I want to open the floor up to you, 16 because many of you have been at the workshops, and to offer 17 your perspectives, because, again, a white woman from Maine over here, and I can't speak on behalf of everybody, and I would love 18 19 to hear your perspectives. 20 21 I am just going to skip ahead, actually, and this is all 22 familiar to you, but one of the impact metrics that we have 23 tracked is the number of council, or the percentage of council, 24 members who have attended MREP over the years, and so, since 25 we've been tracking this in 2015, it has increased, and you will 26 see a dip, and we attribute that to the pandemic, because we 27 were not able to deliver workshops, but we hope that this 28 demonstrates that there's growing effectiveness а of 29 conversation happening at the council tables around the country, 30 and we would like to support enabling that. However, like I 31 said, a lot of the impact is really the emotional and the 32 relational growth that happens at these workshops. 33 34 One of the more -- For those of you more statistically-minded, 35 and who like hard numbers to track impact, we do measure the 36 willingness and likelihood to engage in the council process, based on evaluations, and so this is what folks filled out for 37 38 how involved they were before attending MRIP and how prepared 39 they felt before attending MRIP, and you will see that there is 40 a diversity of experience level and preparedness. 41 42 We do try to, like I said earlier, bring in that diversity of 43 experience and preparedness, and I think the interesting thing 44 is that, regardless, folks left the workshop feeling more 45 prepared and more likely, and so those who were already engaged 46 wanted to engage further, and those who felt prepared already 47 realized that there were some things that they did not know, and 48 they felt more prepared to engage at a higher level moving out 1 of the workshops.

2

30

38

41

3 One other thing, going back to this slide here, is this variety 4 experience level enables an organic mentor-mentee in relationship opportunity, and so folks -- You know, we have a 5 lot of social hours, and folks get to know each other beyond 6 7 just sitting and learning from PowerPoint presentations, and they build often what they declare to be life-long relationships 8 9 from these workshops, and it enables that mentor-mentee capacity for, you know, the graying of the fleets, for transition into an 10 11 effective role in fisheries council management. 12

13 Workshops are held once a year in each region, and they are 14 free, and so, with our funding, we're able to pay for travel, 15 for hotel costs, for food during the workshop, and it's really 16 an attempt to reduce the barriers to access, so that this can be 17 an equitable opportunity for those who have historically not 18 been participating in the council as much to have that leg up 19 and have an effective seat at the table. 20

This is our lineup for next year, and I have talked to a handful 21 22 of you who were wondering the dates, and there are some tentative dates on there, to be decided by our steering 23 committee in the respective region, and we do also, again 24 25 circling back to that collaborative development, decide the 26 dates based on when the fishing industry is going to be 27 available, most likely, as well as when the key presenters will 28 be available, so that they can come and participate, but I 29 wanted to give you all that tentative lineup.

Then this is my contact information, along with the rest of my team, so you can reach out whenever you would like, but I did want to go back to some of these quotes here and open up the floor to those of you who have really been the ones leading the program. I get to stand up here and be the face, but I would love to hear from you, for what you see this program as in your respective regions, if that's all right.

39 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Thank you, Lauren. I guess we'll 40 go ahead and open it up for guestions. First up is Marcos.

42 MR. HANKE: It's a comment, and it's not a question. I want to 43 testify and to highlight the importance of MREP for my council, 44 and, as the chairman, I have been receiving way more calls on 45 the engagement side of things, more than the complaining part of 46 the things, which is very important, because everybody is moving 47 forward to look for connections and to solve the problem, more 48 than just criticizing, and this is part of the calls that I am

receiving that come after MREP, and I think that's super 1 2 important to highlight. 3 It's the only educational program that I participate in where 4 all the sectors, including scientists, are present, and they 5 6 have to share the same room, face-to-face, one-to-one, and that 7 is super powerful, because those bridges don't go away. 8 9 They stay there forever, right, and that's very important, and I think, based on what I spoke on yesterday, MREP has an effect on 10 11 presenting EEJ, in some situations, because, by example, they do 12 everything they can to be a body where everybody participates, and it's very inclusive, and it's respecting the languages and 13 14 respecting where the people are coming from and so on, and it's 15 very sensitive to it, and that's super important, and it creates 16 an atmosphere that I have never experienced in any other 17 educational program. 18 19 It really goes beyond an educational program, and it creates 20 like a sense of family into MREP activities. It's a respectful, constructive environment, and I want to highlight some things 21 22 that -- The pertinence of MREP for the rest of the nation, the 23 way I see it. 24 25 For example, we have two islands in Puerto Rico, and think about 26 the community that you guys don't have access to it, but they have communities that culturally are attached to fisheries that 27 28 don't have access to a good education about how to engage and so 29 on into the council process. 30 31 For example, Avielle is a young fisherman from Vieques that has 32 a dream that he is doing on his own, creating a school for young fishermen where the high school is in Vieques, which is an 33 34 island close by to Puerto Rico, and now he is coordinating with 35 Culebra, and he made a presentation to the council, and he will 36 be attending the MREP, and I have had multiple calls from this 37 guy already of how excited he is to be on that program, and for 38 sure the tools that he is going to receive in MREP is going to capacitate him to do a better job on his dream, in terms of how 39 40 he perceives the future of the fishery in Vieques. 41 42 I am involved on how to engage and participate with the council, in that presentation, and the numbers are that I receive way 43 many more calls now from everybody that didn't know before, from 44 45 different sectors, and those bridges are already established, 46 and I think that's super important. 47 48 The descending devices amendment that we are discussing now in 1 the Caribbean Council grew up from the MREP discussions, on the 2 sideline conversations, and that's very important too, and, 3 also, the great amount of sustainable, effective, best practices 4 on how to utilize the gears, how to dispose of gears, how to be 5 a responsible fisherman, and that happens on the sidebars, and 6 that's super powerful, and it's hard to measure, but I wanted to 7 testify of that. Thank you.

9 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Marcos. Up next, I have Rick and 10 then John and then Tom.

8

11 12 MR. BELLAVANCE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thanks, Lauren, for 13 your presentation. Really nice job. I would just like to take 14 a second to just kind of give my perspective on the program, and 15 I attended MRIP around 2005, and I was blown away by how much I 16 really didn't know about any of this. 17

18 Prior to that, I think I attended one state meeting in Rhode 19 Island, and that more of an act of civil disobedience, and I was 20 outraged with all my fellow fishermen, and, after going through MREP, I learned about the commissions and the council process, 21 22 an agency called NMFS, and it was all new to me, and it inspired 23 me to continue along, and I have ultimately ended up becoming a 24 steering committee member, at the regional level and national 25 level, and I have facilitated many of the programs over the 26 years, and it was so helpful in kickstarting my desire to learn 27 more and contribute to the process, and now sitting around here 28 with all you smart folks, and it's kind of intimidating to me a 29 little bit, but it's an awesome honor to be here. 30

I attribute a lot of that to the foundation that I took away from the first time that I attended MRIP, and, as I sit on this table, and at the council meetings in New England, it's very clear the folks in industry that have attended the program and how they contribute to the process, when compared to some who haven't had that opportunity yet.

I think the industry folks have a tremendous amount of knowledge 38 and experiences, but they sometimes get a little wound around 39 the axle, or intimidated by the language and the terms and the 40 41 process that we have, and so the MREP program gives them that 42 foundation to be able to take those on-the-water experiences and deliver them in a way that fits into our process here better, 43 44 and I think that's invaluable, to me on this side of the table 45 now, but also as an industry person, and just hats off to Lauren 46 and her team for the amount of effort they put into really 47 trying to keep up with the times and modify the program to be 48 relevant, and I just can't speak more highly about it, and I

1 really appreciate the ability to be part of it. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thanks, Rick. John.

5 MR. CARMICHAEL: Thanks, and, Lauren, thanks for a great 6 presentation. I've been involved in the MREP in the Southeast 7 since the very beginning, and it's really been a great program. 8 Part of it is the leadership and energy that has come from 9 Lauren, and Alexa before her, and a real commitment to the idea 10 of by fishermen for fishermen.

You know, it also comes together with the steering committees 12 13 that plan every workshop, and it's fishermen putting in their time to make it happen, finding other fishermen to come when 14 15 they can, but it's also the agency and the council, and so it's 16 the support of all of our organizations sitting around this 17 table that are the other part that is key to the success, and 18 the last part is just the fishermen, those are committing their 19 time and being moderators, and that's really always, to me, a 20 key thing, is fishermen that are running the meetings.

We're there as presenters, or speakers or whatever, but it's clear that it's a fishermen's meeting, and we have many folks that would echo what Rick was saying about getting involved through this, and learning about the program, and then being a council member, which is outstanding, and it's also proven, for us, to be a great recruiting opportunity for APs.

29 You get to talk with people, and you have meals with them. If someone is interested from your region, you can give them that 30 31 one-on-one and really encourage them to take what they're learning and go and become an AP, and I think we all struggle 32 33 with finding good AP members who are committed to the process 34 and willing to learn and come and participate in this really 35 challenging job, and so I think that's a really excellent part. 36

I've seen that our AP discussions have gotten better as a result of the education of MREP over a decade. You know, they know how to look into an assessment, and they know the things to ask about, and they're much more savvy than they were twenty years ago, and, you know, I enjoy going, and I always learn something, and it doesn't matter.

43

2 3

4

21

Every time you sit with different fishermen, you learn something more about, you know, our complex fisheries and how they operate, and so I encourage everyone. If you haven't been to one, go to one, and get to see it. When it's in your region, do everything you can to support it, and, you know, these guys that

run it from GMRI, they do an incredible amount of work behind 1 2 the scenes to make everything go seamlessly, but it is a huge effort that they put on, and it looks great to all the people in 3 4 the room. 5 6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thanks, John. Great point on the AP impacts as 7 well, and we're seeing the same thing in the Gulf. Next up is 8 Tom. 9 MR. NIES: I am going to try to start with just a short anecdote 10 11 about a recent MREP that we had that I think highlights the 12 opportunity it gives for fishermen to interact with the people 13 that manage them and that provide the science for them, and we 14 had an MREP up in Baltimore recently, and, during the break, I'm 15 wandering around, and I think, oh my gosh, that fisherman is 16 assaulting the Deputy Director of the Science Center, and that's 17 not what was happening. 18 19 He was providing her self-defense training, okay, and that has 20 nothing to do with fishing, but, you know, it just shows that 21 this is one of the rare opportunities where scientists meet with 22 fishermen and they deal with each other as people, and I don't think that the value of that can be underestimated at all, 23 because that typically doesn't happen on a routine basis in our 24 25 region, but I would like to throw two softball questions to Lauren, or at least I think they're softballs, because I think 26 27 you know the answers, but, the last time I recall that we had an 28 MREP presentation was in this room eight years ago, and some of 29 the people were still here, and Bob Gill was one of the 30 presenters. 31 32 There were some questions asked by the CCC about funding sources for MREP, and so I've got two sort of -- Or maybe three sort of 33 34 related questions, and one is how is MREP funding, and how is 35 the outlook for funding of MREP right now, and then, related to 36 that, because I think this is always a concern, when you talk 37 about funding sources, but who decides the content of your MREP 38 programs? 39 40 MS. O'BRIEN: Thanks, Tom, and thanks for that anecdote. That's 41 always a fun one to revisit. MREP is funded, currently, by 42 NOAA. Right now, it's coming through the regions, but from 43 Headquarters predominantly, and we also have some funding from 44 the National Marine Sanctuary Foundation for our core salary 45 support, and so the bulk of it from NOAA, some from the 46 Sanctuary Foundation. 47 48 Then the second question, or I guess on that first question as

1 well, it has been funded by a variety of sources over the years, 2 including the councils, and the councils have provided a lot of 3 in-kind support, and we pay for, like I mentioned, all of our 4 participant travel and accommodations and food, and we cannot 5 pay for any federal employee to come, and so the councils are 6 funding the dollars for their staff to travel and to spend time 7 at the workshops.

9 The curriculum though is absolutely not decided by the agency or by the Sanctuary Foundation. Like I mentioned earlier, we take 10 11 a lot of pride in our role as the neutral convener, and we really believe thoroughly in the message that this is 12 bv fishermen for fishermen, and so we are -- I have sometimes 13 challenging conversations with folks at the agency, at the 14 Sanctuary Foundation, to really emphasize that, but, by and 15 16 large, there is a significant amount of support that I have felt 17 from the agency and from the folks who are on our steering 18 committee as partners to the program, at the agency and council level, who are there because they value -- I mean, I say "they", 19 and it's many of you sitting around this table, but value the by 20 21 fishermen for fishermen ethos that this program exists. 22

I hope that those of you who are a part of our regional steering committees can vouch for me on that, and I feel the importance of that, that I'm not here the only one sending that message, but the industry members at the table can emphasize that and back that up.

29 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Up next is Trish.

30

28

8

31 MS. MURPHEY: Great presentation, and I think that I talked to you earlier, giving you feedback from our fishermen in North 32 33 Carolina who had attended, and they just loved it, and raved 34 about it, and they were so excited about it, and I just kind of 35 want to share the trickle-down effect, and this is more regional 36 federal fisheries, but this concept has trickled down to our 37 state fisheries in North Carolina, and our North Carolina Sea Grant has actually modeled something similar to this to help 38 39 engage and educate our fishermen who are involved in state 40 fisheries and how to engage with our Division of Marine 41 Fisheries. That program is actually very popular too, and so I 42 just kind of wanted to share that, that there is a trickle-down, 43 and it's helping in our state fisheries as well. Thanks. 44

45 46 MS. O'BRIEN: Can I comment on that, really quick?

47 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Sure. Go ahead.

48

269

MS. O'BRIEN: I just -- Thank you for sharing that anecdote, and 1 2 I think that, you know, when I was talking about, and am talking about, the impact of MREP, that example demonstrates 3 how difficult it is to really capture what this program does. 4 You know, we have some metrics that we can track, and we have the 5 6 evaluations, and we're trying to do this impact analysis, to 7 really capture what happens five or ten years out from attending the program, but anecdotes like that are gold, and it's 8 9 impossible to keep track of where everybody goes and what they 10 do with this information, and so thank you for sharing that.

12 Then I also realized that I failed to answer Tom's question last 13 question about the prospect of funding for the program, and I have received a lot of confidence that this program will be 14 15 funded by NOAA for the next five years after this fiscal year, 16 for all of the regions, including hopefully the Pacific Islands 17 region, if there is interest and need from the region, and so 18 that discussion about scoping in the Pacific Islands is another 19 one entirely, because this is by and for fishermen, and it's 20 dependent on whether the fishermen out there want it and feel 21 that there's a need for it, and so that's a question I have to 22 those folks, but we have received confidence, or I have confidence, in our funding source from the agency to deliver a 23 24 program at the current level that it is.

26 That said, there's a lot of ideas that percolate from each of 27 the regions for programming and for additional national 28 programming around different concepts, such as offshore 29 aquaculture, offshore wind, things like that, and we don't 30 currently have the funds to do that, and that would likely 31 require additional staff capacity, and so it kind of depends on what you all want out of the program. If we are to expand and 32 33 continue to add on regions, to add on different topical 34 workshops, then we would need additional funds.

35

37

25

11

36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. Miguel.

38 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First, I agree with every MR. ROLON: 39 positive comment around the table, and I learned about MREP from Tony Iarocci, and Tony Iarocci is a fisherman in the Keys, and 40 41 he's well known by many people in some of the councils here, and 42 he said, Miguel, it's a program in the New England area, and 43 fishermen talking to fishermen, and are you interested, and I 44 said, yes, and so, since that time, our council has been 45 involved with MREP.

46

47 Not a comment, but Jocelyn wrote me a note that, if you need 48 anything else from the council to continue the program in the U.S. Caribbean, let me know, and the next one is St. Croix, and feel free to call on us for anything that you might need to continue and improve the MREP program, and thank you very much for your presentation.

6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thanks, Miguel. Bill.

8 MR. TWEIT: Thanks, Mr. Chair, and thanks, Lauren, for your 9 presentation and for your work. I had the privilege of being on 10 the advisory group that helped get the first one up off the 11 ground, and I was happy to report that it flew very successfully 12 in Juno just a couple of months ago.

14 From my -- The reason that I've been interested in having MREP 15 come to Alaska is I'm very grateful that the program did get the 16 resources to expand up into the North Pacific, which will be a 17 little more expensive, and we struggled with that, but the 18 reason that I was pretty excited was that I was very hopeful 19 that it could be a key element in our attempts, as a council, to 20 really implement EEJ, in particular.

22 It has always seemed to me, and we've heard a lot from many 23 people from Alaska, particularly the native people from Alaska, 24 that the way we do business is very -- It causes a barrier to 25 their effective participation, and we're looking in the mirror 26 and trying to figure out, okay, what can we do as a council, but an equal part of the equation is what can be done to help them 27 28 with the skills to operate, and, even if we do make some 29 changes, we're not going to be a village council in western 30 Alaska. That's just not going to happen.

32 Finding a way to bridge between these two very different 33 cultures I think involves some movement on both sides, and MREP, 34 I hoped, would be a very valuable key to allowing them to 35 acquire some skills to help make them feel more comfortable, as 36 well as, and I think equally importantly -- In coming to an MREP session, and several of you have spoken to this, the ability to 37 38 interact directly with council members in a much less formal 39 environment.

40

31

7

13

21

The next time they see me, and I'm behind the table with a tie 41 42 on, and acting all stiff and proper, and they know that's just a facade, right, and they can always grab me in the hallway and 43 44 chew on my ear, and those -- The combination of elements, I was 45 really hopeful that MREP would provide that, and a fair number 46 of other folks on the committee, the advisory committee, felt 47 the same way, and so we threw that as a real challenge to the 48 MREP folks.

2 We said, great, and we think you can play a really valuable role 3 here, but we're going to ask you to sort of step out of your 4 comfort zone a bit and help us think about how you incorporate indigenous peoples, who aren't necessarily participants 5 in 6 federal fisheries, but who see themselves as being very affected 7 by the impacts of those federal fisheries and who see themselves as being sort of excluded from the federal fishery management 8 9 process. 10 To their credit, the MREP folks said, okay, yes, you're right, 11 12 and this is different, and this is something more than we've had 13 to grapple with elsewhere, but we worked at developing a program that we thought would do that, and our initial results I think 14 15 were positive. I think there is still room to develop, and room 16 to grow there, but I am really hopeful that we have found a 17 really, I think, essential ingredient in our being able to 18 successfully make our council the kind of place that we want to, 19 as we look at it through an EEJ lens. 20 21 I am really excited about it, and I also really appreciate our 22 council, and I think other councils, for their willingness to 23 donate staff to the effort and to strongly support council 24 members, active council members, in attending, because there is 25 just -- There is a ton of value in that breaking down the formality barriers of the typical council meeting and giving 26 27 folks -- And you emphasized that, Lauren, and you and your staff 28 really talk about that a lot, and you really encourage the 29 participants to take advantage of that, and I think that went 30 really well, also. 31 I am really excited about the future for it in the North 32 Pacific, and I'm glad that the resources you got gave you the 33 34 confidence to expand there and to give us a sense that, as long 35 as we want it, you can be there for at least five years, and I 36 think that could be really useful. 37 38 Just in closing, several folks have also mentioned the issue of 39 new entrants, and that's a challenge for us as well, and, again, 40 I think it can be an essential tool in us addressing the 41 challenge of new entrants into the fishery and into the process. 42 Thanks. 43 44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Kitty. 45 46 Thank you, Lauren, and this will be a great MS. SIMONDS: 47 addition to the work that we all in the region have done all 48 these years, and we've had a series of marine spatial planning

1

workshops, bringing in people from our entire region, and so 1 2 we're beginning the process, and, as you said, the regions are going to be working on this, and so the point of contact for the 3 region also was a staff person for me for eight years, and the 4 5 point of contact for the center also worked for me for eleven 6 years, and so it's nice, because they are local people, and so 7 we are probably going to be having our first meeting in a couple 8 of weeks, but we have fisheries forums in each of the islands, 9 and, you know, because we're islanders, we're foodies, and so 10 the council members always contribute, whenever we meet with 11 everybody, but, because of COVID, you know, we haven't traveled as much, and so this is a wonderful addition, and we look 12 13 forward to working on this. 14

You know, we do speak Samoan when we go to Samoa, and our regulations, from the very beginning, when we had all the Vietnamese people coming to Hawaii to fish, and everything that we did was in Vietnamese, and then Korean, and so we're used to working with all the different cultures in our part of the world, and so this will be great, especially using NOAA's money, and I think that's perfect.

23 MS. O'BRIEN: Can I comment to Kitty, really quickly?

25 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes.

MS. O'BRIEN: I just wanted to say thank you, and I'm really excited to learn, and I think Bill spoke to how there's been a lot of learning in the North Pacific, for us to establish a program there has potential to continue to support all of the different needs of the region, and I am excited to learn and support the different needs of the Pacific Island region as well.

34

22

24

26

35 The different cultures, and the different languages that are 36 spoken, brings up an interesting point, kind of responding to 37 Tom's question earlier about funding, and I think, you know, in the next year, our hope is to do some scoping out in the Pacific 38 39 Islands region, and, based on the desires of the region, and the 40 cultural dynamics and nuanced differences, and how we can meet 41 the demands and the needs of that region, we might be needing 42 additional funding beyond what we currently have in our scope 43 right now.

44

45 MR. SIMONDS: Because travel is expensive, but we all have staff 46 in each of the areas, and I wanted to comment on Bill, and, 47 Bill, we never wear coats and ties to any meeting that we have, 48 and so I just wanted you to know that.

2 The other thing, and I thought it was interesting when you said they have these mock council meetings, and I think, for us, it 3 4 would be great if you used a real issue, an ongoing issue, and 5 we have one that's been ongoing for thirty years, and it's all 6 about how to collect data with the different cultures, and you 7 just simply can't do it all the same way, and so that's always been a big issue for us, especially when it comes to stock 8 assessments, and so I think, for us, we would want you to take 9 up a real issue in this mock council meeting that you have. 10

11 12

26

39

1

CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you. Brad.

13 14 MR. PETTINGER: I've been involved in the MREP program since its 15 inception on the west coast, in 2016 or 2017, I believe, and it 16 really does pull back the curtain on what goes on behind the 17 scenes, because, when I was coming into fisheries, the council 18 process, I was working on a trawler, and I was not really 19 understanding how the system worked, and you see people come in that basically are overwhelmed, because it can be pretty 20 stifling in front of the council. Everybody is in their ties, 21 22 and that's fine, but I thought the council did a really good 23 job, as far as the material available to folks, and I didn't really see, at first, where the MREP class and the extent to 24 25 which it improves the understand about how regs work.

27 I think that the buy-in from National Marine Fisheries Service, 28 the council, and the states has been outstanding, as far as they 29 see the value of it, and you put a couple of staffers in a week-30 long meeting, and that's quite a bit of a commitment, and it's 31 multiple times a year, and we've had a really good run, really 32 good news on stock assessments, for the last six, seven, eight, 33 ten years, until about two years ago, and so MREP really isn't 34 all yay, yay, yay, and everything is going great, and then we an 35 assessment that kind of went in the tank, and so one of the 36 stock assessment authors was really hesitant to come and talk, 37 because she was really worried about the blowback that she was going to get, and she's a fantastic stock assessment person. 38

An assessment is only as good as the information plugged into 40 41 it, and so we told her that you really need to come, because 42 people need to understand why things came out the way that they did, because understanding the issue is very, very powerful, and 43 44 so the meeting was in southern California, where these fish are 45 at, and most of them are south, and you could really see the difference, when people got it, why the results came out the way 46 47 they did, and that's a very powerful thing, knowledge about why 48 things are happening.

1 2 It really allows people to take ownership of their fishery, 3 because now they know what needs -- The data gaps, what they are 4 and how to fill them, and so it's really, on the west coast, to see the Santa Barbara fishermen down there really take charge 5 and go out and get the information, as much as they could within 6 7 a year, prior to when the lower limits would kick in, was really amazing, and so I think that it would be -- Without the MREP 8 9 program, I think we wouldn't have a new assessment coming in this year, and this could very well correct the situation 10 11 they're in, and so I just can't say enough about it. 12 13 I mean, Lauren and her gang are just the best, the very best, of 14 people, and their enthusiasm is infectious, their commitment to 15 it, and I'm just really happy that NMFS is supporting it to the 16 extent they are, and I plan to be involved with this for a long 17 time, and there's so much value to it, and so just thank you, 18 Lauren, for presenting, and it's great to see you again. 19 20 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Well, that was some great 21 discussion, and it's nice to be talking about a winning program for a change, and so that's always good. I think what we'll do, 22 23 since we're kind of moving on, and thank you, Lauren, and I think that's all the questions there, is we were scheduled to 24 25 take a break at 10:30, and we might just do that now, since we're going to shift gears a little bit to talk about the CCC 26 27 workgroups and other subcommittees, and so why don't we take a 28 break until 10:15, and then we'll meet back and take up our last 29 few agenda items, and so I'll see everyone in about fifteen 30 minutes. 31 32 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 33 34 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. We'll go ahead and get started here with 35 the next item in the agenda, and that is the CCC workgroups and 36 subcommittees. Lisa, hold on just a second, and we'll wait for the last few folks to find their seats here. In the interest of 37 38 time, and we're missing a few, and we need to get started, so 39 that people can catch their flights and that kind of thing. Up 40 next, Dr. Lisa Hollensead is going to talk about the Habitat 41 Workgroup. Lisa, I see your presentation is up, and, whenever 42 you're ready, go ahead. 43 44 CCC WORKGROUPS/SUBCOMMITTEES 45 HABITAT WORKGROUP 46

47 **DR. LISA HOLLENSEAD:** Thank you, Mr. Chair. I am currently the 48 chair of the Habitat Workgroup, and I appreciate the opportunity

1 to give you all an update on what our progress has been so far 2 this year and what we plan for the rest of the year. 3 A little presentation overview, and I'm going to give you some 4 background on the makeup of the Habitat Working Group as well as 5 6 highlight some recent accomplishments. I will also provide you 7 an update on the Wind Subgroup, speak to a little bit of our recent deep dive presentations and give you a little definition 8 9 of what I mean by "deep dive" as well as talk about the workshop 10 planning subgroup. 11 12 It was touched on yesterday that the group is planning to meet 13 in-person, and so I will give a progress update as to how that's going, as well as solicit a little bit of feedback from the 14 group in terms of what you would like to see, some of the 15 16 outcomes of that meeting, or any deliverables, and so just keep 17 that in the back of your mind as I go through the presentation. 18 19 What is the composition of the workgroup? It's staff from all 20 eight councils, and the chairmanship rotates with the CCC, and 21 so I think I've got 220 more days left, and it's representatives 22 from all five NMFS Regional Offices as well, and we also have representation from NMFS HQ, including the Offices of Habitat 23 24 Conservation and Science & Technology, and so this group is a 25 well-rounded group, sort of a library of experts, if you will, 26 and we meet to share habitat, management, and science issues 27 across all the regions. 28 This also creates an opportunity for us to brainstorm and do any 29 problem solving, get a little shared experience of what the 30 31 various regions are doing, or certain things at the national 32 level, and so it's a great resource for not only seasoned but 33 new staff, as they come along as well. 34 35 The group formed in 2014, and we meet three to five times per year online, and those meetings generally go about an hour or 36 37 two, and they consist of updates from what regions are working on, sort of highlights any continued learning possibilities that 38 39 folks might be interested in, and then we go in -- Starting last year, we started doing these deeper dives, these regional talks, 40 41 and we have continued that on this year, and so I will sort of 42 highlight what we've done this year, and the group has also met 43 twice in-person so far. 44 45 Here's just a list of some of the accomplishments that have been done in the past contemporary history here. 46 The EFH Summit, 47 that report was made available in 2016, as well as some guidance reports on habitat areas of particular concern, and those 48

1 reports are linked here.

2

10

22

29

39

3 The last time we met in-person was for our EFH consultation and 4 regional innovations workshop in Portland in 2019, and we also 5 developed a report from that that's available, and we tried to 6 continue to improve our engagement with our Fishery Science 7 Centers, recognizing that their technical expertise is important 8 in considering habitat management throughout the regions and the 9 nation.

11 Another accomplishment that some of you may recall is that group 12 helped draft a letter for the CCC to report to its partners, 13 specifically discussing the EFH consultation process, the council's role in it, the Regional Offices role in it, and what 14 15 I mean by partner agencies, I'm just talking about this letter 16 went out to BOEM, to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the 17 Department of Transportation, the U.S. Coast Guard, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and the U.S. Navy, and so to 18 give those folks some ideas of what their EFH consultations 19 20 should look like as they begin to develop projects and things in 21 and around the nation.

- Some current focus here brings us up to today, and I'm going to give a little update on the Wind Subgroup, and so the Wind Subgroup has been meeting for about two years, and these are short monthly calls to share some insights and best practices for contributing to wind energy planning and reviewing and engaging with BOEM.
- Not all regions are involved, and, right now, the regions that 30 31 are engaging in this are the Northeast, the Mid-Atlantic, the 32 South Atlantic, and the Pacific, along with staff from GARFO and staff from the West Coast Regional Office. Right now, the focus 33 34 of that subgroup has been on east-west collaborations, sharing 35 some lessons learned, and some examples of those are in the 36 Northeast and Mid-Atlantic. They shared their offshore wind 37 policies with the Pacific, to inform their offshore development 38 quidance document.

40 So far, it has been easier to prepare West Coast versus Greater 41 Atlantic comments to BOEM, but it has been useful to brainstorm 42 ideas for the letters, for example the fisheries mitigation 43 guidance, which was brought for public comment last summer, and 44 so those are some of the things that that group has been engaged 45 in recently. 46

47 I mentioned these deeper dive talks, and, like I said, we 48 started that last year, and we have decided to continue on this 1 year. We've got a lot of good feedback from the group, and 2 these are, a lot of times, educational and informational. 3 Sometimes it's a region coming back with, hey, we're starting to 4 develop our designations for EFH, and we're having some trouble 5 with this, or with some of our species that are data-limited, 6 and how are you all handling that, and there's a lot of, hey, 7 we've got the same problem, too.

9 We've got an interesting range of topics, and we've qot everything from, you know, climate-change-induced estuarine 10 11 habitat transition on penaeid shrimp was one of the talks that 12 we had, from folks out at the Galveston Lab in Texas. At our 13 May meeting, we had a representative from Atlantic HMS present 14 on their EFH descriptions and updates from their five-year 15 review, and so a lot of the regions are going through those, as 16 well as HMS, and so we got to hear what they're planning. 17

8

26

32

18 Then, for July, on the docket, we've got some more, you know, 19 broader national considerations of procedure on addressing 20 climate change and NMFS EFH consultations, and so quite a few different topics that we dive deeper into, and just keep those 21 22 in mind as I begin talking about what we want to do for our 23 upcoming workshop, and so these are some of the things that 24 we've talked about to-date, so far, and what we plan on doing in 25 July.

Again, mentioning this workshop that we plan on having here inperson fairly soon, and the CCC approved an in-person habitat workshop at its May 2022 meeting, and the workshop goal is outlined there, and a draft of that workshop objectives and deliverables is available in their meeting materials.

33 The idea that the group had was to take those deeper-dive talks 34 that we had and sort of frontload the workshop with that, the 35 idea that we would sort of have these umbrella terms, or these 36 umbrella topics, with climate change being one of the focuses, 37 but how that would affect our habitat management, and how does that affect our EFH consultations, or planning in the future, 38 and what about species that, you know, the distribution has 39 40 changed, and what does that mean for our designations of habitat, and EFH specifically, and, you know, should things be 41 42 streamlined, those kinds of questions. 43

Right now, we're beginning to flesh those ideas out, through our deeper dive talks, the idea of becoming -- That people would be ready for the workshop, because we've done much of the work during the year, in prepping everybody for these background materials and things like that as we go on, and so building some 1 context for the workshop in that way.

3 Tentatively, it is scheduled for January 17 and 18 of 2024, and 4 this is a little unconventional, and we usually would have it, 5 in you know, the same calendar year as we're planning it, and so 6 I will be sure to work with my counterpart at the Caribbean 7 Council, Graciela, to make sure she stays informed, so that, 8 when she becomes chair, she can get across the finish line 9 there, and so I'll make sure that I keep her in the loop. 10

11 Right now, we're looking to have the meeting somewhere in southern California. Just last week, I got -- This is hot off 12 the presses, and it looks like it will be La Jolla, was the 13 determination of the group for that, and some of the logistics 14 15 are falling together better there, and so that sounds like where 16 that will be, but, again, as we begin developing what we would 17 like to see in the workshop, this is a nice opportunity, at this 18 May meeting here, to get some feedback from the CCC, in terms of 19 what this group would like to see us do, you know, in terms of 20 what topics they may want us to bring up, and any deliverables that you would like us to report back. 21

23 We're certainly happy to do so at this time, and I don't want to 24 put you all on the spot, if we don't have anything necessarily 25 just now, and feel free to reach out to your representative on 26 the workgroup, or, if not, feel free to reach out to me as well, 27 and I will make sure to report that back to the group. Our next 28 meeting -- We had a meeting two weeks ago, but our next meeting 29 is July 19, and so I will be sure to report back what is said here and get feedback from the group as we begin developing 30 31 that, and so with that, Mr. Chair, I will take any questions. 32

33 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Thank you, Lisa. I will go ahead and 34 open the floor up. All right. Well, thank you, Lisa. I am not 35 seeing anything, and we'll go ahead and move on then. All 36 right. Well, our next item of business is the Council Member 37 Ongoing Development and Member Training, and Ms. Diana Evans is going to present for that. It looks like they've got your 38 39 presentation, and whenever you're ready, Diana.

40

22

2

41 42

COUNCIL MEMBER ONGOING DEVELOPMENT (CMOD) MEMBER TRAINING

43 MS. DIANA EVANS: Thank you. Good morning, members of the CCC. 44 I am here to present the steering committee report for the 45 Council Member Ongoing Development. For those of you -- I think 46 probably all of the people in this room do recall our 47 conversations about creating a council member ongoing 48 development program, and it's a new initiative of the CCC, and

it was largely initiated in response to the end of the Fisheries 1 2 Forum, recognizing the value in cross-regional exchange amongst 3 council members, and amongst staff, who are in the council 4 process. 5 6 There is not a lot of opportunities for that cross-regional exchange for council members, other than through new member training, which happens in your first year as a council member, 7 8 9 and then the CCC group, which is, obviously, a great opportunity 10 for vice chairs and chairs, but trying to fill that gap for 11 other council members who might benefit from that opportunity to 12 learn from other regions and learn from other people doing the 13 same job, which is a fairly unique one. 14 15 The initiative was to set up this Council Member Ongoing 16 Development program, and we had a lot of conversations at the 17 about how structure that, focusing on policy-neutral CCC 18 meetings that do not result in decisions or recommendations, and 19 it's a closed session training, in effect, and so that's the 20 parameters under which it was done. 21 22 I'm going to report on two things today, and the first is an overview of the first meeting of CMOD that happened in November 23 24 of 2022, and then I will talk, for the last couple of slides, 25 about our steering committee recommendations that followed the 26 first meeting. 27 28 I realize the text here is a little small, and I'm not going to 29 walk through all of the details on each of these slides relating 30 to the development of the first meeting, or the outcomes of the first meeting, but I wanted to at least highlight for you some 31 of the big-picture discussions, and there are two attachments on 32 33 your agenda, and one of them is the final meeting summary, and 34 the other is the steering committee report, and so all of this 35 detail is in the final meeting summary that I am walking through 36 in the next few slides here. 37 38 The intent of the meeting was to focus on looking at the question of new approaches to EBFM, ecosystem-based fishery 39 40 management, and ecosystem approaches to fishery management 41 across the regional fishery management councils, looking at 42 different regional approaches, different scientific inputs, and 43 onramps to the council process and some of the challenges and 44 opportunities with building capacity in the council process to 45 work with EBFM tools. 46 47 We had participation, broad participation, from all the councils 48 and from the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the meeting

1 was facilitated by Kim Gordon and Katie Latanich, as contracted 2 facilitators. They are the ones who prepared the final meeting 3 summary that we have posted. 4

5 The final meeting summary, as I said, focuses on some of the lessons and the discussion items that came out of the two days 6 7 of meetings that we had in Denver, and the meeting took place in 8 Denver in November, falling across several themes, and so, 9 specifically, it was related to EBFM and EAFM, and the 10 discussion items that -- The main outcomes that are highlighted 11 are ones that relate to building capacity in the council 12 process, and a lot of these bullets are -- There's a couple of 13 slides of bullets, and you can go on to the second one in just a moment, but they really focus on communications-related issues 14 15 and how can we do a better job with dialogue, with preparing 16 council members for understanding the inputs, the scientific inputs, that are coming out through EBFM tools that are being 17 developed by our scientists. 18

20 It's interesting, and I looked over this presentation again 21 yesterday, thinking about our conversation on SCS7, and I think 22 there might be quite a lot of the bullets here that might be 23 useful to share with the planning group for SCS8, because it 24 covers a lot of the same themes of dialogue and how do we do a 25 better job of communicating the connection between what's 26 happening in the science world and what's happening in the council management process, particularly at the council table. 27 28

Some of the recommendations, or the discussion points, focused 29 on the benefits of developing a shared vocabulary, a baseline of 30 31 knowledge, making time and space on the agenda to talk about 32 ecosystem issues, and an example of this is that I think, in all 33 of our agendas, we tend to focus -- The council priorities focus 34 first on what is the immediate crisis and immediate priority, 35 the immediate action item, and some of these ecosystem products 36 are of a longer-term, and so they tend to happen more at the end of the agenda, when council members are perhaps a little bit 37 38 more mentally tired and have had a little bit less time to 39 prepare for those agenda items, maybe because of the complexity, 40 and the need to ask curious questions and create dialogue and 41 dedicating time and agenda space, to allow that to happen in the 42 best possible opportunity, is one of the examples, or discussion 43 items, that came out. 44

45 On the right-hand side of all these pages, you will see some 46 selected slides from the various different presentations that 47 were received at the CMOD meeting.

48

19

I will move us over to the next page here, just highlighting a 1 couple of these bullets as we go through, but one of the things 2 3 that was really valuable was there presentations from all eight 4 council regions, and there were really lessons learned, or lessons that are useful to other councils, from all of those 5 eight regions, and so I think that was a really positive 6 7 experience for all the members, and a take-home message for all the members, that we can all really learn from each other, and 8 9 we're all at very different stages, and we're all dealing with different issues, dramatically different issues, in some cases, 10 but, yet, there is still opportunities for us to learn from each 11 12 other. 13 The other thing, just highlighting maybe the third bullet here, 14 15 looking at crisis situations, is we do, as I say, focus on the 16 most immediate problem, but sometimes, when we have those crisis 17 situations, they are also a really good opportunity to ask 18 questions, to create connections, for scientists and managers to

have that improved dialogue, and to build those relationships 19 that then can last beyond the crisis situation and help as we 20 21 develop the tools moving forward, and SO also qood 22 opportunities. 23

24 The other discussion item that I wanted to highlight on this 25 slide is the bottom one, and, while we had a lot of conversations, and heard a lot of examples, across the different 26 27 regions of tools that different regions are working on, whether 28 that be, you know, scenario planning, ecosystem status reports, 29 various -- A variety of tools, but there was definitely some 30 concern expressed during the meeting about how do we move 31 forward with supporting that work within existing resources, whether that be funding or staff resources, and workload 32 33 concerns about getting to all of the different ideas and looking 34 to learn from each other and apply others' tools in our region. 35

36 The second theme that is highlighted in the final report, and 37 was supported by the various presentations across the regions, was looking at ecosystem status reports and the opportunities 38 39 for how they are used in different areas, and one example is looking at the North Pacific. For example, in our region, the 40 41 ecosystem status report is largely prepared for the audience, 42 the primary audience, of the SSC, because it is used as part of 43 the ABC setting process and to provide additional context for 44 the SSC. 45

46 That doesn't mean that it's not also presented or used in our 47 advisory panel and council, but that's its primary focus, and I 48 think it's a very different situation in the Mid-Atlantic, where

their state of the ecosystem report is much more focused on 1 2 council members and what council members are looking for and what kind of information they are trying to identify, and it 3 4 really comes down to the conclusion that you can see on the slide, that the dialogue between council members and NMFS 5 scientists -- The more that that dialogue and conversation can 6 7 happen, the better products that you are likely to get, as 8 council members. 9

10 When councils can work together, they can ask questions and use 11 those ecosystem disruptions, or crisis events, to identify what 12 information the council would have liked for the next time that 13 that occurs, and what could we have done better, looking in 14 hindsight, so that we're better prepared for the future, and how 15 can ecosystem status reports be the vehicle for that.

17 Then the final primary section of the EBFM part of the agenda 18 was conversations that supported the idea of building stakeholder capacity and supporting engagement in the council 19 20 process, and the stakeholder input -- We recognize that that's an incredibly important part of the council process, 21 as 22 stakeholders provide input, and council members provide input, as to what are the key questions that we should be looking at, 23 how can we translate those into implementation strategies, and 24 25 providing the basis for building relationships, ongoing relationships, between management and science participants in 26 27 the process, so that we can have -- When we have these 28 disruptions, when we have situations where we start to use, or 29 want to use, more complex or different tools, and not necessarily more complex, but we have that basis of trust and 30 31 relationship to work through an understanding of how they can 32 best serve to solve the problem, whatever problem the council is 33 dealing with.

34

16

A couple of different ideas here about different types of engagement, and particularly with connecting stakeholders to education and training, and we had a couple of great presentations from the Caribbean Council and the South Atlantic Council on the participatory workshops that those councils are engaging in, and we've heard, at this meeting, about the east coast scenario planning as well, and we talked about that.

42

Switching gears a little bit, the final element of the CMOD, the first CMOD, meeting was we would love to investigate a theme topic, which in this case was EBFM and EAFM approaches, but also to have a skills component for council members to learn a skill, and, in its first meeting, the one that was selected was how to make effective motions, and so there was an afternoon session,

or part of an afternoon session, devoted to this question. 1 2 3 in two groups, and council members shared their We met perspectives on what are the key tools for making effective 4 5 motions, the attributes of a successful motion, acknowledging 6 some of the regional differences, and then maybe some tips. 7 We talked at the meeting, and you will see in the steering 8 9 committee section of this report, that there is a suggestion to turn the couple of pages that are in the summary report, but 10 11 just the brief highlights here, into a flyer that could be shared both at new council member training and with the council 12 members, to make sure that this information is carried on and 13 14 has a broader distribution. 15 16 If that's something that the CCC supports, I think the CCCG, the 17 Cross-Council Communications Group, would be prepared to do 18 that, and we've had some initial conversations, but we wanted to 19 get some buy-in from the CCC before that moved forward. 20 Switching gears, the CMOD steering committee is made up of a 21 22 staff member, or a council member, from each region, and this is the group that worked together to put together the proposal on 23 24 Council Member Ongoing Development and developing the structure 25 and the framework, and so, after this first meeting, and also to 26 propose a theme for the CCC to approve, but, after this first meeting, we met in March, and we received the final summary 27 28 report, and that was prepared by the contractors, Kim Gordon and 29 Katie Latanich. They came to the meeting and spoke both about 30 the participant feedback that they had received as well as their 31 own personal reflections on how running, facilitating, the CMOD 32 meeting went. Then we also heard a report from the New England 33 Council, who hosted this CMOD training. 34 35 Based on our discussions, and the metrics that we were looking at, we would say that this first CMOD meeting was successful, 36 and it met the CCC's goals, and the participant feedback was 37 38 positive, and hopefully you will all have heard that from, or heard feedback at least, from members in your regions that 39 40 participated and what they got out of the meeting. 41 42 It also came up within budget, which was obviously a concern, and is always a concern, and, just highlighting those last couple of bullets there, those are issues that the CCC had 43 44 45 concerns about when we presented this proposal, and we had some 46 discussions about the size of the meeting, how many people makes 47 for an effective training, and, in the end, we were proposing for a meeting of about fifty people, and so four persons from 48

each council, the idea being potentially three council members 1 and one staff person, ten persons from National Marine Fisheries 2 3 Service, and then some invited presenters, and I think we ended 4 up with about forty-four people at the meeting, and we had a 5 couple of last-minute attritions, for various reasons. 6 7 Most councils found members that were interested to attend, and I put that in because I think particularly some -- We ran a 8 9 little bit of the gamut, and some councils found that there were lots of people who wanted to come, and they had to winnow down, 10 11 and others maybe had to work a little harder to convince people 12 to go to another meeting. 13 In general, the meeting was useful, and our steering committee 14

14 In general, the meeting was useful, and our steering committee 15 discussed where to go from here, and other opportunities, and 16 there's a lot of good information in the feedback, from the 17 facilitators and from the participants, about how we can tweak 18 around the edges and make the next program -- Improve the next 19 program, to be targeted a little bit more. If we get direction 20 from the CCC to do that, then our steering committee can dig in 21 and just provide those suggestions for the next CMOD meeting. 22

23 One of the items that we talked about particularly is the 24 primary value of the CMOD meeting is certainly to have people in 25 the room and experiencing the conversations and talking with 26 their colleagues there, and how do we extend that -- Is there a 27 way to promote, other than just kind of word-of-mouth, and 28 council members sharing that information back, but to promote 29 taking some of these ideas and actually having them as practical 30 applications in our different councils, and so we talked a 31 little bit about ways to foster that, particularly looking 32 forward to -- Looking ahead to if we have another meeting. 33

The idea being that we were trying to identify council members, particularly about EBFM, who might come back to their regions and be champions for EBFM, because they understand that much more, and they've had a little bit more training and experience, as those conversations and topics get discussed at their own regional council.

40

41 The final slide here provides our recommendations to the CMOD 42 from the steering committee, recommending to continue the CMOD 43 program and to at least look to host another program, and we 44 were suggesting, in terms of timing, that it might make sense to 45 alternate with the SCS meetings, which are also hosted by 46 councils every other year, in the year that is not an SCS 47 meeting to hold a CMOD meeting, a Council Member Development 48 Meeting, which I think would, if you were to target that, would 1 put the next CMOD meeting targeting for 2025.

2

26

43

45

47

3 One caution that we did note, and we did talk a little bit about 4 the finances associated with a meeting, and, given all the conversations that I know are happening at all the regional 5 councils anyway, and anticipating that costs will increase in 6 7 the future, we benefitted some in terms of funding, from locked-8 in rates pre-COVID, because this meeting was supposed to happen during COVID, and it got delayed once, but likely hotel 9 negotiations -- We'll continue to see the cost increases that 10 11 we're finding at our council meetings, and also that the scoping 12 time for facilitators, which is what really led to a very 13 prepared and effective meeting, by having the facilitators reach 14 out to all the participants in advance, both to scope out what 15 aspects of the topic would be useful and then also how to they planning 16 prepare individuals, if were to qive 17 presentations, for example, and so maybe thinking about that 18 budget a little bit more closely in the next go-round. 19

There might be -- The highlight from the steering committee was that, if we are to continue this program, continuing the current funding model, where NMFS pays half of the cost estimate, and councils divide the other half of the cost estimate among the eight councils, and each council is responsible for paying travel for their own members.

27 With that, as I said, if you do agree that we should be moving 28 forward with this program, then the steering committee would 29 propose to meet between now and October and come back to you 30 with recommendations on a theme topic and any improvements that 31 we want to make, or are contracted, and then move forward, and so with that, that's the information that I wanted to share, and 32 33 just note that Tom Nies, as the host council, might have other 34 thoughts, and I know there's others, a couple of the council 35 members who attended this meeting, who might also want to share. Thank you. 36 37

38 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Diana. Any questions or comments? 39 All right. Thank you, Diana. I'm not seeing anything. Tom. 40

41 MR. NIES: Mr. Chair, I don't have any comments, but I do have a 42 motion that I would like to offer on this.

44 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Please do.

46 MR. NIES: If there are no comments from anybody else.

48 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: I am not seeing any, Tom, and so go ahead with

your motion, and they will pull that up here in just a minute. 1 2 3 MR. NIES: Thank you. I would like to offer the following motion that the CCC agrees to hold the second Council Member 4 Ongoing Development session in 2025, hosted by the North Pacific 5 6 Fishery Management Council. The NPFMC will provide a report at 7 the October CCC meeting on a theme, estimated costs, including a 8 proposal for sharing of costs between the National Marine 9 Fisheries Service and councils and other logistics. 10 11 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Tom. We'll need a second for that 12 motion. It's been seconded. Any further rationale, Tom? 13 14 MR. NIES: The feedback we got from the first meeting was very successful, and I think it's well worth continuing this process. 15 16 The participants thoroughly enjoined it, and they also learned a 17 lot, I think, and I think all of us have heard from those who went to the meeting, and I think it's important to continue this 18 19 program, going forward, and that's really all the rationale I've 20 got. 21 22 I do think it's important to note that all of us know that costs 23 have increased, and we got lucky this time, because the hotel we -- We actually booked the hotel, I think, just before COVID, if 24 25 I remember correctly, and they allowed us to transfer things 26 forward, and so the costs were manageable, but we're all finding 27 out that the costs have increased, and so I think it's going to 28 be important to take a good look at the costs and how much 29 support the agency is willing to provide, to try and make sure 30 it is affordable as we go forward. Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Any further discussion on the motion? 33 All right. We'll take a vote on the motion. Is there any to this motion? Seeing none, the motion carries. Is there any other business that needs to come 34 opposition to this motion? 35 All right. 36 before the CCC Workgroups and Subcommittees? Miguel. 37 38 I don't know whether we are supposed to answer the MR. ROLON: 39 question posed by the Communication Committee yesterday to first 40 see if we all agree to have them prepare guidelines for the host 41 council for future meetings, and, second, whether we agree or 42 not to have another meeting in-person in 2024, so they can start

43 44

46

45 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Did you have a comment to that, Tom? Go ahead.

planning for the fiftieth anniversary of the councils.

47 MR. NIES: I am going to surprise David here, and I'm willing to 48 make a motion to that effect, unless we want to have more

discussion first. I would point out that we do have a lot going 1 2 on in 2024, and we've got a Habitat Working Group meeting 3 planned for early in the year, and we're talking about having 4 the SCS8 meeting, probably the week of August 26, which I will try and confirm with all the EDs, and so that's going on as 5 well, but, you know, if councils want to have any sort of 6 7 communications group working on the fiftieth anniversary of the MSA, I think, the sooner they start, the better. 8 9 10 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Well, I'm not seeing more discussion, 11 Tom, and so did you want to go ahead and make the motion? 12 13 MR. NIES: Sure. Motion that the CCC directs the Communications Group to plan an in-person meeting for 2024 and to seek approval 14 15 of the discussions at the October CCC meeting, or approval of the theme at the October CCC meeting. 16 17 18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Tom, they're putting that up on the board, and 19 you might have to repeat that last part, after "2024". 20 21 MR. NIES: I will talk slower this time. The CCC directs the 22 Communications Group to plan an in-person meeting for 2024 and seek approval from the CCC in October of the proposed discussion 23 24 items. 25 Tom, they've got the motion on the board, and 26 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: 27 is that your motion? 28 29 MR. NIES: Yes. 30 31 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: We need a second for this motion. 32 33 MR. TWEIT: Second. 34 35 Bill seconds the motion. Okay. CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Any further 36 discussion on the motion? Seeing none, is there any opposition 37 to this motion? Seeing none, the motion carries. All right. 38 Bill, go ahead. 39 40 MR. TWEIT: While we're on the subject of the Communications Group, I heard, in the presentation from the CMOD steering 41 42 committee, a recommendation that the summary sort of one-pager on making effective motions be transmitted to the Communications 43 Group, to be turned into training material for new council 44 45 members, and I thought it would be worthwhile just to have a 46 head-nod around the table on that idea, and just add that to the 47 Communication Group's agenda.

48

1 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Yes, and I think, Bill, that's a good idea, and 2 I'm seeing a lot of yes nods for that, and so we'll go ahead and 3 move forward with that. I am not seeing any other hands up, and 4 so that will conclude the business for the CCC Workgroups and 5 Subcommittees, and, moving on to the last two short items in our 6 agenda, Miguel has some discussion on the 2024 CCC meetings. 7

2024 CCC MEETINGS

10 MR. ROLON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I already feel intimidated 11 by following the Gulf Council's coordination of this meeting, 12 and I believe that Carrie and the staff have done an excellent 13 job with this coordination. (Applause)

15 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you, Miguel, and I can assure you that it 16 was all the staff.

18 MR. ROLON: I hope that we can follow that, and the meeting will 19 be the week of May 20, as you know, and it will be in San Juan, Puerto Rico, and we will send all the information to all of you 20 The second meeting, the one in October, 21 in due time. we 22 coordinated having it the week of the 16th and 17th, those two days, and we tried to also be mindful about the meetings that 23 24 the North Pacific Council will have the week before and the 25 traveling logistics, and so those are the meeting dates for the CCC in 2024, and the place will be San Juan, Puerto Rico, and 26 27 then, as you know, the council also will be coordinating the 28 habitat meeting in 2024, the one in California, and Graciela 29 just learned that she is the chair of the Habitat Committee next 30 year, and so she has started working already on the logistics, 31 and she will coordinate with Lisa and others. Thank you, Mr. 32 Chairman.

OTHER BUSINESS AND WRAP-UP

36 **CHAIRMAN STUNZ:** Thank you, Miguel. Anything else on the CCC 37 meetings? All right. Seeing none, then that brings us to the 38 Other Business and Wrap-Up. There was one item, and, Miguel, I 39 believe that you and John were talking some about potentially 40 the FAO fisheries meeting representation, and I don't know if 41 you all had that discussion, or is there anything that you would 42 like to share regarding that?

43 44 MR. ROLON: Yes, and usually there are two meetings of the FAO, 45 and we switch it with the Gulf Council, and so we send one 46 person each year, and the next year will be another one, and we

8

9

14

17

33 34

35

47

48

have COFI, which is the regular meeting, and they also have the

talked about the person that wanted to go, and she is prepared, 1 and Carrie and I can talk about the FAO, and so the three of us 2 3 will coordinate it, to make sure that we send the appropriate person to represent the group at these two meetings. 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: All right. Sounds good, Miguel. I think 7 Carrie has a few loose-end items to tie up, and a few quick slides as well, and is there any other business that needs to 8 9 come before this committee? Seeing none, Carrie, go ahead. 10 11 DR. SIMMONS: All right. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and so we have some wrap-up slides, and, Bernie, if you could just put those up 12 13 there, and I don't think the very last motion is in the wrap-up 14 slides, and so, just to remind everybody what we've accomplished 15 by agenda item, if we could pull that up. 16 17 I am not going to read the motions back into the record, but 18 just to remind everybody what we did for each agenda item. We 19 had a motion to --20 21 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Janet has a comment, while we're pulling this 22 up. 23 24 Just in case, and I don't know how long this last MS. COIT: 25 part is going to go, and so, in case I have to sneak out to get to the airport, I just wanted to also say I think this has been 26 27 a fantastic meeting, and I have enjoyed the discussion and the 28 substance in the agenda, as well as the opportunity to get to 29 know people better, and, again, to all of you who are retiring 30 off, I just want to thank you for your incredible contributions 31 and public service, and so great meeting, and thank you, and, if 32 I have to leave, I apologize for just taking off. 33 34 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Thank you, Janet. Are you ready now, Carrie? 35 Okay. Go ahead. 36 DR. SIMMONS: Yes, and thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you for 37 everyone who provided the presentations and materials on time, 38 39 and I think that made us have a very successful meeting, and so 40 I appreciate all the staff support, with Morgan and team as 41 well, and so that's the right one. Thank you. 42 All right, and so we had a motion on fisheries updates and 43 44 priorities, and we had several motions on Wednesday, one in the 45 Communications Subcommittee report, and we have another one that 46 was just passed, and we had a motion under the 7th SCS report, a 47 motion under the 8th SCS meeting, and, actually, we had two. 48

The second motion, for the 8th SCS meeting, and we had a motion 1 2 for America the Beautiful, a motion for the process for sanctuaries, as well as some information that we're going to 3 convey to the sanctuary headquarters folks regarding EEJ 4 5 efforts. 6 7 Then we had a motion on the legislative outlook and a motion for 8 the working groups and subcommittees, and the last motion that 9 was just passed for the communications next meeting didn't make 10 it into the PowerPoint, but, again, thank you all for providing 11 your presentations and materials and all the logistics, for the 12 folks that were involved, and I will remind everybody that we'll 13 have a summary report will be available next week sometime, and then we'll have the verbatim minutes, and we'll pass those 14 15 around for everyone to look at and have some time to approve, 16 and so, again, thank you all very much. 17 18 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: Okay. Is there any other business that needs 19 to come before this group? Tom. 20 21 MR. NIES: If I might, since this is my last meeting, I would 22 just like to make one comment that I didn't make yesterday, or a couple of comments that I didn't make yesterday, but I just 23 24 wanted to let everybody know that I have enjoyed working with 25 the CCC for the last ten years. 26 27 I consider it one of the highlights of being on the council 28 since 1997, and I really think the opportunity work with the councils, and with NOAA leadership, on some of the national 29 30 issues generally proves to be pretty useful, to quote Mary Sabo, 31 and I find it very rewarding. I would also like to particularly 32 thank all my fellow executive directors, who taught me a heck of 33 a lot, either patiently or not, but with all of my questions, 34 and so thank you very much, and good luck going forward. 35 Thank you, Tom. 36 CHAIRMAN STUNZ: (Applause) Well, I am not 37 seeing any other business, and everyone have safe travels, and enjoy Memorial Day, and we'll consider the meeting adjourned. 38 39 40 (Whereupon, the meeting adjourned on May 25, 2023.) 41 42 _ _ _

291